Moreuse W

### IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

#### PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/5TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2413 of 2015 (B)



### PETITIONER(S):

M/S.K.K.ROCKS & GRANITES INDIA (P) LTD., KOTTACKAL, TC 2/3497, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 004, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, SREARUN VARGHESE.

BY ADVS.SRI.BECHU KURIAN THOMAS SRI.PAUL JACOB (P) SRI.ENOCH DAVID SIMON JOEL SRI.S.SREEDEV SRI.RONY JOSE SRI.GEORGE A.CHERIAN

### RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
  REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY,
  DEPARTMENT OF MINING AND GEOLOGY,
  GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 001.
- 2. DIRECTOR,
  DIRECTORATE OF MINING AND GEOLOGY, KESAVADASAPURAM,
  PATTOM PALACE P.O, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 004.
- 3. DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CIVIL STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 001.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRIJOSEPH GEORGE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 24-02-2015. ALONG WITH W.P.(C).NO.2411 OF 2015 AND CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

Msd.

## P.R. RAMA THANDRA MENON, J.

# W.P(C). Nos. 2411, 2412, 2413, 2581, 2587 & 2591 of 2015

Dated this the 24th day of February, 2015

## LUDG MENT

The issue involved in all these cases is with regard to the challenge raised against Ext.P8 order dated 19.01.2015, whereby the District Collector in exercise of the powers stated as vested with him, intercepted the quarrying operations being pursued by the petitioners for a period of one month. Pleadings and proceedings are referred to, as given in WP(C) No.2411 of 2015.

- 2. The case of the petitioners is that, there is absolutely no power, jurisdiction or competence for the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent to have issued the said order, more so when, the quarrying activities are being pursued by the petitioners on the basis of valid licences/permits and such other clearances issued from different corners.
- 3. The relief sought for, was opposed by the learned Covernment Pleader pointing out that, Ext.P8 was issued with a specific purpose especially, in view of the mounting instances of violation of the relevant provisions of law, the terms of specific concellance and such other incriminating circumstances

ting in mass scale excavation being pursued erisedly, also causing much threat to the environmental beium of the District and the State in turn. ims have been filed before this Court individually and also the Interest Litigations and different verdicts/interim orders onen passed. The matter had to be considered in detail, will preference to the large scale public protest being raised an local inhabitants. It was also necessary to identify the s concerned, with reference to the title of the parties and the lease if any, as the case may be, so as to the activities within the permitted area and extent. It was ... because of the fact that large scale encroachment and xcavation were brought to the notice of the authorities 1. If who have taken steps with an intent to measure the s with notice to all the parties concerned, so as to permit He hands to pursue the quarrying operations within their area, to the permissible extent. It was in the said ances that the District Magistrate feltilit extremely to a sed Ext.P8 order on 19.01.2015. It was accordingly, that of 'one month' was clearly prescribed to carry out the

operations in connection with the said exercise, prohibiting anying operations, so as to facilitate proper measurement, another and such other steps. These writ petitions were more accordingly, by passing an order on 03.02.2015, based a submission made on the part of the respondents that, the ation of the impugned order was to be in effect only till accept.

denotion, the learned Government Pleader for the denotion, the learned Government Pleader for the denotes submits on instructions that, in spite of the clear faction in Ext.P8 order requiring the parties concerned to the celevant records, no documents were produced by the said submission is sought to be rebutted by the concerned for the petitioners stating that, all the relevant mass were produced by the petitioners and that the version treappendents is not correct or sustainable. In any view of matter, it cannot be said that the respondents concerned thaving any other records to complete the process and denotes of time was sought for from this Court. Even

<sup>98</sup>6) (Ass.2411, 2412, **24**13, **2581**, 46 1591 of **20**15

The local and lay the boundaries, effecting the measurement and to the local boundaries. If there is any encroachment, it is always from for the concerned authorities to intervene at any stage, in concerned steps in accordance with law, with notice to aparties concerned. This being the position, this Court is of the medical, Ext.P8 order has stood its time and object and the dannot be stated as not over, to be prolonged and drawned, if the petitioners are otherwise eligible to carry out that me in accordance with law.

The learned Government Pleader points out that, the an or the licences of the petitioners is already over. This Court is distincted to go into the said aspect, as it does not form the maker of consideration of this Court in these write itic.

The three writ petitions are disposed of accordingly. The re-

Sd/-

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, JUDGE.

WP(C),Mo. 2413 of 2015 (B)

### **APPENDIX**

### PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- GRANTED TO THE QUARRYING PERMIT VALID TILL 09.02.2015 OF 0.3880 HECTARES OF PROPERTY IN SY.NO.54/1 AND 49/3-2 OF PALLICHAL VILLAGE.
- BOARD FOR CARRYING OUT QUARRYING OPERATIONS IN SY.NO.54/1
  AND 49/3-2 OF PALLICHAL VILLAGE.
- HIBI HY (C): HAUE COPY OF THE PANCHAYAT LICENSE DATED 14.08.2014 FOR 1 BARRYING FROM SY.NO.54/1 AND 49/3-2.
- TOTAL CRUSHER UNIT.
- BIGGE TO BE COPY OF THE STOP MEMO DATED 04.04.2012 ISSUED BY THE PETITIONER.
- 「部部11 03」 TELUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 23.05.2012 IN いてであれていた。
  - MT 94: TEHE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 12.06,2013 IN LA 7402/13 IN 12 (20).NO.10212/2012.
  - THE COPY OF ONE OF THE ASSIGNMENT ORDERS ISSUED TO THE THE THONER'S PREDECESSOR IN INTEREST.
  - ME (NS) THE HE GOPY OF THE F.I.R. DATED 27.12;2014 IN CRIME NO.VC 3/14.
  - 37 14 15 GOPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 01.12.2010 IN W.A 1164/2010.
  - Tr :: COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 19.01.2015 AND NUMBERED AS Exposured by THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
    - TO CHAIRS

NIL

ITRUE COPYII

PAITO JUDGE

## HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT TREATHER AM

Year and Number of Sult or acher Proceedings

WPC 2413 / 2015

Name of Applicant/Advocate

SPEEDECKU KUULIN

application Number

4 11975/2015

Application Date

14-62-2015

Date of Calling for Stamp

42,43,2015

Date of Production of Stomp

02-413-2413.5

Date When copy was Ready

02-03-2015

Date Notified for appearance to

09-03-2015

receive the copy

Date when copy was delivered