



सत्यमेव जयते

Validity expires on 02.10.2024

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE ADMINISTRATOR, STATE
ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

(Present. SABITHA S)

Sub: SEIAA- Environmental Clearance for the Building Stone Quarry in Survey No. 82/2, in Kondoor Village, Meenachil Taluk, Kottayam, Kerala by Mr. Nisar Rahim, Vettickal House, Nadackal P.O, Erattupetta, Kottayam - Granted – Orders Issued.

State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Kerala

No. 1266/EC2/2019/SEIAA

dated, Thiruvananthapuram 03.10.2019

- Ref
1. Application received on 14.02.2019 from Sri Nisar Rahim, Vettickal House, Nadackal P.O, Erattupetta, Kottayam
 2. Minutes of the 95th SEAC meeting held on 27th and 28th March 2019
 3. Minutes of the 96th SEAC meeting held on 26th & 27th April 2019.
 4. Minutes of the 97th SEAC meeting held on 21st and 22nd May 2019.
 5. Minutes of the 99th SEAC meeting held on 26th and 27th June 2019.
 6. Minutes of the 96th meeting of SEIAA held on 20th August 2019.
 7. G.O(Rt.) No.29/2019/Env dt.12.04.2019

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE NO.48/2019

Mr Nisar Rahim, Vettickal House, Nadackal P.O, Erattupetta, Kottayam (Kerala), vide the hardcopy of application received on 14.02.2019, has sought Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification, 2006 for the quarry project Sy Nos.82/2 in Kondoor Village, Meenachil Taluk, Kottayam District, Kerala for an area of 0.9719 Ha. The project comes under Category: B & Schedule: 1 (a) of EIA Notification 2006.

2. The proposed project site falls within Latitude 9°37'25.13"N to 9°37'28.65"N to Longitude 76°47'13.34"E to 76°47'19.06"E. The lease area consists of 0.9719 hectares, which

is a patta land. The proposed project is for quarrying of 47162 TPA. The nearest town is Erattupettah about 10 km from the quarry site. The Expected water requirement for the proposed mining activity is 5 KLD. 1 KLD for Domestic consumption, 2 KLD for Dust suppression and 2 KLD for Green belt/ Plantation. Water will be drawn from bore well. Machines are working in diesel Electric power required for office about 2 KW per day, which is drawn from KSEB. Life of mine is about 5 years.

3. The proposal was placed in the 95th SEAC Meeting held on 27th & 28th MARCH 2019. The Committee decided to obtain the following additional documents/details from the proponent:

1. Monitoring data of Sound and air.
2. CER to be reworked in consultation with Working Group for Environment of Local Self Government on a need based manner, as per O.M. of MoEF (F.No.22-65/2017-1A.III dated 01.05.2018) with details of socio-economic expert who has done the CER
3. Details of dumping yard to deposit overburden
4. Produce drainage map
5. Rework Biodiversity report along with the details of person prepared it.
6. Measures taken for protection of natural spring in the area.
7. Rework the project cost and indicate the net profit per year.

4. The proponent submitted the details on 20/04/2019 and the same was considered in the 96th meeting of SEAC held on 26 & 27 April 2019. Committee entrusted K.KrishnaPanicker and Beena Govindan to conduct field visit. The Subcommittee inspected the site on 08-05-2019.

5. The proposal was placed in the 97th SEAC Meeting held on 21st & 22nd of May 2019. The minutes of the 97th SEAC meeting as follows

The Subcommittee consisting of Shri.K.KrishnaPanicker & Smt.BeenaGovindan visited the site on 08.05.2019. The findings of the Sub Committee are as follows:

- i) In one part of the approved mining plan highest elevation is given as 510m above MSL and lowest as 480m above MSL. But in the application (page 16, 1.13) ultimate depth of working mine is shown as 70m above MSL. 2.5x2 Sub benches is also mentioned here.

- ii) In the approved mining plan and power point presentation it is stated that topsoil of 7986 MT and overburden 7260 MT will be stacked in the dumping yard. But in para 3 of explanation furnished by the proponent it is stated that entire area is exposed by rocks and there is no top soil and overburden. This is contradictory.
- iii) 95th SEAC meeting held on 27 & 28 March 2019 directed the proponent to rework the bio diversity report. But he again submitted a report dated 17-02-2019.
- iv) In the approved mining plan (p.15) it is stated that excavated material will be transported to crusher within 300m on side of the mining pit which is not true.

6. The Committee decided to clarify the reason for the factual errors noted by the Sub Committee. The proponent submitted the documents as sought for by 97th SEAC Meeting held on 21st & 22nd of May 2019.

7. The proposal was placed in the 99th SEAC meeting held on 26th & 27th June, 2019. The Committee considered the report of the sub-committee and decided to recommend the issuance of EC.

8. The proposal was placed in 96th SEIAA meeting held on 20th August 2019. Authority noticed that the proposal was placed in the 95th SEAC meeting held on 27th /28th 2019. A field inspection was also held by the Sub committee of SEAC on 08.05.2019. The proponent has submitted the additional documents and details required by SEAC in its 95th & 97th meetings. In the 99th meeting of SEAC held on 26th /27th June 2019, after a perusal of all the relevant documents and considering the field inspection report, SEAC recommended for issuance of EC.

Authority decided to issue EC for a period of 5 years for the quantity as approved by the Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

1. *Activities relating to Corporate Environmental Responsibilities (2% of total project cost) shall be carried out leading to protection and promotion of environment in the project region as per OM F.No.22-65/2017-IA-III dt.01.05.2018 of MoEF & CC in consultation with the District Collector.*

2. *The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
3. *Activities relating progressive and final closure of quarry shall be carried out as per approved Mining Plan which will be reviewed at regular intervals. The non compliance of the same may lead to cancellation of Environmental Clearance.*
4. *In the wake of occurrence of large scale landslides in the state, as per the information provided by the Department of Mining & Geology, it is directed to use only NONEL (Non Electrical) technology for blasting to reduce the vibration of the ground, which is one of the causative factors that triggers landslides, formation of cracks in the surrounding buildings and disturbance to human and wildlife*

9. Environmental Clearance as per the EIA Notification 2006 is hereby accorded for the quarry project of Mr Nisar Rahim, Vettickal House, Nadakal P.O, Erattupetta, Kottayam Kerala, in Survey No. 82/2 in Kondoor Village, Meenachil Taluk, Kottayam District, Kerala for an area of 0.9719 Ha., subject to the specific conditions in para 8 above, all the environmental impact mitigation and management measures undertaken by the project proponent in the Form I, EMP, PFR and Mining plan submitted to SEIAA. The assurances and clarifications given by the proponent will be deemed to be a part of these proceedings as if incorporated herein. Also the general conditions for projects stipulated for mining (items 1 to 48), appended hereto will be applicable and have to be strictly adhered to.

10. The Clearance issued will also be subject to full and effective implementation of all the undertakings given in the application form, mitigation measures as assured in the Environment Management Plan and the mining features including progressive mine closure plan as submitted with the application and relied on for grant of this clearance. The above undertakings and the conditions and the undertakings in Chapter 4 (Mining), Chapter 5 (Blasting), Chapter 6 (Mines Drainage), Chapter 6.3 (Stacking of Mineral rejects and Disposal of waste) Chapter 9 (Environment Management Plan) & Chapter 10 (Progressive Mine Closure Plan) of the Mining Plan as submitted will be deemed to be part of this proceedings as conditions as undertaken by the proponent, as if incorporated herein.

11. Validity of the Environmental Clearance will be five years from the date of this Order, subject to inspection by SEIAA on annual basis and compliance of the conditions,

subject to earlier review of E.C in case of violation or non-compliance of conditions or genuine complaints from residents within the security area of the quarry.

12. Compliance of the conditions herein will be monitored by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority or its authorised offices and also by the regional office of the Ministry of Environment & Forests, Govt. of India, Bangalore.

- i. Necessary assistance for entry and inspection should be provided by the project proponent and those who are engaged or entrusted by him to the staff for inspection or monitoring.
- ii. Instances of violation if any shall be reported to the District Collector, Kottayam
- iii. The given address for correspondence with the authorised signatory of the project is Mr Nisar Rahim, Vettickal House, Nadakal P.O, Erattupetta, Kottayam, Kerala.



SABITHA S
Administrator, SEIAA

To,

Mr Nisar Rahim,
Vettickal House,
Nadakal P.O, Erattupetta,
Kottayam (Kerala)

Copy to,

1. MoEF Regional Office, Southern Zone, Kendriya Sadan, 4th Floor, E&F Wing, II Block, Koramangala, Bangalore-560034.
2. The Principal Secretary to Government, Environment Department, Government of Kerala.
3. District Collector, Kottayam
4. Director, Mining & Geology, Thiruvananthapuram -4.
5. The Member Secretary, Kerala State Pollution Control Board
6. District Geologist, Kottayam
7. Tahsildhar, Meenachil Taluk, Kottayam
8. Secretary, Thidanad Grama Panchayat, Kottayam
9. Village Officer, Kondoor Village, Kottayam
10. Chairman, SEIAA.
11. Website.
12. S/f
13. O/c

STATE ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY KERALA

GENERAL CONDITIONS (for mining projects)

1. A separate environmental management and monitoring cell with qualified personnel should be set-up under the control of a Senior Executive, who will report directly to the Head of the Organization.
2. Suitable avenue trees should be planted along either side of the tarred road and open parking areas, if any, including of approach road and internal roads.
3. Sprinklers shall be installed and used in the project site to contain dust emissions.
4. Eco-restoration including the mine closure plan shall be done at the own cost of the project proponent.
5. In view of the deep pits left after the excavation, stacking at maximum top level should be carried out.
6. Corporate Environment Responsibility agreed upon by the proponent should be implemented.
7. The project proponent shall comply the conditions stipulated by the statutory authorities concerned.
8. Tarring /multiple options on the access roads shall be undertaken so as to reduce dust pollution during movement of vehicle.
9. Overburden materials should be managed within the site and used for reclamation of mine pit as per mine closure plan / specific conditions.
10. Height of benches should not exceed 5 m, and width should not be less than 5 m, if there is no mention in the mining plan/specific condition.
11. Ground level should be fixed in individual cases separately
12. No mining operations should be carried out at places having a slope greater than 45°.
13. Acoustic enclosures should have been provided to reduce sound amplifications in addition to the provisions of green belt and hollow brick envelop for crushers so that the noise level is kept within prescribed standards given by CPCB/KSPCB. This condition is applicable only in such cases if a crusher is adjacent to the quarry.
14. The workers on the site should be provided with the required protective equipment such as ear muffs, helmet, etc.
15. Garland drains with clarifiers to be provided in the lower slopes around the core area to channelize storm water.
16. The transportation of minerals should be done in covered trucks to contain dust emissions. The proponent should plant trees at least 5 times of the loss that has been occurred while clearing the land for the project. SEAC should assess the number of trees in each project site before the issuance of EC so as to ensure the promptness in planting.
17. Explosives should be stored in magazines in isolated place specified and approved by the Explosives Department.
18. A minimum buffer distance of 100m from the boundary of the quarry to the nearest dwelling unit or other structures, not being any facility for mining shall be provided.
19. 50 m buffer distance should be maintained from forest boundaries.
20. Consent from Kerala State Pollution Control Board under Water and Air Act(s) should be obtained before initiating mining activity.
21. All other statutory clearances should be obtained, as applicable, by project proponents from the respective competent authorities including that for blasting and storage of explosives.
22. In the case of any change(s) in the scope of the project, extent quantity, process of mining technology involved or in any way affecting the environmental parameters/impacts as assessed, based on which only the E.C is issued, the project would require a fresh appraisal by this Authority, for which the proponent shall apply and get the approval of this Authority.
23. The Authority reserves the right to add additional safeguard measures subsequently, if found necessary, and to take action including revoking of the environment clearance under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, to ensure effective implementation of the suggested safeguard measures in a time bound and satisfactory manner.
24. The stipulations by Statutory Authorities under different Acts and Notifications should be complied with, including the provisions of Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention and control of Pollution) act 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, the Public Liability (Insurance) Act, 1991 and EIA Notification, 2006.

25. The project proponent should advertise in at least two local newspapers widely circulated in the region, one of which (both the advertisement and the newspaper) shall be in the vernacular language, informing that the project has been accorded Environmental Clearance and copies of clearance letters are available with the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) office and may also be seen on the website of the Authority at www.seiaakerala.org. The advertisement should be made within 10 days from the date of receipt of the Clearance letter and a copy of the same signed in all pages should be forwarded to the office of this Authority as confirmation.
26. The Environmental Clearance shall be put on the website of the company by the proponent.
27. Proponent shall submit half yearly reports in soft copy and SEIAA will upload it on the website.
28. The details of Environmental Clearance should be prominently displayed in a metallic board of 1 ft x 3 ft with green background and yellow letters of Times New Roman font of size of not less than 40. Sign board with extent of lease area and boundaries shall be depicted at the entrance of the quarry, visible to the public.
29. The proponent should provide notarized affidavit (indicating the number and date of Environmental Clearance proceedings) that all the conditions stipulated in the EC shall be scrupulously followed.
30. No change in mining technology and scope of working should be made without prior approval of the SEIAA, No further expansion or modifications in the mine shall be carried out without prior approval of the SEIAA, as applicable.
31. The Project proponent shall ensure that no natural water course and/or water resources shall be obstructed due to any mining operations. Necessary safeguard measures to protect the first order streams, if any, originating from the mine lease shall be taken.
32. The top soil, if any, shall temporarily be stored at earmarked site(s) only for the topsoil shall be used for land reclamation and plantation. The over burden (OB) generated during the mining operations shall be stacked at earmarked dump site(s) only. The maximum height of the dumps shall not exceed 8m and width 20m and overall slope of the dumps shall be maintained to 45°. The OB dumps should be scientifically vegetated with suitable native species to prevent erosion and surface run off. In critical areas, use of geo textiles shall be undertaken for stabilization of the dump. The entire excavated area shall be backfilled. Monitoring and management of rehabilitated areas should continue until the vegetation becomes self-sustaining.
33. Catch drains and siltation ponds of appropriate size shall be constructed around the mine working, mineral and OB dumps to prevent run off of water and flow of sediments directly into the river and other water bodies. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the mine area, roads, green belt development etc. The drains shall be regularly desilted particularly after monsoon and maintained properly.
34. Effective safeguard measures such as regular water sprinkling shall be carried out in critical areas prone to air pollution and having high levels of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} such as haul Road, loading and unloading points and transfer points – it shall be ensured that the Ambient Air Quality parameters conform to the norms prescribed by the Central Pollution Control Board in this regard.
35. Fugitive dust emissions from all the sources should be controlled regularly. Water spraying arrangement on haul roads, loading and unloading and at transfer points should be provided and properly maintained.
36. Measures should be taken for control of noise levels below 85 dBA in the work environment.
37. The funds earmarked for environmental protection measures and CER activate should be kept in separate account and should not be diverted for other purpose. Year wise expenditure should be reported to the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) office.
38. The Regional Office of MOEF & CC located at Bangalore shall monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the officer (S) of the Regional Office by furnishing the requisite data/information/monitoring reports.
39. Any appeal against this Environmental Clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.
40. Concealing the factual data or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
41. The SEIAA may revoke or suspend the order, for non implementation of any of the specific or this implementation of any of the above conditions is not satisfactory. The SEIAA reserves the right to alter/modify the above conditions or stipulate any further condition in the interest of environment protection.

42. The above conditions shall prevail notwithstanding anything to the contrary, in consistent, or simplified, contained in any other permit, license or consent given by any other authority for the same project.
43. The Environmental Clearance will be subject to the final order of the courts in any pending litigation related to the land or project, in any court of law.
44. The mining operation shall be restricted to above ground water table and it should not intersect ground water table.
45. All vehicles used for transportation and within the mines shall have 'PUC' certificate from authorized pollution taking centre. Washing of all vehicles shall be inside the lease area.
46. Project proponent should obtain necessary prior permission of the competent authorities for drawal of requisite quantity of surface water and ground water for the project.
47. Regular monitoring of flow rates and water quality upstream and downstream of the springs and perennial nallahs flowing in and around the mine lease area shall be carried out and reported in the six monthly reports to SEIAA.
48. Occupational health surveillance program of the workers should be under taken periodically to observe any contractions due to exposure to dust and take corrective measures, if needed.



Administrator, SEIAA