MINUTES OF THE 56th MEETING OF SEAC, KERALA, HELD ON 06th AND 07th JUNE, 2016, AT HARITHASREE HALL, DIRECTORATE OF ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

Day -I (06-06-2016)

The 56th meeting of the SEAC commenced at 10.00 am with Sri. V. Gopinathan, in the chair. The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the proceedings of the Committee.

Item No. 56.00

Approval of Minutes: The minutes of the 55th SEAC meeting were read over, corrections made as suggested by the members and approved.

Item No. 56.01

Follow up action on the minutes of the meetings of SEIAA: Members of the Committee expressed their concern about some of the recent decisions of the SEIAA which are not in consonance with the MoEF S.O.1533(E) notified on 14th Sept 2006. The above notification, which lays down the procedure for according environmental clearance by SEIAA, strictly stipulates that SEIAA shall base its decision on the recommendation of SEAC. The above stipulations are contained in the following paras of the notification.

4. Categorization of projects and activities:

(iii) *All projects or activities included as Category 'B' in the Schedule, including expansion and modernization of existing projects or activities as specified in sub paragraph (ii) of paragraph 2, or change in product mix as specified in sub paragraph (iii) of paragraph 2, but excluding those which fulfill the General Conditions (GC) stipulated in the Schedule, will require prior environmental clearance from the State/Union territory Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA).* ***The SEIAA shall base its decision on the recommendations of a State or Union territory level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) as to be constituted for in this notification****. In the absence of a duly constituted SEIAA or SEAC, a Category 'B' project shall be treated as a Category 'A' project;*

IV. Stage (4) - Appraisal:

(i) Appraisal means the detailed scrutiny by the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee of the application and other documents like the Final EIA report, outcome of the public consultations including public hearing proceedings, submitted by the applicant to the regulatory authority concerned for grant of environmental clearance. This appraisal shall be made by Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned in a transparent manner in a proceeding to which the applicant shall be invited for furnishing necessary clarifications in person or through an authorized representative. ***On conclusion of this proceeding, the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned shall make categorical recommendations to the regulatory authority concerned either for grant of prior environmental clearance on stipulated terms and conditions, or rejection of the application for prior environmental clearance, together with reasons for the same.***

8.Grant or Rejection of Prior Environmental Clearance (EC):

(ii) The regulatory authority shall normally accept the recommendations of the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned. In cases where it disagrees with the recommendations of the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned, the regulatory authority shall request reconsideration by the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned within forty five days of the receipt of the recommendations of the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned while stating the reasons for the disagreement. An intimation of this decision shall be simultaneously conveyed to the applicant. The Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned, in turn, shall consider the observations of the regulatory authority and furnish its views on the same within a further period of sixty days. The decision of the regulatory authority after considering the views of the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee concerned shall be final and conveyed to the applicant by the regulatory authority concerned within the next thirty days.

But it is observed that the SEIAA has taken decisions on agenda items **48.08, 48.09, 48.10** and **51.17** to issue EC without appraisal and recommendations from the SEAC. The concern of the Committee in this regard is brought to the attention of SEIAA.

Item No. 56.02 **Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 271/1-2, 271/1-3, 271/1-6, 271/1-10, 271/1-11, 271/1-4, 271/8-2, 271/8-1, 271/20 pt., 270/4-1, 270/4, 270/3 and 270/2 at Pallichal Village and Panchayath, Neyyattinkara Taluk, Trivandrum District, Kerala by M/s V.S.C. Hollow Blocks & Crusher Division (File No. 163/SEIAA/KL/3493/2013)**

Project Proponent : M/s V.S.C. Hollow Blocks & Crusher Division

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the clarification from the proponent. It is observed that the documents produced before the committee as letter from the District Collector, Trivandrum is only a photocopy addressed to Member Secretary, DoECC. The committee decided to request the Secretary to verify the veracity of the communication and place the details in the next meeting.

Item No. 56.03 **Environmental clearance for Township and Area development Project in Sy. Nos. 671/1, 674/1 and 675/4 at Kakkanad Village, Kanayanoor Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri. Thankachan Thomas (File No. 588/SEIAA/KL/4504/2014)**

Project Proponent : Sri. Thankachan Thomas

The Committee examined the request letter of the proponent regarding changing of consultant for the EIA study. The committee decided to **defer** the item for a presentation of the EIA study report by the new consultant.

Item No. 56.04 **Environmental clearance for the building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 288/1 at Koodal Village, Adoor Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Sri. Anwar Hussain Rawthar (File No. 733/SEIAA/EC4/06/2015).**

Project Proponent : Sri. Anwar Hussain Rawthar

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent along with the RQP was present in the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation of the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre feasibility report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application and decided to **Recommend for**

issuance of EC for mining subject to the general conditions and to the specific condition that they should submit realistic revised CSR before SEIAA.

Item No. 56.05 **Environmental clearance for the Building Stone quarry project in Sy. No. 1244 /1 (pt) at Killanur Village, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by M/s Rudra Granite Pvt Ltd (File No. 823/SEIAA/EC1/2606/2015)**

Project Proponent: M/s Rudra Granite Pvt Ltd

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility report, Mining Plan & Field Inspection Report. The Committee decided to **recommend for issuance of EC** with the general conditions subject to the following specific conditions.

1. Protection wall (Protective fencing) shall be provided at vulnerable points of the quarry to avoid accidents.
2. Over burden is to be stored at the designated place.
3. The approach road to the quarry from the main road is not maintained at all. This road must be maintained in good condition by the proponent.
4. Dust suppression mechanism must be in place.
5. A more realistic CSR shall be prepared and submitted before SEIAA.

Item No. 56.06 **Environmental clearance for the Quarry project in Sy. No. 274, 275/1A3, at Thirumittacode Village II, Thirumittacode Panchayath, Pattambi Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala by M/s Tripthy Granites Pvt Ltd (File No. 826/SEIAA/EC1/2609/2015)**

Project Proponent: M/s Tripthy Granites Pvt Ltd

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility report, Mining Plan & Field Inspection Report. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** with the general conditions subject to the following specific conditions.

1. Over burden should be stored in a planned manner in the designated place. It must be provided with protective support and no soil shall be taken outside the lease area.
2. The drainage from the quarry should be channelled through a settling tank.
3. The approach road to the quarry from the main road is not maintained at all. This road must be maintained in good motorable condition by the proponent.

4. Dust suppression mechanism must be in place.
5. Fencing shall be provided at vulnerable points of the quarry to avoid accidents.
6. Sign boards should be fixed in the proper places.

Item No. 56.07 **Environmental clearance for the Proposed construction of office space Project at Survey Nos. 80/4(pt), 80/2(pt), Puthencruz Village, Puthencruz Panchayat, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri. P.V.S. Vinod Tharakan, Managing Director, M/s Claysys Lifestyle Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 832/SEIAA/KL/2706/2015).**

Project Proponent: Sri. P.V.S. Vinod Tharakan, M/s Claysys Lifestyle Pvt. Ltd.

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Form IA, Conceptual Plan & Field Inspection Report. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** with the general conditions subject to the following specific conditions-

The proponent shall ensure that separate drainage canal is constructed up to the adjacent plot in consultation with the development agency so that suitable drainage system is developed to empty the storm in to the Kadambrayar, similar to the natural drainage that existed in the locality. The proponent will have to address this issue so that there is uninterrupted drainage to the rivulet nearby. Presently the flood waters from the several other developed plots also is flowing through this area. If this land is fully filled with buildings as planned, the flow of water will be further impeded and there is every chance for a Chennai type deluge in the campus. To avoid this, drainage shall be provided at one side to drain storm waters and ensuring a flow channel from the plot with proper gradient.

Item No. 56.08 **Environmental clearance for the proposed building stone quarry project in Sy. Nos.138/(pt), 370/3(pt), 836(pt), 837(pt), 838(pt), 839(pt), 847(pt) at Killimangalam Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri. K. R. Viswanathan (File No. 855/SEIAA/EC1/2979/2015)**

Project Proponent: M/s Chelakkara Granites Pvt Ltd

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility report, Mining Plan & Field Inspection Report. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** with the general conditions subject to the following specific conditions.

1. Over burden is partly stored in the eastern side in a planned manner. It must be provided with protective support.

2. The approach road to the quarry from the main road is not maintained at all. This road must be maintained in good motorable condition by the proponent.
3. Dust suppression mechanism must be in place.
4. Forest land is found only 50 m away from the lease area with rocky out crops.
5. Protective fences is to be erected at vulnerable locations.

Item No. 56.09 Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Re Sy. **No. 540/1/1, 540/1/2, 540/2 at, Thamarakkulam Village, Mavelikkara Taluk, Alappuzha District, Kerala by Sri. M.Vijayan Pillai (File No. 886/SEIAA/EC3/3284/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. M. Vijayan Pillai

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance for removal of 900 m^3 of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal shall be in terraced manner up to a maximum depth of 1m.

Item No. 56.10 **Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Block No.36 in Sy. No. 74/3-2 at, Kunnathunad Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri. Mathew.V. Paul (File No. 905/SEIAA/EC3/3497/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. Mathew V. Paul

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance for removal of 500 m^3 of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal shall be uniformly from the entire area.

Item No. 56.11 **Environmental clearance for the proposed residential project in Survey nos. 60/39 and 50 at Manjeri Village and Survey nos. 108/1 at Payyanad Village, Manjeri Municipality, Eranad Taluk and Malappuram District, application of Sri. Febin Puthillath for M/s IMA welfare and research society (File No. 907/SEIAA/KL/3586/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. Febin Puthillath for M/s IMA welfare and research society

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan. The

proposal was **Recommended** with specific conditions that the local body should ensure that the width of the access road shall be a minimum of 7 mts.

Item No. 56.12 **Environmental clearance for the Proposed Township Project at SY Nos. 15/1 and 33/1 at Kodenchery Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala by Sri. Anwar Sadath (File No. 909/SEIAA/EC4/3588/2015)**

Project Proponent : Sri. Anwar Sadath

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan. The Committee decided to **DEFER** the item for field visit by a team consisting of Sri. Ajayakumar, Dr. Harikumar, Dr. Khaleel Chovva and Sri. John Mathai. The sub-committee may specially look into the following aspects.

1. The aerial distance from the Malabar Wild life sanctuary to the project site.
2. Violation in the form of large scale excavations as is observed from the Google map of the area
3. Considering other massive developments noticed from the map is there a need for insisting on a EIA study.
4. 'Status of a portion of site classified as "nilam' in the documents.
5. Being an isolated property precaution to be taken against accidents like fire.

Item No. 56.13 **Environmental clearance for the proposed Kerala Technology Innovation Zone Phase II & Phase III project in Survey no. 321 Part 1 in Thrikkakara North Village, Kanayannur Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala application of Dr. Jayasankar Prasad C., Chief Executive Officer, M/s Kerala Start up- Mission (File No. 910/SEIAA/EC3/3596/2015)**

Project Proponent: Dr. Jayasankar Prasad C., M/s Kerala Start up- Mission

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions.

Item No. 56.14 **Environmental clearance for the proposed Govt. Medical College in Survey nos. 951/1, 1029/1A1A1A, 1A1A1B & 1033/2A1 at Badiyadukka Village, Kasargod Taluk and Kasargod District, application of Dr. PGR Pillai for M/s Govt. Medical college (File No. 911/SEIAA/EC1/3597/2015)**

Project Proponent: Dr. PGR Pillai for M/s Govt. Medical college

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** with the general conditions subject to the following specific conditions.

1. Since water availability could be an issue during summer season a large lined reservoir with a water spread of 0.5 ha shall be formed for storing rain water.
2. Ground water shall be tested for pesticide residues.
3. Medical waste treatment/ disposal plant should be provided inside the campus itself.

The meeting ended at 5.00 pm with vote of thanks to the Chairman and Members.

Sri. Sanjayan Kumar IFS
(Secretary, SEAC)

Sri. V. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)
(Chairman, SEAC)

List of members present Day 1st (06.06.2016)

1. Prof. (Dr.) Keshav Mohan
2. Dr. Oommen V. Oommen
3. Sri. P. Sreekumaran Nair
4. Dr. E.A Jayson
5. Dr. P.S. Harikumar
6. Sri. S. Ajayakumar
7. Dr. K. G. Padmakumar

Day -II (07-06-2016)

Item No. 56.15 **Environmental clearance for the Proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 166/2, 166/2-6, 166/2-7, 166/2-9, 166/2-10 at koodal Village, Adoor Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Sri. P. J. Jacob (File No. 912/SEIAA/EC4/3648/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. P. J. Jacob

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent along with the RQP attended the meeting and the RQP made a brief power-point presentation. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility report, Mining Plan & Field Inspection Report. The committee observed that the proponent has submitted Mining Plan as per KMMC Rule 1967. Hence **deferred** the item for submission of revised mining plan as per KMMC Rule 2015.

Item No. 56.16 **Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Block 26 Re Sy. No. 63/6 at Edakkatuvayal Village, Kanayannur Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. Rajan S. Thomas (File No. 913/SEIAA/EC3/3656/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. Rajan S. Thomas

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance for removal of 7000m^3 of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in terraced manner limiting average depth of removal to 1m.

Item No. 56.17 **Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 1080/2(P) at Onakkoor village, Muvattupuzha Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Sri. Philip George, M/s. Murickans Quarry (File No. 915/SEIAA/EC3/3661/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. Philip George, M/s. Murickans Quarry

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent along with the RQP attended the meeting and the RQP made a brief power-point presentation of the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility report, Mining Plan & Field Inspection Report. The proponent admitted that the quarry is presently operational and hence there is a violation. This may be looked into by SEIAA. The Committee decided to

Recommend for issuance of EC on completion action against violation subject to the following specific condition along with other general conditions.

1. There is an adjacent quarry named 'Edaayan Crushers'. From the presentation, it was observed that there is no set out, between the two. This should be strictly provided as stipulated in rules.

2. Presently bench formation is not followed at all. This should be strictly adhered to.

Item No. 56.18 **Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 14/2(p), 14/1, 14/3, 22/3, 22/1, 13/3, 13/2-1, 13/2, 13/1, 13/4-5, 22/4-1, 22/4-2, 22/4-3, 22/4, 22/5-1, 22/5-2, 22/5-2-1, 22/2, 13/9-1, 13/9-2, 13/4-6, 14/5-1, 13/4, 13/4-7, 14/19, 13/4-1, 13/4-2, 13/4-3, 13/19, 13/8-3, 13/8-4, 13/8, 13/8-2, 13/8-1, 13/20, 1/15, 1/4-2, 13/11, 1/3-2(p), 59/10(P) at Thottapuzhassery & Koipuram Village, block no .24 Thiruvalla Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Sri. K.M Mathew (File No. 916/SEIAA/EC4/3670/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. K.M Mathew

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent along with the RQP attended the meeting and the RQP made a brief power-point presentation of the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility report, Mining Plan & Field Inspection Report. The quarry is in operational based on lease and quarry permit. He has got lease as well as permit. There could be possible violation for quarrying using these permit and lease. The Committee **Deferred** the proposal for site inspection and for the submission of revised CSR.

Item No. 56. 19 **Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 172(pt) at Kodiyathoor Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala by Sri. O. Sivarajan (File No. 917/SEIAA/EC4/3671/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. O. Sivarajan

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and RQP attended the meeting and the RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Pre-feasibility report and Mining Plan. The

Committee noticed that the quarry is in operational based on quarry permit. There could be possible **violation for** quarrying using this permit. The committee **deferred** the item for field visit.

Item No. 56.20 **Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Block 36 in Re Sy. No. 78/32 at, Kunnathunad Village, Kunnathunad Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. V.K. Greego Kurian (File No. 918/SEIAA/EC3/3728/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. V.K. Greego Kurian

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance for removal of 10,000 m^3 of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in terraced manner limiting average depth of removal to 2m.

Item No. 56.21 **Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Re Sy. No. 277/2 at Kanayannur Village, Kanayannur Taluk, Ernakulam, Kerala by Sri. Reji, P. M. (File No. 919/SEIAA/EC3/3837/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. Reji, P. M.

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance for removal of 10,000 m^3 of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in terraced manner limiting average depth of removal to 2m.

Item No. 56.22 **Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth block no. 29, in Sy. No. 304/4-2, 304/4 at, Mazhuvanoor Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri. Boban Joseph (File No. 920/SEIAA/EC3/3858/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. Boban Joseph

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance for removal of 4,000 m^3 of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in uniform manner.

Item No. 56.23 **Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Sy. No. 107/4-2, 107/4-4, 106/11-3 at Pattimattom Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri.Binu P.Varghese (File No. 921/SEIAA/EC3/ 3859/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. Binu P. Varghese

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend to reject** the proposal, since the Additional Tahsildar in his report has expressed apprehensions about removal of earth.

Item No. 56.24 **Environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of existing medical campus project in Sy. Nos. 250/1, 1-1, 2, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 251/1-7, 1-8, 253/1, 1-1, 2-1, 2-2 at Mel-Thonnakkal Village, Mangalapuram Panchayath, Trivandrum District, Kerala by Sri. E.M. Najeeb for M/s KIMS healthcare management Limited (File No. 977/SEIAA/EC1/4552/2015)**

Project Proponent: Sri. E.M. Najeeb; M/s KIMS healthcare management Limited

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and Engineer attended the meeting and the Engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and Conceptual Plan. The committee **Deferred** the item for field visit by a team consisting of Sri. Ajaya Kumar, Sri. Sreekumaran Nair, Sri. John Mathai, Dr Oommen V Oommen and Sri. Sanjayan Kumar.

Item No. 56. 25 **Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Sy. No. 140/1 at Mulanthuruthi Village and Mulanthuruthi Panchayat, Kanayannur Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri. P.M. Elias (File No.1032/SEIAA/EC3/267/2016)**

Project Proponent: Sri. P.M. Elias

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the WP (C) 13674 of 2016 (H) dtd.27.05.2016 filed by Sri. P. M. Elias, which directs the SEIAA to consider the matter within a period of two weeks therefrom from the date of receipt of the certified copy. The Judgment states that *SEIAA shall convene a meeting specially within two weeks therefrom, if no regular meeting is convened within two weeks' time, for the purpose of considering the matter and*

decide upon the same expeditiously. The committee **Deferred** the item for site inspection by a team consisting of Dr. E.A. Jayson and Dr. K.G. Padmakumar.

Item No. 56. 26 General Discussions:

1. The Committee once again requested the Secretary to convene as many meetings as required for clearing the back log. The only condition is that error free agenda notes shall be circulated sufficiently in advance.

2. Committee also noted that even now unpardonable errors and mistakes are creeping into the agenda notes which shall be avoided at any cost. Secretary was requested to take necessary steps in this regard.

Item No.56.27 Environmental clearance for proposed mining project in Sy. Nos. 229/1, 229/13, 229/9, 229/9-1, 234/10, 234/11, 234/3, 234/4, 234/5, 234/6, 234/8-2, 234/9-1, 238/12, 238/13-2, 238/16-2, 238/17-2, 240/10, 240/11, 240/7, 240/7-1, 240/7-2, 240/8, 240/9, 241/10, 241/1-1, 241/1-2, 241/12-16, 241/12-17, 241/12-2, 241/13-1, 241/18, 241/2, 241/4, 241/5, 241/6, 241/7, 241/8, 241/8-1, 241/9-1, 242/1, 242/2, 242/4-2, 242/4-3, 242/5, 242/6, 242/7, 242/8, 245/4, 245/5, 245/6, 245/6-1, 245/6-2, 245/6-3 and 245/6-4 at Aruvikkara Village and Panchayath, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala by M/s Travancore Blue Metal Industries (P) Ltd. (File No. 152/SEIAA/EC1/3072/2013)

Project Proponent: M/s Travancore Blue Metal Industries (P) Ltd.

The Proponent appeared before the Committee and submitted to reconsider the decision of the Committee taken in its 52nd meeting. After examining the details, the Committee members explained the proponent that from the environmental point of view, it is better to formulate a mining plan for all areas including lease areas. Therefore Committee informed the proponent that there was no reason to change its earlier decision.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS

Item No. 56.28 **Environment Clearance for Proposed Commercial Complex (Hotel, Convention Centre & Shopping Mall) project by M/s Lulu International Shopping Mall Pvt. Ltd. at Survey Nos. 1888/2-6, 1888/4-2, 1888/1-3, 1890/1, 1888/12-1-1, 1888/12-2, 1888/1-2-1, 1888/1-2, 1888/1-1-1, 1888/12-3, 1888/1-1, 1888/1-2-4-1, 1888/1-1-2, 1882, 1888/1-2-2, 1888/1-2-6, 1888/2-2, 1888/2-3, 1888/2-4, Kadakampally Village, Thiruvananthapuram Municipal Corporation, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk & District, Kerala by Sri. Nishad, M.A., Director, M/s LULU International Shopping Mall Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 1047/SEIAA/EC1/899/2016)**

Project Proponent : Sri. Nishad, M.A., Director, M/s LULU International Shopping Mall Pvt. Ltd.

Since the project has certification from Indian Green Building Council (IGBC) this was placed before the Committee out of turn. The proponent and the Consultant attended the meeting. The Consultant presented the ToR and informed that the proponent had already submitted the application online. And since nothing was heard from SEAC within the prescribed time limit they presumed that the ToR was approved and hence went ahead with EIA study. They have also submitted the EIA report to the Directorate. Since SEIAA is yet to operationalize OSMEC in Kerala, online submission is not being accepted yet. Hence, presumption of deemed approval for ToR is not acceptable. However, considering the fact that ToR submitted is acceptable without any change, the Committee decided to go ahead with the processing of EIA study report. The committee **Deferred** the item for site inspection by a team consisting of Sri. S. Ajaya Kumar, Sri. P. Sreekumaran Nair, Sri. John Mathai, Dr. Oommen V. Oommen and Sri. Sanjayan Kumar.

The meeting ended at 2.00 pm with vote of thanks to the Chairman and Members.

Sri. Sanjayan Kumar IFS
(Secretary, SEAC)

Sri. V. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)
(Chairman, SEAC)

List of members present Day 2nd (07.06.2016)

1. Prof. (Dr.) Keshav Mohan
2. Dr. Oommen V. Oommen
3. Sri. P. Sreekumaran Nair
4. Dr. George Chackacherry
5. Dr. K. Harikrishnan
6. Dr. E.A Jayson
7. Dr. P.S. Harikumar
8. Sri. S. Ajayakumar
9. Dr. K. G. Padmakumar