Proceed has of the State Environmental Impact Assesment Authority Moulos-

(Present Prof. (Dr.) K. P. Joy, Chairman, Dr. Subhashini, Member, Dr., Niveditha, P. Haran iAS, Member Secretary & Additional Chief Secretary to Government (Errobonment Department)

Sub: SEAA, Kerala Quarry projectof M/S Profile Grant te, Mysoormala, Mukkam, Kumaran elloor, Kozhikod e-Euvironment Clearance - Accorded

Epvironmental Cleanance

No.130/SETAA/2437/2013

Dated 1-04-2014

- Ref. 1. Application dated Nil from Sri. Habeeb Rahiman P.M., Managing Partner, Profile Granite, Mysoormala, Kumaranellloor, Kozhikoda- 673602.
 - 2. Minutes of the 20th Meeting of SEIAA held oN 05-10-2013
 - 3. Minutes of the 23rd meeting of SEAC held on 6/7-01-2014
 - 4. Minutes of the 26rd meeting of SEAC held on 20/21-03-2014
 - 5. Minutes of the 30th meeting of SEAC held on 6/7-06-2014
 - 6. Minutes of the 33rd meeting of SEAC held on 24-09-2014

ORDER

Mr. Habeeb Rahman P.M., Managing Partner, M/s Profile Granites, vide his application received on 02-09-2013, has sought Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification, 2006 for the building stone quarry project in Survey Nos. 78/2A at Kumaranellur Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala for an area of 4.830 hectares. The project comes under Category B, Activity 1(a), (i) as per the Schedule of EIA Notification 2006 (since it is below 50 hectares) and as per 0.M. No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M) dated 18th May 2012 of Ministry of Environment and Forests. It is further categorized as Category B2 as per the 0.M. No. J-13012/12/2013-IA-II (I) dt. 24.12.2013 of Ministry of Environment and Forests, since the area of the project is below 25 hectares.

File No	D	130/SEIAA/KL/2437/2013
Name	of project	Building Stone Quarry of M/s. Profile Granite,
Briefa	lescription of the project	Open cast mining
Catego	ory/Subcategory & Schedule	"B" Category , 1(a)
Locati	ion_Syno/ district Taluk/village	Survey No. 78/2A. of Kumaraneliur Village, Kozhikode Taluk
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and District, and Kerala State.
GPSC	o-ordinates	Longitude: E 76° 01' 19920" to E 76° 01' 25 320"
		-Latitude: N 11° 18′ 29.6" to N 11° 18′ 16.956"
	Extent of area (in hectares)	4.83 Ha.
	Minimum and maximum height of excavation (MSL)	Highest 165 and Lowest 45 MSL
Cts	Life of mine proposed	8 years
g proje	Ultimate depth of mining (in MSL)	45 m (120 m from hill top level)
for Mining projects	Distance from the adjacent quarry	3 km from quarry towards E
10 J	Capacity of production	4,02,000 TPA
	Details of Project Cost	Fotal: Rs 2.0 Crores
		Land cost: Rs. 1.0 Crore
		Plant & Machinery: Rs.1 _ O Crore

Charecomiriendations	
A CHILD A CHILD ONE	CRZ. Pot Applicable as no grater whole of the lease area
	Not Applicable mona race
	Not Applicable us no parter whole of the lease area full

U	ABOUT THE PROJECT
Environerrental parameters	
	WATER Missation Measures
Water requirement & sources	
<u> </u>	Domestic consumption - well water Dust suppress for P
R Wit units proposed	
Tames for Oposed	Dust suppress ion Rain water collected in the pit
Facilities for liquid	Two Rain water harvesting ponds are proposed during ponding where ponds extending over an area of 0.5 Ha
	e Liquid waster for its extending over an area of 0.5 Ha
treament	e Liquid wastes from toilet and office will be treated in septic tar
Impoundment, damning	Nil
culverting, realignment or	, INI
$1 \cup I = 0$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
watercourses or aquifers?	f $ $
Does it have	
Does it have provisions for use of	f Quarrying activity does not require water hence no recycling.
recycled water	and the state of t
	The response of the second sec
Proximity to forest lands	LAND
Access road to the site -Width &	No forest zone is falling proximity of 10 km buffer zone The lease area is accessible by the lease area is accessible by the lease area.
Condition Condition	The lease area is accessible to kin buffer zone
	Anayamkunnu towards SE - 1 1 1 by all-weather roads from
Storge C	3km from Anavambana and fracts to infine at a distance of
Storage of explosives /hazardous	Explosives will be procured, stored, transported, handled and used in
substances	accordance with I-1
	accordance with Indian Explosive Act 1884, MSIHC Rules 1989,
Facility for solid waste mgmt	Mines Act, 1952 and regulations there under
	Solid waste will be utilized for road and construction works.
Topographic features/slope	Consultation works.
Soope	General strike: NW - SE with local variation of 10 ⁰ - 15 ⁰ on
	either side.
	Dip: 70° - 80° dinning north
·	
•	Width of the one had
Committee and Analysis and Anal	Denn of the one base
And the second s	135.00 mts
Top soil overburden etc	
	14.013.1011.63
the second secon	Topsoil 34 913 topposes The total amount of topsoil is existing within the leasehold is
	calculated below.

135.00 mts
الديميان المالية المنافرة المن
is existing within the leasehold is
A.A.
quarry operation, since quarry EMM which are operated by HSD pply for operation of crushers and a stand by in place of no power
e species in the area.

_					A						
	There	will	1903	be any	103 of 1	astive	species	\mathfrak{Of}	gastic	divers	Sit
	as the	ouar	rv 🗃	lieady a	e Lexista and	l conti	mation	of	áthe samue	e will	810

	Loss of F2-Other species and genetic	Take will not beany loss finative species of gains diversity
	diversity	as the quarry alteady existend continuation of the same will not
		affect or loss of mive spains in general.
		SOCIAL ASP #CIS
	Proximity to nearest habitation	Kumaranallur village whichis a distance of 0.5 Km.
	CSR correponents suggested	Rs. 10 Lakhs/amum will be earmarked for socio developmental
200		activities
-		Rs. 15 Lakhs/amum is earnaked as corpus fund for environmental
-		mitigative measures.
\.,		Proponent shall provide required Medical facility to all the employees,
		medical check-up camps to the local villagers, supply of drinking
		water, and contribution of finds for social and cultural program.
		Proponent shall pay the Funds/contribution for construction of school, bus shelter, transportation for school children and also also conates
		cloths and ornaments for the marriage of poor girls.
		GENERAL
	Does it propose environment	Yes, the Environmental Management Plan prepared and
	managernent plan	submitted to SEIAA.
	Does it have eco restoration	Post mining closure plan is prepared and the same will be
	programmes	implemented.
	Litigation/court cases, if any,	There are no litigations against the project as declared by the Project
	against the project (provide	Proponent.
	details)	
	Details of Authorised Signatory	Mr. Habeeb Rahman P.M.
	3	Managing Partner
		M/s Profile Granite
		Puthoor Madathil House
	· ·	Mukkam P.O., Kozhikode
		Kerala - 673602.
İ		Mob. No. +91-9037238754; +91-9447111191; 9947111191
		Email: Profileenterprises@gmail.com
	Details of NABET approved EIA	
	consultant organisation	#143, 2 nd Floor, 39 th Main, 4 th Cross Behind Silk Board
		BTM Layout 2 nd Stage
ļ		Bengaluru - 560068;
		TeleFax: +9180 26783006
1	· '	1 10 11-0

The proposal was considered as agenda item no: 20:09 in the 20th SEAC meeting held on 5th October 2012 and was defound for the inspection and earling additional distinguisms from the proponent for further processing of the application. Subsequently, a subcommittee of SEAC consisting of Dr. N.G.K. Pillai, Chairman, SEAC; Sri, John Mathai, Dr. Harikumar and Dr. E. J. Joseph, Members of SEAC inspected the site on 22.10.2013 and submitted the following report.

Email: mail@metamorphosis-india.com

'The site is located on the south facing hill slopes with moderate slope. Rubber is the dominant land use in the lower part while rock is exposed in places in the upper slope. Charnockite is the main rock type and is mostly massive in nature. The Sy. No. 78/2A covers a vast area. A number of working quarries are seen in that Sy. No. and some quarries are seen abandoned. The approach road is narrow and not well maintained. Settlements are not seen except for the house near entrance at the main road.

Following points merit consideration-

- a) The boundary of the plotwin distance from reference boundary pllars has to be provided cadastral map. The precise coordinates of these points may also be given. The boundary of the plot given in the report needs correction.
- b) Steep cuttings are seen in the old workings. This needs to be reduced by providing benches oreferably along the strike of the body.
- c) A distance of 100 mm from the HT line and 50 mm from the most should be maintained as No Develop ment Zone. This may be demarcated with pillars. A proper green belt has to be provided to the 50 mbuffer zone by the side of the road.
- d) Storm water channelization is presently not practised. The water from the pit area need to be let into desiltation tanks and clarified before it is let out. Possibility of providing a check dam at the lowermost valley section for a next stage of desiltation and clarification may be explored".

In the mean time the proponent submitted the clarifications/additional documents. The matter was placed before SEAC in its 23rd meeting held on 6th and 7th January 2014 as agenda item no. 23.06 for verification of the documents for its satisfactory receipt. The Committee verified the additional darifications/documents submitted by the proponent and it was found that the proponent has not satisfactorily provided the clarifications/documents sought earlier. Considering the same, the proposal was deferred seeking satisfactory clarifications from the proponent regarding the above items, which were sought earlier. Also, further to the field inspection report the proponent was directed to provide the following:

- (i) Assurance in the form of affidavit that steep cuttings seen in the old workings shall be reduced by providing benches preferably along the strike of the body.
- (ii) Assurance in the form of affidavit that a distance of 100 m from the HT line and 50 m from the road shall be maintained as No Development Zone and the same may be demarcated with pillars.
- (iii) Assurance in the form of affidavit that green belt shall be provided to the 50 m buffer zone by the side of the road.
- (iv)Since storm water channelization is presently not practiced, the water from the pit area need to be let into desiltation tanks and clarified before it is let out. Provision for a check dam at the lowermost valley section for a next stage of desiltation and clarification to be provided.

Since the proponent has provided the clarifications/documents sought, the matter was placed before SEAC in its 26th meeting held on 20th and 21st March 2014 as agenda item no. 26.14 for verification of the documents for its satisfactory receipt. The Committee verified the additional clarifications/documents provided by the proponent which were found to be satisfactory. The proponent was further directed to provide a map showing the No Development Zone with pillars demarcating a distance of 100 m from the HT line and 50 m from the road. The Committee also found that a complaint has been forwarded from MoEF pertaining to the quarries including the areas of the present project. Considering time same, the refit was deferred and this decided that the proposal shall be further considered and final decision taken only after analyzing the complaint and obtaining the reports thereof. On submission of the clarifications by the proponent, the item was placed in 30th SEAC meeting held on 6th and 7th June 2014 considered the proposal under agenda item no. 30.55. The Committee verified the additional clarifications/documents submitted by the proponent, which were found to be satisfactory. The Committee examined the complaint forwarded from MoEF pertaining to the quarries including the areas of the present project and observed after the field visit that the quarrying activities related to the present projects is not creating any serious environmental impacts. Hence the proposal was recommended for environmen tal clearance stipulating usual conditions for mining projects.

3. The Application connected documents affidavits produced and recommendation of SEAC were placed in the 33rd meeting of the SEIAA held on 24-09-20 14. The SEIAA considered the recommend ation of SEAC, the assurances given by the project prepare in the report and affidavit, inspection report of SEAC and 011 other relevant documents. It was decided to approve the

adioissue Environmental Clearance under the EA notification 2006 asperthe domnero acted by the project proponent as appraised and recommended for by the SEAC.

- 4. Environmental Clearance under EIA notification 2006 is accorded for the proposed quany project of M/S Profile Granites, Putatoer Madathil House, Mukkom P.O., Kozhikode in Survey No. 78/2 A of Kamaranelloor Village Kozhikode Taluk subject to all the mining features, Environmental Management Plans as undertaken in the EMP submitted to SEIAA which will form part of this proceedings on if incorporated herein, and the following additional specific conditions, and this general conditions on mining of miner mineral appended hereto:
 - a) The boundary of the plot with distance from reference boundary pillars has to be provided in the cadastral map. The precise coordinates of the sepoints may also be given. The boundary of the piot given in the report needs correction.
 - b) Steep cuttings are seen in the old workings. This needs to be reduced by providing benches preferably along the strike of the body.
 - c) A distance of 100 m from the HT line and 50 m from the road should be maintained as No Development Zone. This may be demarcated with pillars. A proper green belt has to be provided to the 50 m buffer zone by the side of the road.
 - d) Storm water channelization is presently not practiced. The water from the pit area need to be let into desiltation tanks and clarified before it is let out. Possibility of providing a check dam at the lowermost valley section for a next stage of desiltation and clarification may be explored.
 - 5. Validity of this Environmental clearance will be 8 years for 1-10-2014 provided and it will be subject to review at any stage earlier, if any of the conditions is the E.C. is violated, or not duly complied with.
 - Compliance of the conditions herein will be monitored by the Directorate of Environment and Climate Change or its agencies and also by the Regional office of the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Govt. of India, Bangalore.
 - 11. Necessary assistance for entry and inspection should be provided by the project proponent and those who are engaged or entrusted by him to the staff for Inspection or monitoring.
 - III. Instances of violation if any shall be reported to the District Collector, Kozhikode to take legal action under the Environment (Protection) Act 1986.

For Member Secretary (SEIAA)

o 🌃 protesta de la secono de la compacta del la compacta de la compacta de la compacta de la

conspirated to the second of the second

Managing Partner,
M/S Profile Granites,
Puthoor Madathil House,
Mukkam P.O., Kozhikode
Pin. 673 602

Copy to:

- 1. IA-Division, Monitoring Cell, MoEF, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110003
- 2. MoEF Regional Office, Southern Zone, Kendriya Sadan, 4th Floor, E&F Wing, II Block Koramangala, Bangalore-560034
- 3. The Addl Chief Secretary to Government, Environment Dept, Government of Kerala
- 4. The District Collector, Kozhikode
- 5. The Director, Dept. of Environment and Climate Change, Govt of Kerala, Tym-24.

- 6. The Director, Mining and Geology Department, Sover much of Merala
- 7. The Secretary, Karassery Grains Panchayaith, Kozhiko de District
- 8. Chairman S EMA Kerala
- 9. Website
- 10. Stockfile
- 11. O/c

STATE INVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY KERALA

GENERAL CONDITIONS (for mining projects)

- (i) Rain Water Havesting capacity should be installed as per the prevailing provisions of KMBR / KPBR, unless otherwise specified elsewhere.
- (ii) Environment Monitoring Cell as agreed under the affidavit filed by the proponent should be formed and made functional.
- (iii) Suitable avenue trees should be planted along either side of the tarred road and open parking areas, if any, inclusive of approach road and internal roads.
- (iv) The project shall incorporate devices for solar energy generation and utilization wherever possible.
- (v) Sprinklers shall be installed and used in the project site to contain dust emissions.
- (vi) Eco-restoration including the mine closure plan shall be done at the own cost of the project proponent.
- (vii) At least 10 percent out of the total excavated pit area should be retained as water storage areas and the remaining area should be reclaimed with stacked dumpings and overburden and planted with indigenous plant species that are eco-friendly.
- (Viii) Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) agreed upon by the proponent should be implemented in tune with the conditions specified in the Companies Act, 2013.
 - (ix) Barbed metal wire fencing around the mining area shall be provided with a height of not less than 5 ft so that falling of animals/human beings/dumping of garbages etc. does not happen.
 - (x) Warning alarms indicating the time of blasting (to be done at specific timings) has to be arranged as per stipulations of Explosive Department.
- (xi) Control measures on noise and vibration prescribed by KSPCB should be implemented.
- (xii) Quarrying activities should be limited to day time as per KSPCB guidelines.
- (Xiii) Blasting should be done in a controlled manner as specified by the regulations of Explosives Department or any other concerned agency.
- (xiv) A licensed person should supervise/ control the blasting operations.
- (xv) Access roads to the quarry shall be torred to contain dust emissions that may arise during transportation of materials.
- and restored.
- (XVII) Height of benches should not exceed 5 m and width should not be less than 5 m.
- (xviii) Mats to reduce fly rock blast to a maximum of 10 PPV should be provided.
 - (XiX) Mining depth should not exceed beyond 40 m, unless otherwise specified or not below the level of nearest stream bed, whichever is less.
 - (XX) No mining operations should be carried out at places having a slope greater than 45°.
 - (XXI) Acoustic enclosures should have been provided to reduce sound amplifications in addition to the provisions of green belt and hollow brick envelop for crushers so that the noise level is kept within prescribed standards given by CPCB/KSPCB.
- (xxii) The workers on the site should be provided with the required protective equipment such as ear muffs, helmet, etc.
- (xxiii) Garland drains with clarifiers to be provided in the lower slopes around the core area to channelize storm water.
- (xxiv) The transportation of minerals should be done in covered trucks to contain dust emissions.

e proponent thought alant trees at least bitimes with institut has been occurred withit clearing the * landforthe project.

- Disposal of spentol from diesel engines should be asspecific dunder relevant Rules/Regulations.
- (Axvii) Explosives should be stored in magazines in isolated place specified and approved by the Explosives Department.
- (XXVII) Norms of Kerala State Pollution Control Board should be adhered to regarding distance criteria of residences, roads, rivers and worship places.
- (xxix) 200 m buffer distance should be maintained from florest borandaries.
- (XXX) Consent from Kerala State Pollution Comtrol Board under Waterand Air Act(s) should have been obtained before initiating activity.
 - (xxxi) All other statutory clearances should have been obtained, as applicable, by project proponents from the respective competent authorities including that for blasting and storage of explosives.
 - (xxxii) In the case of any change(s) in the scope of the project, the project would require a fresh appraisal by this Authority.
 - (xxxiii) The Authority reserves the right to add additional safeguard measures subsequently, if found necessary, and to take action including revoking of the environment clearance under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, to ensure effective implementation of the suggested safeguard measures in a time bound and satisfactory manner.
 - (XXXIV) The stipulations by Statutory Authorities under different Acts and Notifications should be complied with, including the provisions of Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention and control of Pollution) act 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, the Public Liability (Insurance) Act, 1991 and EIA Notification, 2006.
 - (XXXV) The project proponent should advertise in at least two local newspapers widely circulated in the region, one of which (both the advertisement and the newspaper) shall be in the vernacular language informing that the project has been accorded Environmental Clearance and copies of clearance letters are available with the Department of Environment and Climate Change, Govt. of Kerala and may also be seen on the website of the Authority at www.selaakerala.org. The advertisement should be made within 10 days from the date of receipt of the Clearance letter and a copy of the same signed in all pages should be forwarded to the office of this Authority as confirmation.
 - (xxxvi) A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the proponent to concerned Grama Panchayat/ District Panchayat/ Municipality/Corporation/Urban Local Body and also to the Local NGO, if any, from whom suggestions / representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The Environmental Clearance shall also be put on the website of the company by the proponent.
 - (XXXVII) The proponent shall submit half yearly reports on the status of compliance of the stipulated EC conditions including results of monitored data (both in hard copies as well as by e-mail) and upload the status of compliance of the stipulated EC conditions, including results of monitored data on their website and shall update the same periodically. It shall simultaneously be sent to the respective Regional Office of MoEF, Govt.

 of India and also to the Directorate of Environment and Climate Change, Govt. of Kerala.
 - - (XXXIX) The proponent should provide notarized affidavit (indicating the number and date of Environmental Clearance proceedings) that all the conditions stipulated in the EC shall be scrupulously followed.

-547-

Member Secretary, SEIAA Kerala