



Validity expires on 16/08/2022

Proceedings of the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority Kerala

*Present: Prof. (Dr.) K.P. Joy, Chairman; Dr. J. Subhashini, Member and
Sri. James Varghese, I.A.S., Member Secretary.*

Sub: SEIAA- Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 275/1A by Mr.T.P.Sunil Babu at Trippangottur Village, Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala State for an area of 2.3066 Ha - EC granted – Orders issued.

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY, KERALA

No.859/SEIAA/EC4/2988/15

Dated, Thiruvananthapuram 17/08/2017

- Ref:
1. Application dated 27/07/2015 from Sri T.P. Sunil Babu, Thankesapurayil, Kandyam House, Narikkottumala P.O., Panoor
 2. Minutes of the 64th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 16th & 17th November, 2016.
 3. Minutes of the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017.
 4. Minutes of the 71st meeting of SEAC held on 20th and 21st April 2017.
 5. Minutes of the 69th meeting of SEIAA held on 1st June 2017.
 6. Affidavit dated on 11/07/2017 from Shri.T.P.Sunil Babu

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE NO. 59/2017

Sri T.P. Sunil Babu, Thankesapurayil, Kandyam House, Narikkottumala P.O., Panoor, vide his application dated on 27/07/2015 has sought Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification, 2006 for the quarry project in Sy. No. 275/1A at Trippangottur Village, Trippangottur Panchayat Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala-670693 for an area of 2.3066 hectares. The project comes under Category B/B2, Activity 1(a), (i) as per the Schedule of EIA Notification 2006 (since it is below 50 hectares) and as per O.M. No. L-11011/47/2011-IA-II(M) dated 18th May 2012 of Ministry of Environment and Forests. It is further categorized as Category B2 as per the O.M. No. J-13012/12/2013-IA-II (I) dt. 24.12.2013 of Ministry of Environment and Forests, since the area of the project is below 25 hectares.

Details of the project as furnished by the Applicant are as follows:

**BASIC INFORMATION OF QUARRY
(To be filled in by the Project Proponent)**

PART A

| Project details | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
| | File No. | 859 / SEIAA / EC4 / 2988 / 2015 |
| 2. | Name /Title of the project | Environment Clearance for Building Stone Quarry project of Mr. T.P. Sunil Babu for area 2.3066 hectares. |

| 3. | Name and address of project proponent. | Mr. T.P. SUNIL BABU, Thankesapurayil, Kandyam House, Narikkottumala P.O., Panoor, Kannur District, Kerala-670693 | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|------------------------------|------------|------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------|
| 4. | Owner of the land | Private own land | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Survey No. District/Taluk/ and Village etc. | Survey No. 275/1A of Trippangottur Village, Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Details of period of lease or permit with number including the beginning and expiry date of lease/permit period (Copy to be attached) | <p>The present application area is 2.3066 ha. and there is no quarry operation at site. The quarry was in operation with a short term permit and the validity of the same was expired on 27-10-2016 and there is no quarry operation at site. The details are given below :-</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Short Term Permit No. & Date</th> <th>Valid upto</th> <th>Short term permit area</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>28/2015- 16/MM/GS/CRPS/DOC/M- 3579/15 dt. 28-10-2015</td> <td>27-10- 2016</td> <td>0.4047 ha. (40.47 Ares)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The copy of the short term permit is attached.</p> | | | Short Term Permit No. & Date | Valid upto | Short term permit area | 28/2015- 16/MM/GS/CRPS/DOC/M- 3579/15 dt. 28-10-2015 | 27-10- 2016 | 0.4047 ha. (40.47 Ares) |
| Short Term Permit No. & Date | Valid upto | Short term permit area | | | | | | | | |
| 28/2015- 16/MM/GS/CRPS/DOC/M- 3579/15 dt. 28-10-2015 | 27-10- 2016 | 0.4047 ha. (40.47 Ares) | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | Present Status of the project | Starting date 28-10-2015. | | | | | | | | |
| | a. Date & Year of starting the work of the quarry project. | | | | | | | | | |
| | b. whether the quarry is working at present or not ? | | | | | | | | | |
| | c. If stopped working since when? | The quarry is stopped since 27-10-2016 due to the expiry of validity of short term permit. | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | Date of submission of Application | 27-07-2015 | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|
| 9. | Brief description of the project. | The land for the proposed quarry is private owned land and the land is possessed in the name of Mr. T.P. Sunil Babu. The targeted production of mine will be 50,000 MTA. The estimated project cost will be Rs. 6.32 Crores. The expected life of mine will be about 9 years. The working will be carried out by opencast semi-mechanized method. | | |
| 10. | Details of Authorized Signatory and address for correspondence | Mr. T.P. SUNIL BABU, Thankesapurayil, Kandyan House, Narikkottumala P.O., Panoor, Kannur District, Kerala-670693. | | |
| I. Land Details | | | | |
| 11. | 1. Extent of area in hectares | 2.3066 ha. | | |
| 12. | 2. Is the property forest land/Govt. land/own land/patta land | Private own land | | |
| 13. | 3. Quantity of top soil/over burden produced and managed | A total estimated quantity of 2,002 cu. m. of topsoil is proposed to be removed during the mining operations. The topsoil excavated from the quarry will be dumped separately at pre-determined place and subsequently will be utilized in spreading over reclaimed areas for plantation. Precautions will be taken to limit the height of the topsoil dump to 5 to 6 meters in order to preserve its fertility and shelf life. It will be suitably protected from soil erosion and infertility by planting fodder grass and leguminous plants during temporary storage. About 2,402 cu.m. of overburden will be generated throughout the mine life. This waste will be utilized within the pit for lying of haul roads. At the end use, OB can be reutilized as soil base for plantation. | | |
| 14. | 4. Latitude and Longitude | Latitude (N) | 11°47'51.34" to 11°47'45.59" | |
| | | Longitude (E) | 75°39'19.40" to 75°39'12.70" | |
| 15. | 5. Topography of land and elevation | Part of the proposed land existing quarry and there is no vegetation and remaining part of the proposed land is covered with native trees, shrubs, herbs, grass, climbers, bushes etc. The highest elevation of the lease area is 279 m MSL and lowest is 219 m MSL. | | |
| 16. | 6. Slope analysis | Towards south-west side. | | |
| 17. | 7. Will there be any significant land | Due care will be taken to provide channel all around the foot | | |

| | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| | disturbance resulting in soil erosion, subsidence & natural drainage. | of the hill to collect run off and also to avoid soil erosion. There is no danger of flood or inundation as the proposed working is above the normal ground level. The area is not susceptible to floods. |
| 18. | 8. Access road to the site width and condition | 7 m. wide tarred road. |
| 19. | 9. Will there be any adverse impact on the aesthetics of the proposal site | The land used will be fully reclaimed and rehabilitated by backfilling the pits and plantation. Plantation and afforestation will add to the improvement in environment and aesthetic beauty of the area. |
| II. Mining details | | |
| 20. | a) Minimum and Maximum height of excavation. | The exploitation of mineral is being done from 279 m. MSL to 219 m. MSL in conceptual phase. |
| 21. | b) Life of mine proposed. | About 9 years |
| 22. | c) Underground mining if any proposed | Not applicable |
| 23. | d) Method of Mining | The working will be carried out by opencast semi-mechanized method as per the approved Mining Plan. |
| 24. | e) Distance from the adjacent quarry | There is no quarry in operation within 500 m. of the proposed quarry. In this regard cluster certificate is submitted along with the application. |
| 25. | f) Cluster condition if any | The copy of cluster certificate is already submitted with the Environment Clearance Application. |
| 26. | g) Has "No cluster certificate" submitted? | Cluster certificate is already submitted with Environment Clearance Application. |
| 27. | h) Distance from nearby habitation | 101 m. (NW direction) |
| 28. | i) Distance from nearby forest, if applicable | Kannavam Reserve Forest about 3 km. (W) |
| 29. | j) Distance from protected area, Wildlife Sanctuary, National Park etc. | Aralam Wildlife Sanctuary within 15 km. (Application for Wildlife Clearance from NBWL at MoEF was submitted) |
| 30. | k) Distance from nearby streams/rivers/National Highway and | <u>Water Bodies :-</u> Anjarakandy River, 5 km, N Ponniyam River, 10 km, W |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Roads | Highway - SH-38, 12 km, W |
| 31. | l) Is ESA applicable? If so distance from ESA limit | Not falling in ESA |
| 32. | m) Has approved mining plan, prepared by RQP submitted? | Yes, the approved mining plan prepared by RQP is already submitted along with the application. |
| 33. | n) Capacity of production in TPA | 50,000 MTA |
| 34. | o) Details of mining process | The mining will be done by open cast semi-mechanized method of mining. The bench height and width will be maintained 5 m. Excavated material is transported to the crusher unit available outside the complex for further processing. The ultimate depth of the mine workings is estimated to reach upto 219 m MSL. |
| III. Details of Project cost | | |
| 35. | a) Land cost | Rs. 6.32 Crores (All inclusive) |
| 36. | b) Plant and Machinery | Rs. 6.32 Crores (All inclusive) |
| 37. | c) Total Cost | Rs. 6.32 Crores (All inclusive) |
| 38. | V. Financial Statement including funding source and details of insurance etc. | Project Cost = Rs. 6.32 Crores Insurance = Insurance to the quarry workers would provide through insurance company. Funding = Own source & bank loan |
| 39. | Management Plan | <p>Air Pollution Mining activities will generate certain quantities of dust during drilling, blasting, loading and transportation operations. The following measures will be taken to mitigate the fugitive dust from different operations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Laying of haul road as per the standards, black topping of permanent haul road and service road to avoid or eliminate air – borne dust. • To avoid the dust generation from the drilling operations, wet drilling method will be adopted. • Drill machines will be equipped with dust collectors. • Use of appropriate explosives for |

| | | |
|--|-----------------|---|
| | | <p>blasting and avoiding overcharging of blast holes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Controlled blasting techniques will be adopted. • Watering of haul road and other road at regular intervals. • Provision of dust filters/ mask to workers working at highly dust prone and affected areas. • Provision of green belt all along the periphery of the lease area. • Periodical monitoring of ambient air quality in and around the lease area. <p>The extracted mineral will be transported from the quarry to the end user by adopting following measures so as to minimize dust emissions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In case of long transportation the trucks after loading will be covered with tarpaulin sheets. • Speed of the vehicles will be maintained within the prescribed limits. • Trucks will not be over loaded and will be maintained to the body level |
| | Water Pollution | <p>Provision of storm water collection pond with an appropriate capacity. The water requirement for sprinkling on sources of dust emission, on roads, landscaping etc. Can be met from the stored rainwater in the pond/tank.</p> |
| | Noise | <p>The major noise generating source from the proposed activity is working machinery, drilling, blasting and plying of vehicles. The following control measures are to be undertaken to bring down the noise levels:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proper maintenance of machinery, equipments and improvement on design of machines. • Use of personal protective devices i.e. earmuffs and earplugs by workers, who are working in high noise generating areas. • Creation of wide green belt of dense foliage between mine areas and residential colonies. • Proper training to personnel to create |

| | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| | | | <p>awareness about adverse noise level effects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planned noise monitoring at suitable locations in the plant and outside location for proper effective remedial actions. |
| | | Solid Waste Management | <p>Topsoil Management The topsoil excavated from the quarry will be dumped / stacked separately at pre-determined place and subsequently will be utilized in spreading over reclaimed areas for plantation as part of eco-restoration. Precautions will be taken to limit the height of the topsoil dump / stacked to 5 to 6 meters in order to preserve its fertility and shelf life. It will be suitably protected from soil erosion and infertility by planting fodder grass and leguminous plants during temporary storage.</p> <p>Overburden Management This waste will be utilized within the pit for lying of haul roads. At the end use, OB can be reutilized as soil base for plantation.</p> |
| | | Eco-restoration | <p>The year wise programme of eco-restoration for life of mine will be made and about 2,200 trees will be planted in an area of 2.1922 ha.</p> |
| 40. | VI. Whether Environment Management Plan or Eco restoration Plan satisfactory? | Yes. | |
| 41. | VII. Does it suggest mitigation measures for each activity | Detailed Environment Management Plan is already submitted with E.C. Application. | |
| 42. | VIII. If Pre-Feasibility Report (PFR) satisfactory | Detailed Environment Management Plan is already submitted with E.C. Application. | |
| 43. | IX. Does it need public hearing | Not Applicable | |
| 44. | X. Details of litigation and Court verdict if any | No litigation pending. | |

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| 45. | XI. Details of public complaint, if any | None |
| 46. | XII. Details of statutory sanction required | The following statutory sanction required :- ➤ Consents from the Kerala State Pollution Control Board. ➤ Permission from Mining & Geology Department. ➤ Permission from Explosive Department ➤ Permission from Panchayat Office |
| 47. | XIII. If CRZ recommendation applicable? | Not Applicable |
| PART B | | |
| Environment Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures | | |
| Impact on water | | |
| 48. | a) Details of water requirement per day in KLD | The total water requirement is about 5 KLD. |
| 49. | b) Water source/sources. | The total water requirement is about 5 KLD in which 1 KLD is for domestic which would be sourced from open well, 2 KLD for dust suppression system in mine and 2 KLD for plantation purposes and will be sourced from storm water pond/tank. |
| 50. | c) Expected water use per day in KLD. | 5 KLD. |
| 51. | d) Details of water requirements met from water harvesting. | 2 KLD for dust suppression system in mine and 2 KLD for plantation purposes and will be sourced from storm water pond/tank. |
| 52. | e) What are the impact of the proposal on the ground water? | No significant impact envisaged on ground water due to the mining project. |
| 53. | f) How much of the water requirement can be met from the recycling of treated waste water? (Facilities for liquid waste treatment) | No. |
| 54. | g) What is the incremental pollution load from waste water generated from the proposed activities? | The sewage to a tune of 0.8 KLD generated from the mine office will be diverted to the septic tank followed by soak pit. |
| 55. | h) How is the storm water from within the site managed? | ➤ Storm water drains with silt traps will be suitably constructed all along the periphery of the pit area to collect the run-off from the lease area and divert into the storm water pond/tanks proposed within the complex. ➤ All measures will be taken not to disturb the existing drainage pattern adjacent to the other property. ➤ De-siltation traps and storm water collection pond |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | | <p>proposed for silt removal.</p> <p>➤ The storm water collected from the lease area will be utilized for dust suppression on haul roads, plantation within the premises etc.</p> |
| Impact on Biodiversity and Eco restoration Programmes | | |
| 56. | a) Will the project involve extensive clearing or modification of vegetation (Provide details) | Due to the mining activities, there will be loss of some native species and vegetation. However, some of these species will be planted at the end use for eco-restoration. |
| 57. | b) What are the measures proposed to minimize the likely impact on vegetation (details of proposal for tree plantation/ landscaping) | <p>The year wise programme of eco-restoration for the life of mine will be made and about 2,200 trees will be planted in an area of 2.1922 ha.</p> <p>Ecological restoration for the mined area by plantation of the species as per the time schedule suggested below: -</p> <p>First Six months -- Herbs & grass</p> <p>Next Six months -- Shrubs</p> <p>Next Six months onwards -- Trees</p> <p>Selection of species is based on High Dust Capturing, Soil Holding Capacity, ground water recharge capacity etc. More focus is given for medicinal plants.</p> |
| 58. | <p>c) Is there any displacement of fauna – both terrestrial and aquatic. – If so what are the mitigation measures ?</p> <p>d) Presence of any endangered species or red listed category (in detail)</p> | <p>No</p> <p>No endangered species found at site</p> |
| Impact on Air Environment | | |
| 59. | a) What are the mitigation measures on generation of dust, smoke and air quality | Details already provided at EMP section at Sr. No. 39 above. |
| 60. | b) Details of internal traffic management of the site. | <p>Designated space will be provided for parking of truck/tipper within the site.</p> <p>For the purposes of mining activities, existing roads are sufficient. However, haul road will be suitably developed within the proposed area.</p> <p>Speed of the vehicles will be maintained within the prescribed limits (Speed Governor fitted).</p> |
| 61. | c) Details of noise from traffic, machines and vibrator and mitigation measures | Details already provided at EMP section at Sr. No. 39 above |
| 62. | d) Impact of DG sets and other equipments on noise and vibration and ambient air quality around the | Details already provided at EMP section at Sr. No. 39 above |

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | project site and mitigation measures | |
| 63. | e) Air quality monitoring in detail | Details already provided at EMP section at Sr. No. 39 above. |
| Energy Conservation | | |
| 64. | a) Details of power requirement and source of supply. | The total power requirement will be 75 kW, which will be drawn from diesel engine. |
| 65. | b) Details of renewable energy (non – conventional) used. | We will promote renewable energy resources. |
| Risk Management | | |
| 66. | a) Are there sufficient measures proposed for risk hazards in case of emergency such as accident at the site? | Detailed Environment Management Plan is already submitted with E.C. Application. |
| 67. | b) Are proposals for fencing around the quarry satisfactory? c) Storage of explosives/hazardous substance in detail d) Facility for solid waste management | Barbed wire fencing will be done all around the quarry site. The stone quarry mine will result in increase in frequency of blasting for mining the mineral. However, controlled blasting with optimum charge of holes will be carried out to loosen the rock. Explosion hazards are envisaged due to mishandling of explosives. Explosives will be handled with utmost care in compliance of conditions imposed by Chief Controller of Explosive & Metalliferous Mines Regulation, 1961. Top soil and over burden generated from the site will be stored separately on earmarked place and will be used for eco-restoration and internal road development. |
| Socio Economic Impacts | | |
| 68. | a) Will the project cause adverse effects on local communities disturbance to sacred sites or other cultural | No |

| | values. What are the safe guards proposed? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|---------|-------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------|--|---|-------------|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|--------------|--|
| 69. | b) Will the proposal result in any changes to the demographic structure of local population. If so, provide details. | The proposed project will directly / indirectly develop the area by providing employment opportunities. With the proposed development in and around the area there will be many supporting facilities/ infrastructure eventually leading to the development of the area. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70. | c) Are the CSR proposals satisfactory. Give details | <p>Yes.</p> <p>A detailed study on social status of the project site surroundings & need base study on proposed CSR activities were carried out. The summary of the report is given below :-</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th><th>Particulars</th><th>Rs in lakhs (approx.)</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td><td>Promotion of Education</td><td>Rs. 1.70 Lakhs (Recurring) Rs. 5.12 Lakhs (Non-recurring)</td></tr> <tr> <td>2</td><td>Health care</td><td>Rs. 1.60 Lakhs (Recurring) Nil (Non-recurring)</td></tr> <tr> <td>3</td><td>Infrastructure Development</td><td>Rs. 2.20 Lakhs (Recurring) Rs. 1.25 Lakhs (Non-recurring)</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>Total</td><td>Rs. 5.50 Lakhs (Recurring) Rs. 6.37 Lakhs (Non-recurring)</td></tr> </tbody> </table> | Sl. No. | Particulars | Rs in lakhs (approx.) | 1 | Promotion of Education | Rs. 1.70 Lakhs (Recurring) Rs. 5.12 Lakhs (Non-recurring) | 2 | Health care | Rs. 1.60 Lakhs (Recurring) Nil (Non-recurring) | 3 | Infrastructure Development | Rs. 2.20 Lakhs (Recurring) Rs. 1.25 Lakhs (Non-recurring) | | Total | Rs. 5.50 Lakhs (Recurring) Rs. 6.37 Lakhs (Non-recurring) |
| Sl. No. | Particulars | Rs in lakhs (approx.) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Promotion of Education | Rs. 1.70 Lakhs (Recurring) Rs. 5.12 Lakhs (Non-recurring) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Health care | Rs. 1.60 Lakhs (Recurring) Nil (Non-recurring) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Infrastructure Development | Rs. 2.20 Lakhs (Recurring) Rs. 1.25 Lakhs (Non-recurring) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total | Rs. 5.50 Lakhs (Recurring) Rs. 6.37 Lakhs (Non-recurring) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71. | d) What are the projects benefits in terms of employment potential? | Due to the mining activity and due to the attached ancillary unit, there will be workers attracted to the project area. It is proposed to employ 20 persons in the project. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PART C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72. | Details of NABET approved EIA Consultant engaged- Their name, address and accreditation details | M/s Environmental Engineers & Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (NABET Accredited Consultant Organization) Head Office :- A1-198, Janak Puri, New Delhi. Branch Office:- C-306, Kanchanjunga Apartments, Palarivattom P.O., Kochi, Kerala. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Summary and Conclusion | | |
|------------------------|---|---|
| 73. | a) Overall justification for implementation of the project. | It is predicted that socio-economic impact due to this project will positively increase the chance of more employment opportunities for local inhabitants. There are no Resettlement and Rehabilitation issues involved in this project. The project infrastructures will be of use to people of the area. The revenue of the State Govt. will be definitely increasing due to the proposed activity. The entire project area is devoid of any endangered flora and fauna. It is proposed to reclaim the land and develop green cover for eco-restoration with native species to a maximum possible extent. Additionally, an area is earmarked outside the proposed mining area for compensatory mass plantation. Also, a storm water pond is proposed outside the mining area for storage of rain water and for its subsequent use so as to conserve fresh water consumption. Thus the proposed project is not likely to affect the environment or adjacent ecosystem adversely. |
| 74. | b) Explanation of how adverse impact have been mitigated. | Detailed Pre-Feasibility Report (PFR) with Environment Management Plan (EMP) is already submitted with E.C. Application. |

The proposed project site falls within Latitude N 11°47'51.34" to 11°47'45.59" and Longitude E 75°39' 19.40" to E 75°39'12.70". The current proposal is for the existing quarry and mineral specific hence no alternate site was examined. The proposed quarry project is interlinked with Crusher Unit situated near the complex. As the proposed area is hilly the drainage is towards south-west. However, any other significant impact will be confined within the lease area. The highest and lowest elevation of the lease area is 279 m MSL and 219 m MSL respectively. A total quantity of 2,002 m³ of topsoil and 2,402 m³ of over burden will be removed during the mining operations. The topsoil excavated from the quarry will be dumped separately at pre-determined place and subsequently will be utilized in spreading over reclaimed areas for plantation. OB will be utilized for laying internal haul road and will form base in reclamation / plantation. About 0.8 KLD sewage generated from the mine office will be diverted to the septic tank followed by soak pit. The daily water demand will be only 5 KLD. Well water to meet the drinking water and other domestic water requirement about 1 KLD of the workers and the staff. A storm water collection pond for meeting the water requirements of ancillary units and other dust separation systems will be developed. The total power requirement will be 75 KW, which will be drawn from diesel engine. Fuel Quantity – 16 to 20 lt/hr.

Since the tenure of the SEIAA, Kerala had expired; the proponent submitted an application to MoEF directly for getting EC. Since SEIAA, Kerala has been constituted, MoEF returned to SEIAA as per the letter cited on its constitution as per letter No. Z-11013/24/2015-IA-II (M) dated 01-04-2015 of the MoEF; corresponding physical files had not been received from the Ministry. The proponent

submitted application including Form I, Pre-feasibility report, EMP and Approved mining plan.

2. The proposal was considered in the 64th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 16th & 17th November, 2016. The committee observed that the quarry is 6 Km from wild life sanctuary and have applied for clearance from NBWL. The highest and the lowest elevation of the proposed area are 279 & 219 above MSL respectively. There is no crusher associated with this quarry. The committee is of the opinion that the CSR is to be modified to an amount of 7 lakh for non-recurring activities and 6 lakh for recurring activities. The proponent agreed to this suggestion.

The committee decided to defer the item for field inspection to assess the ground realities. The site inspection report is given below.

The site visit to the quarry was carried out on 12th February 2017 by Dr. P. S. Harikumar and Dr Khaleel Chovva. The proponent was present at the site.

Observations

The proposed project site falls within Latitude N 11°47'51.34" to 11°47'45.59" and Longitude E 75°39' 19.40" to E 75°39'12.70". On the date of visit, no quarrying activity was noticed. It is an existing quarry. Benches were not formed. A total quantity of approximately 2 m³ of topsoil and 2.5 m³ of over burden needs to be removed during the mining operations. The overburden was seen deposited haphazardly in the area. No sign boards were seen around the quarry. No fencing was also provided. Human settlement is more than 100 m from the site. They have not provided any drainage so as to collect the runoff water. The approach road is in a bad shape.

Recommendations

The site is being developed without any proper scientific manner. The environment is not conducive for the activity. The proposal can be considered for issuance of EC only after the implementing certain measures which are conducive for mining. The steps include: maintaining the approach road, providing fences and sign boards around the site, depositing overburden at a suitable place with protection, providing proper drainage etc. After implementing these measures only, the application for the issuance of EC can be recommended.

3. The proposal was considered in the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017. The Committee advised that, for further consideration of the proposal, the proponent may be asked to submit the following details indicated in the field visit report.

1. *Maintenance of the approach road.*
2. *Fences and sign boards should be provided around the site*
3. *Overburden should be deposited at a suitable place with protection*
4. *Proper drainage system should be provided.*

The proponent should give an undertaking committing to spend a recurring amount of Rs.6 lakhs and a non-recurring amount of Rs.7 lakhs towards the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Accordingly, the proponent has submitted clarifications sought in the 68th meeting of SEAC.

4. The proposal was considered in the 71st meeting of SEAC held on 20th and 21st April 2017. The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the committee decided to Recommend for issuance of EC subject to the general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

1. *Maintenance of the approach road.*
2. *Fences and sign boards should be provided around the site*
3. *Overburden should be deposited at a suitable place with protection*
4. *Proper drainage system should be provided.*
5. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*
6. *Operation shall be commenced only after the wildlife clearance from the MoEF.*

A commitment may be obtained from the proponent to set apart Rs.7 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.6 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The proponent vide email dated 19th May 2017 informed through the basic details that the quarry is not working and is stopped since 27/10/2016 due to the expiry of validity of short term permit.

5. The proposal was considered in the 69th meeting of SEIAA held on 1/06/2017. The Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC in its 71st meeting held on 20th and 21st April 2017 and decided to issue EC subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition for mining.

1. *Maintenance of the approach road.*
2. *Fences and sign boards should be provided around the site*
3. *Overburden should be deposited at a suitable place with protection*
4. *Proper drainage system should be provided.*
5. *If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.*
6. *Operation shall be commenced only after the wildlife clearance from the MoEF.*

The conditions suggested by the inspection report should also be fulfilled. The premining conditions should be fulfilled before the mining is started. Rs.7 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.6 lakh per annum (recurring) should be set apart for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayath. A notarised

affidavit that all the above conditions shall be fulfilled should be submitted before the issuance of EC.

6. The proponent has submitted an affidavit vide reference 6th cited stating that he will fulfill all the specific and general conditions of SEIAA & SEAC and the said CSR activities for the welfare of the local community. Environmental clearance as per the EIA Notification 2006 is hereby accorded for the proposed building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 275/1A, of Trippangottur Village, Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District for an area of 2.3066 hectares subject to the specific conditions as recommended by SEIAA in para 5 above, all the environmental impact mitigation and management measures undertaken by the project proponent in the Form I, EMP, PFR and Mining plan submitted to SEIAA. The assurances and clarifications given by the proponent will be deemed to be a part of these proceedings as if incorporated herein. Also the general conditions for projects stipulated for mining (items 1 to 61), appended hereto will be applicable and have to be strictly adhered to.
7. The clearance issued will also be subject to full and effective implementation of all the undertakings given in the application form, mitigation measures as assured in the Environment Management Plan and the mining features including progressive mine closure plan as submitted with the application and relied on for grant of this clearance. The above undertakings and the conditions and the undertakings in Chapter 4 (Mining), Chapter 5 (Blasting), Chapter 6 (Mine Drainage), Chapter 7 (Stacking of Mineral rejects and Disposal of waste) Chapter 11 (EMP) Chapter 12 (Progressive Mine Closure Plan) of the Mining Plan as submitted will be deemed to be part of this proceedings as conditions as undertaken by the proponent, as if incorporated herein.
8. Validity of the Environmental Clearance will be five years from the date of this clearance, subject to inspection by SEIAA on annual basis and compliance of the conditions, subject to earlier review of E.C in case of violation or non-compliance of conditions or genuine complaints from residents within the security area of the quarry.
9. Compliance of the conditions herein will be monitored by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority or its authorised offices and also by the regional office of the Ministry of Environment & Forests, Govt. of India, Bangalore.
 - i. Necessary assistance for entry and inspection should be provided by the project proponent and those who are engaged or entrusted by him to the staff for inspection or monitoring.
 - ii. Instances of violation if any shall be reported to the District Collector, Kannur District to take legal action under the Environment (Protection) Act 1986.

- iii. The given address for correspondence with the authorised signatory of the project is T.P.Sunil Babu, Thankesapurayil, Kandyan House. Narikkottumala.P.O., Panoor, Kannur District – 670 693.


Sd/-
JAMES VARGHESE.I.A.S,
Member Secretary (SEIAA)

To,

Sri. T.P.Sunil Babu,
Thankesapurayil, Kandyan House,
Narikkottumala.P.O.,
Panoor,
Kannur District, Kerala – 670 693.

1. MoEF Regional Office, Southern Zone, Kendriya Sadan, 4th Floor, E&F Wing, II Block, Koramangala, Bangalore-560034.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Environment Department, Government of Kerala.
3. The Director, Mining & Geology, Thiruvananthapuram -4.
4. The Member Secretary, Kerala State Pollution Control Board
5. The District Collector, Kannur
6. The District Geologist, Kannur
7. The Tahasildar, Thalassery Taluk
8. Chairman, SEIAA
9. Website.
10. S/F
11. O/c

Forwarded /By Order


- *Administrator (SEIAA)*

STATE ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY KERALA


GENERAL CONDITIONS (for mining projects)

1. Rain Water Harvesting facility should be installed as per the prevailing provisions of KMBR / KPBR, unless otherwise specified.
2. Environment Monitoring Cell as agreed under the affidavit filed by the proponent should be formed and made functional.
3. Suitable avenue trees should be planted along either side of the tarred road and open parking areas, if any, including of approach road and internal roads.
4. Maximum possible solar energy generation and utilization shall be ensured as an essential part of the project.
5. Sprinklers shall be installed and used in the project site to contain dust emissions.
6. Eco-restoration including the mine closure plan shall be done at the own cost of the project proponent.
7. At least 10 percent out of the total excavated pit area should be retained as water storage areas and the remaining area should be reclaimed with stacked dumping and overburden and planted with indigenous plant species that are eco-friendly, if no other specific condition on reclamation of pit is stipulated in the E.C.
8. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) agreed upon by the proponent should be implemented
9. The lease area shall be fenced off with barbed wires to a minimum height of 4ft around, before starting of mining. All the boundary indicators (boards, stores, markings, etc) shall be protected at all times and shall be conspicuous.
10. Warning alarms indicating the time of blasting (to be done at specific timings) has to be arranged as per stipulations of Explosive Department.
11. Control measures on noise and vibration prescribed by KSPCB should be implemented.
12. Quarrying activities should be limited to day time as per KSPCB guidelines/specific conditions.
13. Blasting should be done in a controlled manner as specified by the regulations of Explosives Department or any other concerned agency.
14. A licensed person should supervise/ control the blasting operations.
15. Access roads to the quarry shall be tarred to contain dust emissions that may arise during transportation of materials.
16. Overburden materials should be managed within the site and used for reclamation of mine pit as per mine closure plan / specific conditions.
17. Height of benches should not exceed 5 m, and width should not be less than 5 m, if there is no mention is the mining plan/specific condition.
18. Mats to reduce fly rock blast to a maximum of 10 PPV should be provided.
19. Maximum depth of mining from general ground level at site shall not exceed 10m
20. No mining operations should be carried out at places having a slope greater than 45°.
21. Acoustic enclosures should have been provided to reduce sound amplifications in addition to the provisions of green belt and hollow brick envelop for crushers so that the noise level is kept within prescribed standards given by CPCB/KSPCB.
22. The workers on the site should be provided with the required protective equipment such as ear muffs, helmet, etc.
23. Garland drains with clarifiers to be provided in the lower slopes around the core area to channelize storm water.
24. The transportation of minerals should be done in covered trucks to contain dust emissions.
25. The proponent should plant trees at least 5 times of the loss that has been occurred while clearing the land for the project.
26. Disposal of spent oil from diesel engines should be as specified under relevant Rules/Regulations.
27. Explosives should be stored in magazines in isolated place specified and approved by the Explosives Department.
28. A minimum buffer distance of 100m from the boundary of the quarry to the nearest dwelling unit or other structures, not being any facility for mining shall be provided.
29. 100 m buffer distance should be maintained from forest boundaries.

30. Consent from Kerala State Pollution Control Board under Water and Air Act(s) should be obtained before initiating mining activity.
31. All other statutory clearances should be obtained, as applicable, by project proponents from the respective competent authorities including that for blasting and storage of explosives.
32. In the case of any change(s) in the scope of the project, extent quantity, process of mining technology involved or in any way affecting the environmental parameters/impacts as assessed, based on which only the E.C is issued, the project would require a fresh appraisal by this Authority, for which the proponents shall apply and get the approval of this Authority.
33. The Authority reserves the right to add additional safeguard measures subsequently, if found necessary, and to take action including revoking of the environment clearance under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, to ensure effective implementation of the suggested safeguard measures in a time bound and satisfactory manner.
34. The stipulations by Statutory Authorities under different Acts and Notifications should be complied with, including the provisions of Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention and control of Pollution) act 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, the Public Liability (Insurance) Act, 1991 and EIA Notification, 2006.
35. The project proponent should advertise in at least two local newspapers widely circulated in the region, one of which (both the advertisement and the newspaper) shall be in the vernacular language informing that the project has been accorded Environmental Clearance and copies of clearance letters are available with the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) office and may also be seen on the website of the Authority at www.seiaakerala.org. The advertisement should be made within 10 days from the date of receipt of the Clearance letter and a copy of the same signed in all pages should be forwarded to the office of this Authority as confirmation.
36. A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the proponent to concerned Grama Panchayat/ District Panchayat/ Municipality/ Corporation/ Urban Local Body and also to the Local NGO, if any, from whom suggestions / representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The Environmental Clearance shall also be put on the website of the company by the proponent.
37. The proponent shall submit half yearly reports on the status of compliance of the stipulated EC conditions including results of monitored data (both in hard copies as well as by e-mail) and upload the status of compliance of the stipulated EC conditions, including results of monitored data on their website and shall update the same periodically. It shall simultaneously be sent to the respective Regional Office of MoEF, Govt. of India and also to the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) office.
38. The details of Environmental Clearance should be prominently displayed in a metallic board of 3 ft x 3 ft with green background and yellow letters of Times New Roman font of size of not less than 40. Sign board with extent of lease area and boundaries shall be depicted at the entrance of the quarry, visible to the public
39. The proponent should provide notarized affidavit (indicating the number and date of Environmental Clearance proceedings) that all the conditions stipulated in the EC shall be scrupulously followed.
40. No change in mining technology and scope of working should be made without prior approval of the SEIAA, No further expansion or modifications in the mine shall be carried out without prior approval of the SEIAA, as applicable.
41. The Project proponent shall ensure that no natural water course and/or water resources shall be obstructed due to any mining operations. Necessary safeguard measures to protect the first order streams, if any, originating from the mine lease shall be taken.
42. Monitoring of Ambient Air Quality to be carried out based on the Notification 2009, as amended from time to time by the Central Pollution Control Board. Water sprinkling should be increased at places loading and unloading points & transfer point to reduce fugitive emissions.
43. The top soil, if any, shall temporarily be stored at earmarked site(s) only for the topsoil shall be used for land reclamation and plantation. The over burden (OB) generated during the mining operations shall be stacked at earmarked dump site(s) only. The maximum height of the dumps shall not exceed 8m and width 20m and overall slope of the dumps shall be maintained to 45°. The OB dumps should be scientifically vegetated with suitable native species to prevent erosion and surface run off. In critical areas, use of geo textiles shall be undertaken for stabilization of the dump. The entire excavated area shall be backfilled. Monitoring and management of rehabilitated areas should continue until the vegetation becomes self-sustaining.

- 4/ Catch drains and siltation ponds of appropriate size shall be constructed around the mine working, mineral and OB dumps to prevent run off of water and flow of sediments directly into the river and other water bodies. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the mine area, roads, green belt development etc. The drains shall be regularly desilted particularly after monsoon and maintained properly.
45. Effective safeguard measures such as regular water sprinkling shall be carried out in critical areas prone to air pollution and having high levels of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} such as haul Road, loading and unloading points and transfer points – it shall be ensured that the Ambient Air Quality parameters conform to the norms prescribed by the Central Pollution Control Board in this regard.
46. Fugitive dust emissions from all the sources should be controlled regularly. Water spraying arrangement on haul roads, loading and unloading and at transfer points should be provided and properly maintained.
47. Measures should be taken for control of noise levels below 85 dBA in the work environment.
48. A separate environmental management cell with suitable qualified personnel should be set-up under the control of a Senior Executive, who will report directly to the Head of the Organization.
49. The funds earmarked for environmental protection measures and CSR activate should be kept in separate account and should not be diverted for other purpose. Year wise expenditure should be reported to the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) office.
50. The Regional Office of MOEF & CC located at Bangalore shall monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the officer (S) of the Regional Office by furnishing the requisite data/information/monitoring reports.
51. Any appeal against this Environmental Clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.
52. Concealing the factual data or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
53. The SEIAA may revoke or suspend the order, for non implementation of any of the specific or thisimplementation of any of the above conditions is not satisfactory. The SEIAA reserves the right to alter/modify the above conditions or stipulate any further condition in the interest of environment protection.
54. The above conditions shall prevail notwithstanding anything to the contrary, in consistent, or simplified, contained in any other permit, license on consent given by any other authority for the same project.
55. This order is valid for a period of 5 years or the expiry date of mine lease period issued by the Government of Kerala, whichever is earlier.
56. The Environmental Clearance will be subject to the final order of the courts in any pending litigation related to the land or project, in any court of law.
57. The mining operation shall be restricted to above ground water table and it should not intersect ground water table.
58. All vehicles used for transportation and within the mines shall have 'PUC' certificate from authorized pollution taking centre. Washing of all vehicles shall be inside the lease area'
59. Project proponent should obtain necessary prior permission of the competent authorities for drawal of requisite quantity of surface water and ground water for the project.
60. Regular monitoring of flow rates and water quality upstream and downstream of the springs and perennial nallahs flowing in and around the mine lease area shall be carried out and reported in the six monthly reports to SEIAA.
61. Occupational health surveillance program of the workers should be under taken periodically to observe any contractions due to exposure to dust and take corrective measures, if needed.




For Member Secretary, SEIAA Kerala

