## Proline Mahaner

## MINUTES OF THE 72<sup>nd</sup> MEETING OF SEAC, KERALA, HELD ON 08<sup>th</sup> & 09<sup>th</sup> MAY2017, AT HARITHASREE HALL, DIRECTORATE OF ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

The 72<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the SEAC commenced at 10.00 am with **Sri.V.Gopinathan** in the chair. The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the proceedings of the Committee.

### Item No. 72.00

Approval of Minutes: The minutes of the 71<sup>st</sup>SEAC, meeting were read over, corrections made as suggested by the members and approved.

### Item No .72.01 Follow up action of minutes of the meeting of SEIAA

Minutes of the SEIAA were read and the Secretary was advised to place individual cases before the Committee for taking follow up action.

### Item No.72.02

Environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 831/2 (P), 831/3(P), 832/5(P), 833/12, 833/2, 833/3, 833/6, 834/2 (P), 834/3 (P), 834/4 (P), 834/5, 834/6 (P), 834/7 (P), 835/3 (P), 835/4 (P), 835/5, 836/2 (P), 836/3 (P) & 836/5 (P) at Thayyoor Village, Thayyoor Panchayath, Talappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri. P. Bhasi, Managing Director, M/s Three star granites (File No 1027/SEIAA/EC1/147/2016)

### Project Proponent: Sri. P. Bhasi, M/s Three Star Granites

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.15 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.15 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee decided to defer the item for field inspection.

### Item No. 72.03

Environmental clearance for the proposed Medical College & Hospital (Expansion of the infrastructure of the college and hospital) in Sy.No.116/1, 116/2, 116/3, 116/4, 116/5, 116/6, 116/7, 116/8, 117/2, 117/3, 117/4, 117/5, 117/8, 113/3-1, 114/1-1-2, 125/1-2,133/1, 117/1, 117/6, 117/7, 133/1-1, 133/1-2, 115/5 at Ezhamkulam, Enathimangalam Village, AdoorTaluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala of Sri. K J Abraham, M/s Mount Zion Medical College & Hospital, Charitable, Educational & Welfare Society (File No. 1094/EC/SEIAA/2017)

### Project Proponent: Sri. K J Abraham, M/s Mount Zion Medical College & Hospital, Charitable, Educational & Welfare Society

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the Proponent and the architect attended the meeting. As the proponent could not give a presentation with legible slides and layouts, the Committee decided to **defer the item** for a proper presentation with all the relevant details.

### Item No. 72.04

Environmental clearance for the proposed Residential Project in Sy.Nos. 1008/4, 1008/5, 1008/6, 1009/39, Elamkulam Village, Kochi Corporation, Kanayannur Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala. Sri.Karthik Ramani Managing Partner, M/s Kalyan Developers, (File No. 1102/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

### Project Proponent: Sri. Karthik Ramani, M/s Kalyan Developers

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and the engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection.** The Committee also directed the proponent to submit a NOC from CZMA and a convincing plan to meet the all the water requirements.

The proponent proposed to set apart Rs 35 Lakhs during the construction stage for CSR activities in consultation with the Corporation

### Item No.72.05

Environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of building stone quarry project in survey Nos. 396/1B2, 397/1-1, 396/1B2, 397/1-1, Varapetty Village & Panchayat, Kothamangalam Taluk,

e Habarti

7 Set 1

# Frolina Habard

Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri. P.K. Prasad (File No1103/ EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

### Project Proponent: Sri. P.K. Prasad

Further to the intimation, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.25 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.20 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee decided to defer the item for field inspection.

Item No. 72.06

Environmental clearance for the proposed Hospital Project in Sy.Nos. 27/23, Nilambur Village, Nilambur Municipality, NilamburTaluk, Malappuram District, Kerala of Mr.Shyju.K. David, Managing Director, M/s Nilambur Hospitals Private Limited, (File No. 1104/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent: Mr.Shyju.K. David, M/s Nilambur Hospitals Private Limited

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and the engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

As CSR component the proponent agreed to give free treatment to 50 BPL patients suffering from serious aliments referred to them by the local panchayat.

It was suggested to form a larger rain water harvesting pond. The Committee decided to defer the item for field inspection.

Item No.72.07

Environmental clearance for the proposed building stone quarry project in survey Nos.21/1, 3, 4 & 5 (p), 25/2 & 3(p), 26, 26/1, 3, 1-1, & 4-1 (p) & 26/4, Kottickal Village, KanjirapallyTaluk, Kottayam District, Keralaby Sri. Vineeth Joseph Vazathara — Managing Director, Vazhathara Granites and Aggregates Pvt. Ltd., (File No1105/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent: Sri. Vineeth Joseph Vazathara, Vazhathara Granites & Aggregates
Pvt. Ltd

Since the project is proposed in Kottickal, which is an ESA Village, the Committee decided to recommend to reject the proposal.

Item No: 72.08

Environmental clearance for the proposed china clay mining project in Sy. Nos. 427/1, 1-1, 1-2, 2, 4 & 5 at Melthonnakal Village, Trivandrum Taluk, Trivandrum District, Kerala by Sri.Chandrasekaran for M/s EICL limited (File No. 940/SEIAA/EC1/4098/2015)

Project Proponent: Sri. Chandrasekaran, M/s EICL limited

The Committee decided to **defer** the item for further clarification regarding the validity of the mining plan.

Environmental clearance for the proposed china clay mining project in Sy. Nos. 434/6, 8, 9, 15, 16, 17,482/11, 483/2, 6 and 484/1, 2 at Melthonnakal Village, Trivandrum Taluk, Trivandrum District, Kerala by Sri.Chandrasekaran for M/s EICL limited (File No. 941/SEIAA/EC1/4099/2015)

Project Proponent: Sri. Chandrasekaran, M/s EICL limited

The Committee decided to **defer** the item for further clarification regarding the validity of the mining plan.

Item No: 72.10

Environmental clearance application for removal of Laterite Building Stone (L.T.B.S.) from Sy. No 184/6-2, 6-3, 6-4, Block No. - 24 atUmmannoor Village and Panchayat, KottarakkaraTaluk, Kollam District, Kerala by Sri. Jacob John Vadakadom (File No 986/SEIAA/EC3/4702/15)

Project Proponent: Sri. Jacob John Vadakadom

Irrespective of the fact what is mandated in the KMMLC Rules, circulars by the Director Mining and Geology and the decisions of the SEIAA, as per the various OMs by the

Proline yorkent

MoEF& CC a mining plan is a pre-requisite for appraising the proposals for laterite mining. In fact a closer reading of the notification dated 15.01.2016 reiterates the above fact.

As regards the two recommendations in the 38<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC it is pointed out that the application of Kusala Kumar was for the removal ordinary earth and that of the Suresh Kumar was for removing the 3m laterite upper hard crust for making the land suitable for agriculture and not strictly a proposal for laterite mining. In fact all the applications were in the simplified application forms prescribed by SEIAA for seeking permission for excavating ordinary earth. Even if there was an omission in the past in insisting for a mining plan it is not a justification for continuing the practice. Hence the Committee decided not to amend its earlier decision.

Item No: 72.11

Environmental clearance for the quarry project Johnson Rocks in Sy. No 781/23-1,781/1-23-1 pt, 781/1-23-2,atAthikayam Village, Naranamuzhi Panchayath, Ranni Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Sri.Alexander V.John (File No.1002/EC4/5032/ 2015/ SEIAA)

Project Proponent: Sri.Alexander V.John

The Committee examined the suggestions made by SEIAA and decided to **defer** the item for site inspection.

Item No: 72.12

Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Sy. Nos. 313/1-1 &313/1-2at Edamulackal Village and EdamulackalPanchayath,PunalurTaluk, Kollam District, Kerala by Smt. Mini Rajan (File No.1011/SEIAA/EC3/5175/15)

Project Proponent: Smt. Mini Rajan

The Committee observed that it has already made an un ambiguous recommendation in this regard. The statement of the proponent that he is using the dug out earth for commercial purpose does not change the primary reason for excavation namely, as noted in the NOC from the RDO, the construction of a building. Also there is no mandate for SEAC to re commend EC merely for the transportation of the removed earth. Hence the committee decided not to modify its earlier recommendation.

and many and and the

adma Maranti ()

Smt. Padma Mahanti IFS

Secretary
State Lavel Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)
Kernia

Sri. V. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)

Chairman Sub-fadi Lipan Acyanaisa Comaine (SEAC) Kerale

### List of members present 1st Day (08.05.2017)

1. Sri. S. Ajayakumar

2. Dr. K. M. Khaleel

3. Prof. (Dr.) Keshav Mohan

4. Dr.Oommen.V.Oommen

5. Shri. John Mathai

6. Dr. George Chackacherry

7. Sri.P. Sreekumaran Nair FIE

### Day 2 (09.05.2017)

### Item No. 72.13

Environmental clearance for the proposed Residential Building Project in Sy. Nos. 60,64,65,66,67 & 68 at Sasthamangalam Village and Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala of Mr Viju Varghese, Deputy General Manager (MEP), Artech Realtors Pvt Ltd (File No. 1106/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

### Project Proponent: Mr Viju Varghese, Artech Realtors Pvt Ltd.

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The Committee decided to defer the item for field inspection. The committee also directed the proponent to submit the following additional documents/ details.

- 1. Revised Water Balance Statement.
- 2.A sketch showing the proposed drainage facility.
- 3. Revised enhanced CSR Commitment.

### Item No. 72.14

Environmental clearance for the Trivandrum International Medical Centre in SyNo.sEdacodu Village:318/1,318/2, 318/3, 318/4,318/5, 318/6, 318/7, 318/8,318/9, 318/10, 318/11,318/12, 318/13, 318/14, 318/15, 318/16, 318/17,318/18, 319/1, 319/2, 319/3,319/4, 319/5, 319/6, 319/7,319/9, 320/1, 320/2, 320/3,320/4, 320/14, 320/22 Kizhuvilam Village: 225/11,229/2, 229/4, 229/5, 229/6,229/7, 229/8, 229/9, 229/10, 229/11. at Edacodu and Kizhuvilam Village and Chirayinkeezhu Taluk, Thiruyananthapuram District, Kerala of Dr. Abdul Nazar Y (Managing Director), Trivandrum International Medical Centre Pvt Ltd) (File No. 1107/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

### Project Proponent: Dr. Abdul Nazar, Trivandrum International Medical Centre Pvt Ltd

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection.** The committee also directed the proponent to submit the following additional documents/ details.

- 1. Revised Plan after including the lately acquired piece of land.
- 2. Quantify the total energy proposed to be met from solar source.
- 3. Revised list of flora and fauna observed at the site.
- 4. Revised CSR Commitments.

### Item No.72.15

Environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of building stone quarry project in survey Nos. 259/1, 256/4, 265/1, 272/1, 272/7, 272/6, 271/3, 271/6, 273/1, 270/1, 265/2, 271/2, 270/2, 269/2, 272/2-2, 273/3, 274/1-2, 268/9-2, 266/15, 266/24, 266/16-1, 266/16-2, 271/7-1, 271/7-2, 271/7-3, 272/5, 267/5, 268/2-1, 268/2-2, 268/3-2-1, 268/3-2-2, 268/5-2, 268/6, 268/7, 269/9-2, 268/8-1, 268/8-2, 268/4, 268/4-2, 268/4-3, 271/4, 271/5 of Chithara Village, Kottarakkara Taluk, Kollam District, Kerala by Mr. Thomas Jacob, Director, M/s Poabs Enterprises Pvt. Ltd (File No 1108/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

### Project Proponent: Mr. Thomas Jacob, M/s Poabs Enterprises Pvt. Ltd

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.25 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.25 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection.** At the time of site visit the Sub Committee members may examine whether the proponent has adhered to the specific conditions mentioned in the previous EC.

### Item No.72.16

Environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of building stone quarry project in Survey Nos. 13/1, Village Erumely South, Erumely Panchayat, Kanjirappally Taluk, Kottayam District, Kerala by Sri. Jacob Thomas (File No1109/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

**Project Proponent: Sri. Jacob Thomas** 

adi . Hahn ti

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The Committee decided to **defer the item for field inspection** and for submission of the proof for having applied for Wild Life Clearance and enhanced commitments for CSR activities.

Item No. 72.17

Environmental clearance for the Proposed Warehousing Project in Survey Nos. 147/1, 147/4/2, 125/1, 3, 6 & 10, Chelambra Village & Panchayat, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala of Sri.Mohammed Usman Pattanath (Retd.) Sqn. Ldr. (Designated Partner cum Chairman & CEO), M/s Alpine Warehousing LLP (File No. 1112/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent: Sri. Mohammed Usman Pattanath, M/s Alpine Warehousing LLP

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and the engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.10 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.10 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee decided to defer the item for field inspection.

Item No. 72.18

Environmental clearance for the Proposed IT Project by in SEZ Infopark Campus, Re-Survey Nos. 601/1-2 part, 3, 4, 5, 6, 616, Kakkanad Village, Thrikkakara Municipality, KanayanoorTaluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala of Mr. N. V. George (Chairman & Managing Director) M/s Geon Air AirConditiion& Refrigeration Manufacturers (P) Ltd. (File No. 1113/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Project Proponent: Mr. N. V. George, M/s Geon Air Air Condition & Refrigeration Manufacturers

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the proponent and engineer attended the meeting and the engineer made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project

briefly. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and conceptual plan.

The proponent agreed to set apart an amount of Rs.50 lakh over a period of 3 years for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local panchayat.

The Committee decided to defer the item for field visit.

Item No. 72.19

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 147/8, 147/9, 147/9-1, 147/10, 147/11, 147/11-1, 148/6, 9, 8, 4, 5, 7, 2-2, 3(Part), 149/1, 2, 3, 4 & 152/1-1 at Karavaram Village, Varkala Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala application of Sri. R. J. Karunanidhi, Managing Partner, M/s K. V. Blue Metals (File No. 749/SEIAA/EC1/226/2015)

Project Proponent: Sri. R. J. Karunanidhi, M/s K. V. Blue Metals

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.

SEIAA may insist for a commitment from the proponent to set apart Rs.2 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.2 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No. 72.20

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 1/1 at Payyavoor Village, Thaliparamba Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala by Sri.Shaju Jose (File No. 865/SEIAA/EC4/3095/2015)

Project Proponent: Sri.Shaju Jose, M/s Payyavoor Crushers

The Committee verified the documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form1, all other documents submitted with the

John Waren Ti

Palme Hahanti

proposal, the field visit report and also the complaint received the committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.15 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.15 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No.72.21

Environmental clearance for the proposed Residential Project by M/s Asset Homes Pvt. Ltd. in Survey Nos. 174/1-1, 174/1-2, Kizhakambalam Village & Panchayat, Kunnathunad Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala, Application of Mr. Mahesh L., Chief Technical Officer M/s Asset Homes Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 869/SEIAA/EC3/3100/2015)

### Project Proponent: Mr. Mahesh L., M/s Asset Homes Pvt. Ltd

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent. The Committee found that the clarification in the document is not sufficient enough to explain the water supply to the proposed building. The proponent is asked to produce an agreement with the owner of land to ensure continuous supply of water to the proposed site. Hence the item is deferred.

Item No. 72.22

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 166/2, 166/2-6, 166/2-7, 166/2-9, 166/2-10 at Koodal Village, Adoor Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Mr.P.J.Jacob (File No. 912/SEIAA/EC4/3648/2015)

### Project Proponent: Mr.P.J.Jacob

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition for mining.

1. Mining operations to be confined to block B of 3.1671 hectares.

- 2. A stream channel seen on the eastern side is to be treated such that the storm water is clarified and the flow arrested through check dams before water is let out of the premises.
- 3. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.

SEIAA may insist for a commitment from the proponent to set apart Rs.5 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.5 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No. 72.23

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 66/1364/4P, 66/1364/5P, 66/1364/7P and 66/1364/8P at Killannur Village, Mulamkunnathukavu Panchayath, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala application of Sri. K. J. Vasudevan Nair, Managing Partner, M/s K. J. Vasudevan Nair Granites (File No. 993/SEIAA/EC1/4862/2015)

Project Proponent: Sri. K. J. Vasudevan Nair, M/s K. J. Vasudevan Nair Granites

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and deferred the item for field visit.

Item No.72.24

Environmental clearance for the proposed Common Biomedical Waste treatment plant in Block No. 37, Sy No. 205 at Puthenkurissu Village, KunnathunaduTaluk, Ernakulam district-by Dr. N K Pillai, M/s Kerala Enviro Infrastructure Limited (File No. 1036(A)/SEIAA/EC3/502/2016)

Project Proponent: Dr. N K Pillai, M/s Kerala Enviro Infrastructure Limited

On further examination of documents the Committee decided to seek clarification/comments of the proponent on the various issues raised in below.

- The project area has been reported to be enhanced to 3.5 hectares, but the plan enclosed in the report does not reflect any change from the original 2.5 ha. There must be document also to indicate the enhancement of the area.
- As per the recommendations of the site visit, (1) a large scale map (1: 10,000 scale) covering an area of 2 km radius around this facility was to be provided

Lamo Makerti

I also y about.

indicating a) all man-made structures like dwelling units, road network, institutions, commercial centres etc b) natural features like water bodies and dense vegetated areas. Establishments within the limits FACT, BPCL and Infopark were to be clearly labelled. This document is not appended (2) A detailed layout (drawn to scale) of the facility especially waste storage rooms, incinerator, different kinds of waste treatment facility, pollution control devices, vehicle washing, parking, workers rooms, green belt etc is not appended (3) Storm water management plan with connectivity to nearby low lying flood plains are to be provided in the drawings (4) The capacity of the room for the storage of treated waste waiting to be taken to the landfill/recycling was to be enhanced (5) Anticipated emission from the stack was to be quantified and given. The dissipation rate with distance is not seen computed such that it is rendered harmless at the periphery (6) A plan to maximise use of solar energy (7) Additional treatment facility for liquid effluents such that it is diluted much below the permissible values considering the proximity of Kadambrayar.

- The existing rate of emission (PM 10 and 2.5) from the industry is close to the permissible limits and additional emission from the proposed CBWTF is likely to enhance the emission rate beyond the permissible levels. Hence mechanism for the strict control and monitoring is to be ensured. One of the suggestions can be to ensure that incinerators are electrically operated instead of oil firing.
- Pits for the disposal of treated sharps like needles are to be constructed with concrete lining of suitable thickness so as to prevent any type of contamination with groundwater.
- Entire Waste water including domestic waste water will be treated and the treated water will be recycled
- Daily log of incoming and outgoing material will be maintained. The in house lab shall maintain up to date the quality reports
- Parking facility for the vehicles need elaboration
- All the vehicles that enter into this facility need a certain level of cleaning/disinfection when it is taken out
- The land use map provided by the consultant shows 43% built up and 29% mangrove vegetation within 2 km of the facility. It may be verified and reported back whether 29% of the surrounding area is under mangrove vegetation

The Committee decided to **defer the item** for considering after the response from the proponent.

### Outside Agenda Item

Item No.72.25

Environmental Clearance for the Proposed Expansion of the Existing Residential Project Survey No. 111/11A, Edappally South Village, Cochin Corporation, Kanayannur Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala By Mr. RAHUL R, Secretary, M/s Civil Service Officers Housing Co-operative Society Ltd. (File No. 1080/EC3/SEIAA/2015)

Project Proponent: Mr. RAHUL R, M/s Civil Service Officers Housing Co-operative Society Ltd.

The proposal was appraised by the Committee considering Form I, Form IA, Conceptual plan, field visit report and all other documents and details provided by the proponent. The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

i) The drain passing along the boundary which is in a disused condition should be maintained properly for ensuring proper hygiene.

The meeting ended at 5.00 pm with vote of thanks to the Chairman and Members.

Paolina Mahanti Smt. Padma Mahanti IFS

Secretary
State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC

List of members present 2<sup>nd</sup> Day (09.05.2017)

Sri. V. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)

Chairman Siste Level Experi Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)

1. Sri. S. Ajayakumar

5. Dr. George Chackacherry

2. Dr. K. M. Khaleel

Shri, John Mathai

3. Prof. (Dr.) Keshav Mohan

7. Sri.P. Sreekumaran Nair FIE

4. Dr.P.S.Harikumar