

**MINUTES OF THE 112th MEETING OF THE STATE LEVEL
ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY (SEIAA)
KERALA, HELD ON 14th, 15th & 16th September 2021 THROUGH VIDEO
CONFERENCING.**

Present:

- 1. Dr.H.Nagesh Prabhu IFS (Retd), Chairman, SEIAA, Kerala**
- 2. Dr.V.Venu IAS
Member Secretary, SEIAA**
- 3. Dr.Jayachandran.K, Member, SEIAA**

The meeting commenced at 11 AM. After a preliminary discussion on all the Agenda items, Authority decided the following before the Agenda items are taken up for a detailed discussion:

1. Authority decided to give extension of EC periods from respective dates of expiry of ECs for a period corresponding to that portion of their EC periods coming between 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2021 as per MoEF&CC S.O. 221 (E) dated 18.01.2021. It was also decided to upload this information in the website of SEIAA, for the benefit of Project Proponents and District Geologists.
2. In few cases of application for revalidation of EC period, though SEAC has recommended for suspension of EC period for 6 months, to attend the violations noticed during field inspection, considering the special circumstances of repeated floods and Covid pandemic in the state, Authority decided to give an one time opportunity in such cases, excluding the exceptional cases, to attend the observations made by SEAC within 6 months with certain specific conditions.

Followed by this, Agenda items were taken up for detailed discussion.

Physical Files

Item No.112.01 **Minutes of the 111th meeting of SEIAA held on 17th&18thAugust 2021 for information**

Noted

Item No.112.02 **Action Taken Report of 111th meeting of SEIAA**

Noted

Item No.112.03 **Application for Revalidation of EC for the proposed quarry project in Re Survey No. 35/3, 35/2 part, 41 part in Erimayur-1 Village, Alathur Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala by Mr.Biju, Managing Partner, M/s Sahara Granites -(File No. 146/SEIAA/ KL/2747/2013)**

Mr.Biju, Managing Partner, M/s Sahara Granites, Marakkavu, Erimayur P.O., Palakkad – 678546, has been granted Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Re Survey No. 35/3, 35/2 part, 41 part in Erimayur-1 Village, Alathur Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala vide Order No. 146/SEIAA/KL/2747/2013 dated. 05.12.2014 for a period of 5 years from 05.12.2014 for an area of 9.4778 hectares and production capacity of 5, 70,000 MTA.

The proponent vide e-mail dated 20.01.2021 requested to consider the project for taking a decision on the project life (life of mine is 18 years from 2014), so as to enable to obtain the validity of Environmental Clearance equivalent to the project life.

The proposal was placed in the 118th SEAC meeting held on 1st, 2nd&3rd February, 2021. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. The proponent submitted the documents vide letter dated 10.03.2021. The proposal was placed in the 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th -26th, March, 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 12.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24th to 27th, August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to

direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of 18 years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

- a. The operation of the quarry project was stopped in 20.09.2019 by a stop memo of DMG in connection with issues relating to the peafowl bird sanctuary, Chullanoor that falls within 10 km radius of the project. Regarding this, the proponent received a judgement from Hon. High Court that in such cases where EC is received, the proponent shall submit request to MoEF& CC and the process can start only after the stipulated time. The proof of submission to MoEF& CC has to be produced.
- b. A heap of slurry is dumped close to the entrance of the pit and it is to be shifted to other places.
- c. An area must be identified for compensatory afforestation. A plan with location to be submitted
- d. Garland drainage –Overflow channel must be constructed.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. As the area is more than 5 Ha, as per the directions contained in the OM F.NO.L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) dated 12.12.2018 of MoEF& CC the project Proponent shall arrange for public hearing as per the guidelines given in EIA notification 2006 (Appendix1V) and SEAC should consider the recommendations of Public hearing while recommending for revalidation of EC after field inspection.**
- 3. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc**

4. **Project Proponent shall produce the proof for having applied for clearance form National Board for Wildlife as the Project is located within 10 KMs from Chullalur Peafowl Bird sanctuary.**
5. **Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal**
6. **The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.04 Environmental Clearance issued from DEIAA, Palakkad for the granite building stone quarry in Kunathur II Village, Alathur Taluk, Palakkad District of Sri.C.Rajeev, Managing Partner, M/s Surya Granites — Judgment in WP (C) No.26415/2020 - Revalidation of EC.(File No. 163/EC1/2021/SEIAA)

Sri.C.Rajeev, Managing Partner, M/s Surya Granites, Chennathodi House, Vrindavanam, Edayur North P.O., Valanchery, Malappuram- 676552, filed WP (C) No.26415/2020 before the Hon'ble High court regarding the revalidation of EC. Environmental Clearance was issued from DEIAA, Palakkad (No.E/2703/16/DEIAA dated 21.04.2017) for the granite building stone quarry in Aruvikkara Village, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble High court.

The proposal was placed in the 119th SEAC meeting held on 23rd -25th February, 2021 and the Committee directed the proponent to submit certain additional documents. The proponent has submitted the documents on 29.03.2021. The proposal was placed in the 121st SEAC meeting held on 22nd, 23rd and 27th of April, 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 10-07-2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24 -27 August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to

direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of six years from the date of issuance of the first EC order:

1. A retaining wall must be erected around the overburden dumping site.
2. A detailed plan to be submitted (prepared by an expert) for 16th and 17th specific conditions mentioned in the EC.
3. CER to be modified and submitted.
4. A certificate from District Geologist with regard to quantity of excavated rocks and balance quantity available.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the remaining period of project life as estimated by SEAC with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc**
- 3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**
- 4. DEIAA Palakkad shall hand over all related files to SEIAA for office record and necessary follow up action.**

5. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.

Item No.112.05 **Environmental Clearance issued from DEIAA, Palakkad for the building stone quarry project in Survey Nos. 328/8, 330/3, 329/1,2 at Peringottukurissy II Village, Alathur Taluk, Palakkad District by M/s Jams Granites Pvt. Ltd.—Judgment dated 08.01.2021 in WP (C) No.513/2021 - Revalidation of EC.(File No. 270/EC1/2021/ SEIAA)**

M/s Jams Granites Pvt. Ltd filed WP (C) No. 513/2021 before the Hon'ble High court regarding the revalidation of EC. Environmental Clearance was issued from DEIAA, Palakkad vide proceedings dated 05.03.2018 for the building stone quarry project in Survey Nos. 328/8, 330/3, 329/1,2 at Peringottukurissy II Village, Alathur Taluk, Palakkad District, for a period of 5 years. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble High court.

The proposal was placed in the 119th SEAC meeting held on 23rd- 25th February, 2021 and the Committee directed the proponent to submit certain additional documents. A field inspection was also carried out on 10.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24th -27th August, 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of 12 years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years.

1. Letter from the President regarding the activities done as part of CSR for the Grama Panchayath.
2. A signed document from the District Geologist regarding the quantity of excavated rocks and mineable reserve.
3. Overburden dumping site to be strengthened with retaining walls and by planting Vetiver /geotextiles on debris slope.
4. Buffer zone width must be maintained

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc**
- 3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**
- 4. DEIAA Palakkad shall hand over all related files to SEIAA for office record and necessary follow up action.**
- 5. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.06 Environmental clearance issued for the quarry project in Sy.No.65/1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 13, 74/4, 5 and 6 at Nellanad Village and Panchayath, Nedumangadu Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District by Sri. Abdul Salam Pookunju, M/s Aaramam Rock (P) LTD.- Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP (c) No. 11409 of 2020-regarding the validity of EC (File No. 624/SEIAA/KL/4807/2014)

Environmental clearance was issued for the quarry project in Sy.No.65/1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 13, 74/4, 5 and 6 at Nellanad Village and Panchayath, Nedumangadu Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District by Sri. Abdul Salam Pookunju, M/s Aaramam Rock (P) LTD

vide EC No.40/2017 dated 12.06.2017 for a period of five years. The validity of EC expires on 11.06.2022.

M/s Aaramam Rock (P) LTD filed WP (c) No. 11409 of 2020 before the Hon'ble High court regarding the revalidation of EC. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble High court.

The proposal was placed in the 118th SEAC meeting held on 1st, 2nd & 3rd February, 2021 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. Based on the decision of 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th -26th, March, 2021, a field inspection was also carried out on 01.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of seventeen years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. All special conditions in the EC 624/SEIAA/KL/2014 to be strictly followed
2. Mining to be carried out as per the approved mining plan and no deviation is permitted
3. Storm water drains to be provided to channelize the rain water
4. OB dumping site to be ear marked with protection walls.
5. Clarifiers to be provided along storm drains
6. Local species to be grown in the buffer area

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable**

reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc

3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.
4. Complaint received from Sri.T.P.Suresh Babu vide letter dated 10.08.2021 regarding the quarrying operations of M/s Aaramam Rock (P) LTD shall be forwarded to District Collector and District Geologist Thiruvananthapuram for enquiry and report, under intimation to Petitioner. SEAC shall also carry copy of the petition for verification during field inspection.
5. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.

Item No.112.07 Environmental Clearance issued to M/s. Darkstone Land Developers Pvt. Ltd in Sy. No. 1/1 (pt) of Ottappalam Village, Ottappalam Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala by Sri.Shamsudheen. M.P – Crl. M.C. No. 1232 of 2021- Interim Report furnished-reg(File No. 705/SEIAA/KL/5657/2014)

Environmental Clearance has been issued to M/s. Darkstone Ltd. Developers Pvt. Ltd. vide proceeding No.705/SEIAA/KL5657/2014 (EC No.75/2014) dated 25.10.2017 in Sy. No. 1/1 (pt) of Ottappalam Village, Ottappalam Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala. The validity of EC expires on 24.10.2022. Meanwhile the proponent filed WP (C) No.26042 of 2020 dated 1.12.2020, before the Hon'ble High court regarding the revalidation of EC. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble High court.

Authority noted that the Proposal was placed in the 110th meeting of SEIAA held on 29th& 30th June 2021 and Authority decided the following:

1. As directed by the Hon'ble High Court SEAC to conduct a field inspection to answer the issues raised by Hon'ble High Court in Crl.M.Cs cited above and also to verify the compliance status of EC conditions mentioned in the Post Environmental Clearance Monitoring Report of Project Proponent.

2. If SEAC is convinced that Project Proponent has followed the EC conditions and attended all the observations made by MoEF&CC, Integrated Regional Office, Bangalore, SEAC may estimate the Project life as directed by Hon'ble High Court in the common judgment on revalidation EC periods and accordingly recommend for continuation of EC.
3. Project Proponent shall follow the stay order if any issued from Hon'ble High Court on operation of quarry. In the light of observations made by Hon'ble High Court, the proponent shall not continue the quarry operation until he gets a permission DC Palakkad, who is also Chairman District Disaster Management Authority.
4. Project Proponent to produce a Certificate of Compliance Report from MoEF&CC, Integrated Regional Office, and Bangalore.

The decision of the 110th SEIAA meeting was intimated to the proponent vide Authority's letter dated 15.07.2021. Authority noted that the proposal was placed in 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021 and SEAC has submitted an Interim Report in this regard to the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala and decision is awaited. Authority also noted that the proponent vide letter dated 08.09.2021 has forwarded compliance report and separate report for EC conditions.

Under the circumstances Authority decided the following:

- a. SEAC shall comply with the decision of the 110th meeting of SEIAA intimated to SEAC already as per letter dated 15.07.2021 on priority.
- b. The present status of the CrI.M.C. No. 1232 of 2021 shall be ascertained from the Standing Counsel, SEIAA and take follow up action as required.

Item No.112.08

Environmental clearance issued for the quarry project in Sy. No. 4/3, 4/4, 4/11-1, 4/11, 4/13-1, 4/13-2, 4/13-3, 4/14, 6/1, 6/3, 6/4, 9/1, 9/2, 9/3, 9/4, 9/5, 9/6, 9/7, 6/5, 16/2 at Ezhumattoor Village, Mallapally Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Sri. V.R. Ajayakumar, M/s Lekshmi stone Industries-Judgment in WP(C) No. 23127 of 2020(File No. 816/SEIAA/EC4/2488/2015)

Environmental clearance was issued for the quarry project in Sy. No. 4/3, 4/4, 4/11-1, 4/11, 4/13-1, 4/13-2, 4/13-3, 4/14, 6/1, 6/3, 6/4, 9/1, 9/2, 9/3, 9/4, 9/5, 9/6, 9/7, 6/5, 16/2 at

Ezhumattoor Village, Mallapally Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Sri. V.R. Ajayakumar, M/s Lekshmi stone Industries vide order dated 04.03.2016 .The validity of the same will be expired on 03.03.2021.

Sri. V.R. Ajayakumar, M/s Lekshmi stone Industries filed WP(C) No. 23127/2020 before the Hon'ble High court regarding the revalidation of EC. The proposal was placed in the 118th SEAC meeting held on 1st, 2nd & 3rd February, 2021 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. Based on the decision of 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th -26th , March, 2021, A field inspection was also carried out on 14.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within one month and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of six years from the date of issuance of the first EC:

1. Mineral extraction details since mine initiation till date downloaded from official site or certified by the district Geologist
2. Proper management of OB dump with protection measures
3. A compensatory afforestation plan for the area lacking buffer
4. Affidavit saying all the conditions will be strictly adhered; especially benches, water drains with clarifiers, 7.5m buffer etc.

Authority decided to inform the Project Proponent that the life of mine as per approved mining plan is 6.5 years and SEAC after field inspection has estimated the Project life of 6 years and he has been already given EC for 5 years. Further, as per S.O.221 (E) dated 18.1.2012 of MoEF&CC, he will be getting a Covid related extension of one year from 1.4.2021 and hence no more revalidation of EC is required.

Item No.112.09 Environmental clearance issued for the quarry project in Sy. No. 274, 275/1A3, at Thirumittacode Village II, Thirumittacode Panchayath, Pattambi Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala by M/s Triphy Granites Pvt Ltd-Judgment dated 17.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 25111/2020 (File No. 826/ SEIAA/EC1/2609/ 2015)

Environmental clearance was issued for the quarry project in Sy. No. 274, 275/1A3, at Thirumittacode Village II, Thirumittacode Panchayath, Pattambi Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala by M/s Triphy Granites Pvt Ltd for an area of 4.7811 ha vide order dated 17.08.2016. The validity of the same will be expired on 16.08.2021.

M/s Triphy Granites Pvt Ltd filed WP(C) No. 25111/2020 before the Hon'ble High court regarding the revalidation of EC. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble High court.

The proposal was placed in the 119th SEAC meeting held on 23rd – 25th February, 2021 for appraisal. Based on the decision of 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th -26th, March, a field inspection was also carried out on 15.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th JULY 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of eight years from the date of issuance of the first EC order:

- a. Correct the steep slopes with stipulated bench height and width
- b. Garland drain and catch water drains with silt traps
- c. Water settling and clarifier pond to prevent outflow of turbid water from the project site
- d. Rainwater harvesting facility
- e. Avenue tree planting using local species
- f. Planting of trees, at least 5 times of that lost during the land clearing

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the**

compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.

2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the balance period of life of mine in the approved mining plan with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc
3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.
4. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.

Item No.112.10 **Environmental clearance issued for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 571/1A/34-5, 571/1A/34-4,571/1A/34-4-1, 571/1A/12, 571/1A/12-56, 571/1A/13-137, 571/1A/12/54/124, 571/1A/54-1,571/1A/54-2 at Konni Thazham Village, Konni Panchayath, Konni Taluk, Pathanamthitta District by Sri. Jacob Thomas, M/s Plakkattu Granites Industries Pvt. Ltd -Judgment dated 02.09.2020 in WP(C) No. 11053/2020 (File No.870/SEIAA/EC4/3101/2015)**

Environmental Clearance was issued for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 571/1A/34-5, 571/1A/34-4,571/1A/34-4-1, 571/1A/12, 571/1A/12-56, 571/1A/13-137, 571/1A/12/54/124, 571/1A/54-1,571/1A/54-2 at Konni Thazham Village, Konni Panchayath, Konni Taluk, Pathanamthitta District by Sri. Jacob Thomas, M/s Plakkattu Granites Industries Pvt. Ltd vide order dated 30.09.2016, for a period of 5 years.

M/s Plakkattu Granites Industries Pvt. Ltd filed WP(C) No. 11053/2020 before the Hon'ble High court regarding the revalidation of EC.SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble High court.

The proposal was placed in the 118th SEAC meeting held on 1st, 2nd & 3rd February, 2021 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. Based on the decision of 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th -26th, March, 2021, A field inspection was also carried out on 30.6.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of twenty years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

- 1) Mining to be carried out as per the approved mining plan and no deviation is permitted – a sworn affidavit has to be submitted
- 2) Construct Site specific Garland canal with silt traps and settling pond
- 3) Green belt development along buffer area
- 4) Compliance of specific condition I with supporting data
- 5) Top soil to be dumped at designated place only

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The Project Proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. The Project Proponent shall submit Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc**
- 3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending**

submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.

- 4. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.11 Request for the extension of Environmental clearance for the proposed china clay mining project in Sy. Nos. 427/1, 1-1, 1-2, 2, 4 & 5 at Melthonnakal Village, Trivandrum Taluk, Trivandrum District, Kerala by Sri. Chandrasekaran for M/s EICL limited (File No. 940/SEIAA/EC1/ 4098 /2015)

Environmental clearance was issued for the proposed china clay mining project in Sy. Nos. 427/1, 427/ 1-1, 427/1-2, 427/2, 427/4 & 427/5 at Melthonnakal Village, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala by Sri. Chandrasekaran, M/s EICL limited, vide proceedings No. 940/SEIAA/EC1/ 4098 /2015 dated 16.10.2019. The validity of EC expired on 15.10.2020.

The proponent vide letter dated 14.12.2020 requested for extension of Environmental Clearance .The Authority vide letter dated 29.01.2021 intimated the proponent that as per S.O.221(E) dated 18.01.2021 of MoEF, the period from 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2021 would not be considered for the purpose of calculation of period of validity of prior EC granted by SEIAA. Accordingly, validities of ECs granted would stand extended proportionately

Now the proponent vide letter dated 25.08.2021 has requested for extension of grant of EC to a further period of 7 years as the mining lease is valid till 09.03.2028 considering the facts that M/s EICL is:

- A continuous process industry 24 x 7x 365
- Export – oriented industry
- Employs about 500 persons directly and indirectly

Authority noted that SEAC has already recommended to issue EC for 5 years out of which 2 years will be covered by 15.10.2021. Authority decided to extend the EC period for the balance period of 3 years to cover the recommendation by SEAC and the

extension beyond this period will be considered after a field inspection by SEAC to confirm the compliance of EC conditions.

Item No: 112.12 **Environmental Clearance issued for the proposed Commercial Complex (Hotel, Convention Centre & Shopping Mall) project, M/s LULU International Shopping Mall Pvt. Ltd., Thiruvananthapuram District - Clarification sought regarding CER/CSR commitments (File No.1047/EC1/899/SEIAA/2016))**

Environmental Clearance was issued to M/s Lulu International Shopping Mall Pvt. Ltd in Kadakampally Village, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District vide Proceedings No. 1047/EC1/899/SEIAA/2016 (EC No.156/2016) dated 04.10.2016. The validity of EC will expire on 03.10.2023. One of the conditions in the said EC is “upkeep and maintenance of T.S. Canal”. Under CER component.

Authority noted the following:

1. The EC for the project was issued on 4.10.2016. The total Project cost is 613.89 crores and one of the EC conditions was to take up CER activities to the tune of 1% of the project cost which comes to 6.14 crores. In the EIA/EMP submitted, few CER activities costing 60.55 lakhs have been mentioned and the present stage of the implementation of these activities are not known to Authority as they are not mentioned in the half yearly compliance report available in the office of SEIAA.
2. In the EC one of the activities proposed under CER was maintenance of TS canal for which project proponent has to submit a detailed action plan. So far Project Proponent has not submitted a detailed action plan and Project Proponent reports that he has genuine problems in taking up this activity as a part of CER activity. As per the decision of 108th SEIAA meeting held on 22nd & 23rd March 2021, there was a dialogue between the Project Proponent and SEAC and SEAC has made some recommendations for the maintenance TS canal which are not acceptable to the Project Proponent.

Under the circumstances Authority decided the following:

1. There shall be a complete review of present stage of implementation of CER activities approved as per EIA/EMP and further course of action.
2. If there are genuine problems in taking up maintenance of TS canal, as mentioned in the EC, Project Proponent in consultation with Thiruvananthapuram Corporation/ with local self government institution can propose the activities listed in the MoEF&CC, OM dated 1.5.2018. This has to be done on priority as valuable 5 years have been already lapsed.
3. As the present term of SEIAA/SEAC is ending on 18th September, the Project Proponent may come over to the office of SEIAA within 15 days on prior intimation and sort out the issues raised above so that proposal can be placed before the next SEIAA/ SEAC without wasting any time.

Item No.112.13 **Environmental Clearance issued from DEIAA, Palakkad for the granite building stone quarry in Ambalappara- II Village, Ottapalam Taluk, Palakkad District by M/s PPK Granites & Crusher Products Pvt. Ltd.—Judgment dated 10.03.2021 in WP (C) No.6159/2021 - Revalidation of EC.(File No. 1062/EC1/2021/SEIAA**

Sri.Shiju.S. Director, M/s PPK Granites & Crusher Products Pvt. Ltd, Chunangad.P.O. Ottapalam, Palakkad, filed WP (C) No. 6159/2021 before the Hon’ble High court regarding the revalidation of EC. Environmental Clearance was issued from DEIAA, Palakkad (EC No. 4/16 dated 21.04.2017) for the granite building stone quarry in Ambalappara- II Village, Ottapalam Taluk, Palakkad District, the validity of the same will be expired on 20.04.2022

The Hon’ble High Court in its Judgment dated 10.03.2021, directing the petitioner to prefer an application before the SEIAA for revalidation of the Environmental Clearance granted to them. The SEIAA shall, on receipt of the said applications inform the petitioner about the documents /details, if any, required from them for processing the application and a final decision on the application shall be taken within four months from the date of furnishing of the documents with certain other direction. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon’ble High court.

Authority noted the action taken by SEAC for the revalidation of EC period and authority also noted that the Committee has recommend for the rejection of the application since mine life is not mentioned in the EC order.

Authority decided the following:

- 1. Project Proponent to make available required details to SEAC for the reconsideration of decision of SEAC**
- 2. DEIAA Palakkad shall hand over all related files to SEIAA for office record and necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.14

Clarification sought from the Government regarding the quarrying operations of M/s Al-Falah Metal Crusher in Manickal & Thekkada Village, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram (File No.1097/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

&

Mass petition filed by shri. M. Aneesh along with the local residents of Madapuram, Ettimoodu, Ozhukupara & Parambikulam Villages regarding the quarry operations VKL Granites functioning in Manickal Grama Panchayath (File No.3137/EC1/2019/SEIAA)

Authority noted the action taken by SEAC in response to clarification sought from the Government regarding the quarrying operations of M/s Al-Falah Metal Crusher in Manickal & Thekkada and action taken on mass petition filed by shri. M. Aneesh along with the local residents of Madapuram, Ettimoodu, Ozhukupara & Parambikulam Villages regarding the quarry operations VKL Granites.

The observation and recommendation of SEAC after field inspection in both the cases are as follows:

(A) Al-Falah Metal Crusher, Vembayam:

Observations of SEAC:

1. Compliance of EC conditions is not satisfactory.
2. Not followed top to bottom approach in mining.
3. Garland canal drainage system with silt trap not satisfactory
4. Buffer zone and green belt development along buffer zone not satisfactory.
5. Stacking of top soil not in designated place.

6. Poor maintenance of haulage roads.

Recommendation of SEAC:

The project proponent may be given a time limit of six months for proper compliance of the EC conditions. If he fails to comply with the EC conditions satisfactorily within this period, the EC may be cancelled.

Decision of Authority:

- 1. Inform the Project Proponent to attend all the observations made by SEAC within six months for the proper compliance of the EC conditions. If he fails to comply with the EC conditions satisfactorily within this period, after a field inspection by SEAC the EC will be cancelled.**
- 2. A suitable reply may be given to Govt. indicating field inspection and recommendation by SEAC and decision of Authority.**

(B) Complaint against functioning of VKL Infrastructure Facilities Pvt. Ltd, Manickal Village, Nedumangad, Thiruvananthapuram.

Environmental Clearance for two lease areas of M/S VKL Infrastructure Facilities Pvt. Ltd was issued in a single order no. 753(A)/B/SEIAA/EC1/302/2015 dated 13-09-2017. Total area under 753(A) is 4.3211 Ha and under 753(B) is 4.0394 Ha. Life of mine 753 (A) is 16 years and 753(B) is 22 years. Validity of EC is five years. Main complaints are:

- i) Quarrying is done very near to the Thampuran Para against a specific order no B7-2372/2012 dated 17-04-2013 of the District Collector, Thiruvananthapuram. The said order prohibits any type of mining and allied activities within 200 m radius of Thampuran Para and Thampuratty Para.
- ii) Quarrying is done in govt /puramboke land. Though surveying is done, no final decision is seen taken on this by the Revenue Department.
- iii) There are houses down the slope. The aerial distances to these houses were not considered while granting EC and allied permits. Fly rocks is a problem to these houses.

Recommendation of SEAC

- 1) Functioning of the said quarry may be stopped with immediate effect
- 2) Mining & Geology Department and Revenue Department may be requested to ensure compliance of the order no B7-2372/2012 dated 17-04-2013 of the District Collector, Thiruvananthapuram (Copy enclosed).
- 3) Revenue Department may be requested to take a final decision on encroachment of puramboke/govt. land by the quarry owner before allowing further mining in the area.
- 4) Aerial distances to nearby houses have to be measured and the threat due to explosions should be assessed by the Mining & Geology Department considering the slope of the hill.

Decision of Authority:

1. Request District Collector to issue a stop memo with immediate effect as recommended by SEAC.
2. SEIAA Secretariat to take action on point no 2, 3, and 4 listed above.
3. The decision on functioning of the quarry will be decided after getting satisfactory replies from respective authorities on issues raised above and after a field inspection by SEAC.

Item No.112.15

Monitoring functioning of quarry of M/s Adani Vizhinjam Port Pvt. Ltd- Field inspection report –reg (File No.1200/EC2/2018/SEIAA)

Authority noted the observations and recommendation Monitoring committee on the functioning of the quarry by M/s Adani Vizhinjam Port Pvt. Ltd. and decided the following:

1. To forward the observations and recommendation of monitoring committee to the Project Proponent with a direction to attend all the observations within 4 months otherwise EC given will be cancelled and action will be taken for violation EC conditions.
2. Monitoring committee led by SEAC member shall conduct a field inspection after 4 months to verify the compliance status and report to SEIAA for appropriate action.

3. Inform the decision of SEIAA to project Proponent and SEAC for necessary follow up action.

Item No.112.16 **O.A No. 155/2020 filed by Mr. Vijeesh Kumar against M/s Covenant stones Pvt. Ltd before the Hon'ble NGT (File No. 1422/EC1/2019/SEIAA)**

Mr.Raghunath Kunju Krishnan, M/s Covenant stones Pvt. Ltd, Site Office, Katta, Cheeranikara P.O., Vembayam, Trivandrum, Pin-695615, has been granted Environmental Clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy.No.29/2, 29/3 & 30/4 of Thekkada Village & Survey Nos., 470,472/4/1, 474/1, 474/1-1, ½, 472/5, 472/6,469/4/3/4, 469/4/3/3, 469/4/2, 469/4/1/1, 469/4/1/2, 469/4/1/3 & 469/4 of Manickal village, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala vide Order No. 237/SEIAA/KL/885/2013 dated. 27.11.2014 for a period of 5 years from 27.11.2014 for an area of 8.9637 hectares and production capacity for dimension stone is 30,000 CuM& building stone is 2,85, 000 tonnes/annum. This is case involving misrepresentation of facts, manipulation of records and alleged violation of EC conditions.

Authority noted the decisions and actions taken in 99th, 100th, 103rd and 104th meeting of SEIAA and 104th, 110th, and 111th meetings of SEAC held on different dates. Now there is a request from police department to handover the relevant files for investigation and a case also filed in NGT for violation of EC conditions.

Authority noted the above developments and decided the following:

- 1. Ascertain the latest position of case in NGT and take steps to defend the case through standing Counsel.**
- 2. As requested by police department hand over the photo copies of relevant files to an authorized police officer on acknowledgement.**

Item No. 112.17 **Seeking alternate name for the existing Environmental Clearance Holders, M/s Crescent Granite Products in Lakkidi Perur-1 Village, Ottappalam Taluk, Palakkad District (File No. 1649/EC1/2020/SEIAA)**

Authority noted the follow up action on the decision taken in the 106th SEIAA meeting held on 19th, 20th & 21st January 2021 and Authority agreed to the transfer of EC in the name of Sri. Shoukkathali. P as per Section 11(i) of EIA Notification 2006, on the same terms and conditions under which the prior EC was initially issued.

Item No.112.18 Environmental clearance issued from DEIAA, Thiruvananthapuram of M/s Kottakkal Granite Industries Pvt in Anad Village, Nedumangad Taluk, ,Thiruvananthapuram District– Judgment in WP(C) No. 25844 of 2020 regarding revalidation of EC .(File No.2739/EC1/2020/SEIAA)

Environmental clearance was issued from DEIAA, Thiruvananthapuram of M/s Kottakkal Granite Industries Pvt in Anad Village, Nedumangad Taluk, ,Thiruvananthapuram District vide EC No.06/2017.M/s Kottakkal Granite Industries Pvt. Lt filed WP (C) No. 25844 of 2020 before the Hon'ble High court regarding the revalidation of EC. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble Highcourt. The proposal was placed in the 118th SEAC meeting held on 1st, 2nd & 3rd February, 2021 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents:

The proposal was placed in the 120th SEAC meeting held on 24-26, March, 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 01.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to initiate steps for the suspension of the operation of the quarry for six months for non- satisfactory compliance of EC conditions and to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions and submit a satisfactory compliance report within six months so that the revalidation of EC can be taken up further:

- 1. All special conditions in the 08/2017/DIA/KL/MIN/5994/2017 (P-8455/17) to be strictly followed**
- 2. Remove the boulders present in the crown area with non-blasting techniques**

3. Only NONEL method to be used for blasting
4. OB dumping site with protective wall
5. Storm water drains with clarifiers

The Project proponent vide letter dated 6.9.2021 has promised to attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and consider his proposal for revalidation sympathetically due to special circumstances Covid Pandemic in the state.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The Project Proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made. If the observations made by SEAC are not attended to the satisfaction of SEAC the EC will be cancelled and punitive action will be taken under relevant Acts and rules applicable for the operation of a Quarry.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc**
- 3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**
- 4. District Geologist along with a member from Kerala State Pollution Control Board shall inspect the quarry site and take action against the Project Proponent within two months for all the violations noticed by SEAC and impose penalty proportionate to Environmental damage caused and loss occurred to Government due to violation of EC**

conditions. A copy of the appraisal report by SEAC may also be given to District Geologist.

- 5. DEIAA Thiruvananthapuram shall hand over all related files to SEIAA for office record and necessary follow up action.**
- 6. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC, Project Proponent and District Geologist for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.19 Environmental clearance issued from DEIAA, Thiruvananthapuram of M/s Travancore Ready Mix Pvt. Ltd in Vellarada Village, Neyyatinkara Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala – Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP (c) No. 10803/2020 regarding revalidation of EC .(File No. 700/EC1/2021/SEIAA)

Environmental clearance was issued from DEIAA, Thiruvananthapuram for M/s Travancore Ready Mix Pvt. Ltd in Vellarada Village, Neyyatinkara Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala. M/s Travancore Ready Mix Pvt. Ltd filed WP (c) No. 10803/2020 before the Hon'ble High court regarding the revalidation of EC. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble High court.

The proposal was placed in the 118th SEAC meeting held on 1st, 2nd & 3rd February, 2021 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. Based on the decision of 120th SEAC meeting held on 24-26, March, 2021, a field inspection was also carried out on 06.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to furnish the following details:

- 1. Special conditions mentioned in the earlier EC No DEIAA/EC2/2017/(P 977/16) to be strictly followed. Submit an affidavit to the same.**
- 2. Since the approach roads are narrow, a traffic management plan to be submitted**
- 3. Submit a map showing the overburden dumping site with protection walls.**

4. Submit a map showing location of nearby crusher unit of the proponent, which is under installation at the site.
5. A proper storm water drainage plan with clarifiers to be submitted.
6. Submit the list of native trees to be included in the buffer / avenue tree planting.
7. Submit a Compensatory Afforestation Plan, as the project will change floral biodiversity of the area.
8. Geo-coordinates of well with hydro geologic details. Also a map showing contours with well location to be submitted.
9. A survey map showing houses and structures within 100 m.

On satisfactory submission of the above, recommendation for issue of revalidated EC with the total project life of 11 years equaling the mine life of 11 years may be considered.

Authority decided the following:

1. **Project Proponent to attend all the observations made by SEAC and submit all documents as pointed out by SEAC within 4 months so that his proposal can be taken for revalidation of EC period.**
2. **DEIAA Thiruvananthapuram shall hand over all related files to SEIAA for office record and necessary follow up action.**

Item No: 112.20 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Survey No. 909/2B-2, 911/1 & 911/1/3 in Kalloorkad Village, Muvattupuzha Taluk - Judgment dated 2.11.2020 in WP (C) 17533/2020 and other Cases- in Judgment dated 22-12-2020 WP (C) No. 28859 of 2020 (F) - regarding the Revalidation of EC (File No. 130/EC3/2021/SEIAA)

Environment Clearance for Mining of Granite Building Stone from the Survey No. 909/2B-2, 911/1 & 911/1/3 in Kalloorkad Village, Muvattupuzha Taluk,. The total area of land is 1.9442 Hectares and the capacity of the Mining lease (TPA) is 61,000 Metric TPA. Environmental Clearance for M/s Jimmy Jose quarry unit, Ernakulam is given vide EC. No. 29/2017 issued by DEIAA, Ernakulam.

Judgment received in WP (C) No. 28859 of 2020(F) dated 22-12-2020 filed by Shri. Jimmy Jose. The proposal was placed in the 119th SEAC meeting held on 23rd- 25th February, 2021 and the Committee decided to examine the file. The proposal was placed in the 120th SEAC meeting, a field inspection was also carried out on 08.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The file was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th– 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of ten years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

- 1) Mining to be carried out as per the approved mining plan and no deviation is permitted –sworn affidavit to be submitted
- 2) Buffer zone must be left untouched and green belt development must be completed within six months
- 3) Fencing to be completed.
- 4) Garland canal, silt traps, settling pond and connection to nearest natural drainage must be completed immediately
- 5) Repair and maintenance of Haulage road to be completed immediately
- 6) Top soil must be deposited at designated places with proper protective walls to avoid erosion.
- 7) Proper functioning of Environment Management Cell

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The Project Proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable**

reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc

3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.
4. District Geologist shall inspect the site within one month along with a member from Kerala State Pollution control Board and take action against the Project Proponent for all the violations noticed by SEAC and impose penalty proportionate to Environmental damage caused and loss occurred to Government due to violation of EC conditions. A copy of the appraisal report may also be given to District Geologist.
5. DEIAA Ernakulam shall hand over all related files to SEIAA for office record and necessary follow up action.
6. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC, Project Proponent and District Geologist for urgent necessary follow up action.

Item No: 112.21 **Environmental Clearance for Granite building Stone Quarry Sy. Nos. 91/1-7, 91/1-3, 91/1-4 and 91/1-2 at Enanalloor Village, Ayavana Panchayath, Muvattupuzha Taluk, Ernakulam District - Judgment in WP (C) 1154/2021 filed by M/s Mudakkalil Granite (P) Ltd- regarding the validity of EC (File No. 154/SEIAA/KL/3074/2013)**

Environmental Clearance was issued to Mr. Mathai M.A., M/s Mudakkalil Granite (P) Ltd., Ayavana P.O., Karimattam, Muvattupuzha, Ernakulam - 686 668 for the building stone quarry project in Sy. Nos. 91/1-7, 91/1-3, 91/1-4 and 91/1-2 at Enanalloor Village, Ayavana Panchayath, Muvattupuzha Taluk, Ernakulam District for an area of 6.7571 hectares. Environmental Proceedings order dated 01-10-2014.

M/s Mudakkalil Granite (P) Ltd has requested for extension of validity period on 30-01-2021. Judgment in WP (C) 1154/2021 filed by M/s Mudakkalil Granite (P) Ltd also

received on 30-01-2021. In the judgment the court has ordered that the SEIAA shall, on receipt of the application inform the petitioner of the further documents/details if any required from him for processing the application within 2 weeks there from. On receipt of the information called for SEIAA shall consider the application and pass orders within 4 months from the date of receipt of information from the petitioner with certain other direction.

As per the Judgment dated 19-01-2021 in WP (C) 1154/2021, SEIAA directed the proponent to submit certain documents. As per the revised mining plan the lease area is 6.4496 Ha (6.7571 in EC) and new survey nos. such as 91/1-7, 5, 91/1-7, 91/1, 2-5, 91/1-4-4, 91/1-2-2 and 91/1-3-3 are additionally included that of the sy.nos mentioned in former EC.

The file was placed in the 121st SEAC meeting held on 22nd, 23rd and 27th of April, 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 08.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The file was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report and decided that there is no necessity of revalidating the EC order as the mine life and validity of the existing EC order are the same.

Authority decided the following:

- 1. Authority decided to inform the Project Proponent that he has already been given EC for 14 years and the life of mine is also 14 years and hence there is no need to revalidate EC as recommended by SEAC.**
- 2. As the area is more than 5 Ha, as per the directions contained in the OM F.NO.L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) dated 12.12.2018 of MoEF&CC the project Proponent shall arrange for a public hearing as per the guidelines given in EIA notification 2006 (Appendix1V) and implement the recommendations of Public hearing. The follow action taken shall be informed to SEIAA and mentioned in the half yearly compliance report.**

Item No: 112.22

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 324/4(P), 324/5(P) & 325/15(P), Pattimattom Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, for M/s. Cement Bricks & Allied Industries and 317/2, 317/4 & 324/5(P) Pattimattom Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, for M/s. Kizhakkambalam Granites Kerala by Shri. Paul Varghese, M/s. Cement Bricks & Allied Industries / M/s. Kizhakkambalam Granites - Judgment in

WP (C) 18778/2020 filed by M/s. Cement Bricks & Allied Industries - Revalidation of EC(File No. 724/SEIAA/KL/6074/2014)

Environmental Clearance was issued to Shri. Paul Varghese, M/s. Cement Bricks & Allied Industries / M/s. Kizhakkambalam Granites., Mekkambalam House, Vilangu P.O., Kizhakkambalam Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, 683 561 for the building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 324/4(P), 324/5(P) & 325/15(P), Pattimattom Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, for M/s. Cement Bricks & Allied Industries and quarry project in 317/2, 317/4 & 324/5(P) Pattimattom Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam, for M/s. Kizhakkambalam Granites Ernakulam district for an area of 3.263 hectares vide Order no 724/SEIAA/KL/6074/2014 dated 01.06.2017. Environmental Proceedings order dated 01-06-2017.

Judgment received in WP (C) 18778/2020 dated 2.11.2020 filed by M/s. Cement Bricks & Allied Industries. The file was placed in the 117th SEAC meeting held on 28th, 29th and 30th December, 2020 and decided to invite the Proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 118th SEAC meeting and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents.

The file was placed in the 120th SEAC meeting. A field inspection was also carried out on 05.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

A complaint was obtained from Shri. Abdul Azeez T.B. The file was placed in the 102nd SEIAA meeting held on 10th & 11th February 2020. Authority decided to forward the complaint to the Director, Mining & Geology for enquiry and report. Authority also decided to forward the copy of the Letter addressed to the Director, Mining & Geology to the District Collector, Ernakulam along with copy of the complaint for a concurrent report on the complaint. The District Collector, Ernakulam may also be requested to give definite recommendations with reasons for cancellation of EC, if found necessary. In this regard letter has been issued to Director, Mining and Geology Department and to the District Collector, Ernakulam on 27-02-2020.

The file was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th– 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to initiate steps for the suspension of the operation of the quarry for six months for non- satisfactory compliance of EC conditions and to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions and submit a satisfactory compliance report within six months so that the revalidation of EC can be taken up further:

- 1) Mining to be carried out as per the approved mining plan and no deviation is permitted –sworn affidavit to be submitted
- 2) Provide buffer zone as per EC and green belt development
- 3) Functioning of Environment Management Cell
- 4) Filing of compliance reports
- 5) Proper bench formation (5 m height 5 m width)
- 6) Repair and maintenance of haulage road
- 7) Study for design of safe blast parameters at M/S Cement Bricks & Allied Industries quarry by a reputed institute

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The Project Proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made. If the observations made by SEAC are not attended to the satisfaction of SEAC the EC will be cancelled and punitive action will be taken under all relevant Acts and rules applicable for the operation of a Quarry.**
- 2. District Geologist along with a member from Kerala State Pollution Control Board shall inspect the quarry site and take action against the Project Proponent within two months for all the violations noticed by SEAC and impose penalty proportionate to Environmental damage caused and loss occurred to Government due to violation of EC conditions. A copy of the appraisal report by SEAC and copy of the complaint received from Shri Abdul Azeez T.B. may also be given to District Geologist.**

3. There are two quarries and two projects operating adjacent to each other which are owned by the same proponent. In fact there should have been two different EC show ever this practice should be discontinued in future. The Project Proponent has applied for revalidation of EC only for M/s. Cement Bricks & Allied Industries. The reasons for not applying for revalidation of EC in the case of M/s. Kizhakkambalam Granites have to be ascertained during field inspection. SEAC should inspect all relevant land records and lease deeds carefully before recommending for revalidation EC.
4. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc
5. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.
6. SEIAA Secretariat shall follow up the action on the complaint received from Shri Abdul Azeez T.B. SEAC and District Geologist shall carry a copy of the same for verification during field inspection.
7. The decisions of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC, District Geologist, Project Proponent and Kerala State Pollution Control Board for necessary follow up action.

Item No: 112.23

Environmental Clearance issued to the building stone quarry project in survey Nos. 396/1B2, 397/1-1, 396/1B2, 397/1-1, 397/1-1, Varapetty Village & Panchayat, Kothamangalam Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala owned by Sri. P.K. Prasad - Request for obtaining Annual compliance report of project site [File No: 1103/EC/SEIAA/2020]

Environmental Clearance was issued to Sri. P.K. Prasad for the building stone quarry project in survey Nos. 396/1B2, 397/1-1, 396/1B2, 397/1-1, 397/1-1, Varapetty Village & Panchayat, Kothamangalam Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala vide proceedings No.1103/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017; EC No.26/2018 dated 27-02-2018. In the Environmental Clearance issued at Part C; Para 8, states that the validity of the EC will be for 5 years from the date of this clearance, subject to inspection by SEIAA on annual basis and compliance of the conditions, subject to earlier review of E.C. in case of violation or non-compliance of conditions or genuine complaints from residents within the security area of the quarry.

Vide letter No D2: 2153/19 dated 13-01-2021, of the Executive Engineer, Irrigation Department (MVIP Division No. 3) requested to get the Field Inspection report of SEIAA conducted at the project site to extend the validity of NOC for continuing the quarrying activity in Kakkattoor near Varapetty distributory of MVIP Valathukara Canal. Vide Letter No. nil dated 27/01/2021 the proponent also requested the same.

The proposal was placed in the 108th SEIAA meeting held on 22nd & 23rd March 2021. Authority decided to forward the request of Executive Engineer, Irrigation Department (MVIP Division No. 3) to SEAC for site inspection and report.

The file was placed in the 121st SEAC meeting held on 22nd, 23rd and 27th of April, 2021 and a field inspection was also carried out on 06.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The file was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report and decided to send the FIR to SEIAA for forwarding the same to the Executive Engineer, Irrigation Department (MVIP Division No. 3).

The proponent submitted a request to process their application as a proposal for revalidation so as to enable them to obtain the validity of Environmental Clearance equal to the project life.

Authority decided the following:

- 1. Forward the field inspection report of SEAC to Executive Engineer, Irrigation Department (MVIP Division No. 3) for necessary further action.**

2. **As there was no recommendation by SEAC to revalidate the period of EC and validity of the present EC is till 27.2.23, the proposal will be considered for revalidation after obtaining a recommendation of SEAC.**
3. **Inform the decision of SEIAA to SEAC and the Project Proponent for necessary follow up action.**

Item No. 112.24 Application for Environmental Clearance for removal of Ordinary Earth in Sy.No.604/5-A,604/5-B,604/2-A,604/2-B at Onakkoor Village, Muvattupuzha Taluk, Ernakulam District by Sri.Saji P.K. [File No.2797/EC4/2019/SEIAA]

Sri.Saji P.K, Palakkattuthottathil House, Ooramana P.O, Kainad, Ernakulam-686766 submitted application in SEIAA on 06.08.2019 for Environmental Clearance for the removal of ordinary earth from the property at Sy Nos. 604/2-A,604/2-B,604/5-A,604/5-B, at Onakkoor Village, Muvattupuzha Taluk, Ernakulam District. The quantity of the earth to be removed is 21922 m³ (**as per the mining plan, 18,282 m³**) from an area of 1.2015 Ha (**as per the mining plan submitted on 19-2-2021 99.90 Ares ie., 0.999Ha**) of ordinary earth for commercial purpose. Expected cost of the project is 10 lakhs.

The proposal was placed in the 104th meeting of SEAC held on 10th& 11th October 2019 and the Committee directed the proponent to submit certain documents. The proponent submitted the documents on 19.02.2020. The proposal was placed in 111th meeting of SEAC held on 2 - 4 June, 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation.

The proposal was placed in 112th meeting of SEAC held on 12th- 14th August 2020. A field inspection was also carried out on 26.09.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 114th SEAC meeting held on 6th – 8th October 2020 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents on 23-10-2020. The file was placed in the 115th SEAC held on 3 – 5, November 2020. The Committee scrutinized the additional documents submitted by the proponent. Though the processing at the SEAC level has been completed, the final decision will be taken by the SEAC after the final disposal of the WP (C) No.16367/2020 by the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala.

The proponent submitted a request dated 19-04-2021 for re-consideration of the proposal since the proposed project is for the mining of Ordinary Earth removal.

The file was placed in the 122nd SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th, 17th&18th June 2021. The Committee decided to inform the SEIAA that final decision on the proposal could not be taken in the light of the minimum distance norms of 200m for mining involving blasting and 100m for mining not involving blasting are stipulated by the Hon'ble NGT wherein final orders are awaited.

The file was placed in the 111th SEIAA meeting held on 17th & 18th August 2021. Authority noted the action taken by SEAC to appraise the proposal and the minutes of the 122nd SEAC meeting held on 15th – 18th June, 2021. Authority decided to bring to the notice of SEAC that the WP(C) and NGT order quoted in the minutes are applicable only to stone quarrying where blasting is involved and not applicable for mining of ordinary earth and hence it is suggested to reconsider the recommendation.

Judgment received on 07-09-2021 in WP(C) No. 13973 of 2021 dated 12-08-2021. High Court has ordered directing the respondent (SEIAA) to process the application of the petitioner further and issue Environmental Clearance to the petitioner, if he is otherwise eligible. Decision in this regard should be taken within a period of six weeks.

Under the circumstances narrated above, Authority decided to issue EC for one year from the date of issue of permit from the Department of Mining & Geology for the quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. The excavated soil shall not be used for filling the paddy fields or wetlands. The excavated soil shall be used for works relating UST Global Infopark, Ernakulam.*
- 3. Considering the seriousness of COVID Pandemic in the state, the project Proponent shall carry out certain activities under Corporate Environmental responsibility (CER) leading to creation of temporary Covid care facilities and other related activities connected with managing the Covid pandemic in the state. This will be done in consultation with local Panchayat and as per the activities approved by the*

District Collector. The indicated cost for this purpose will be 2 percent of the project cost. The activities so implemented shall be shown in the half yearly completion report. A copy of the EC shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support.

- 4. As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honorable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*

Item No.112.25

Application for Environmental Clearance for granite building stone quarry of Mrs.Thresiamma Thomas in Sy No.264/2 in Kanjirappaly Village, Kanjirapally Taluk, Kottayam. File: No. 2804/EC2/2019/ SEIAA

Mrs.Thresiamma Thomas, Anathanam House, Kanjirapally P.O, Kottayam vide his application received online and the hard copy of the project received on 06/08/19 and has sought Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification, 2006 for the granite building stone quarry project in Survey Nos. 264/2 at Kanjirapally Village, Kanjirapally Taluk, Kottayam District, Kerala, for an area of 0.9997 Ha. The project comes under Category B2, Activity 1(a), (i) as per the Schedule of EIA Notification 2006.

The proposed project site falls within Latitude 9°33'4.60"N to 9°33'9.10"N to Longitude 76°47'58.40"E to 76°48'3.40"E. The proposed project is for quarrying of granite building stone. The Capacity of mining lease is 91060TPA and the period of mining lease is 5years. The total project cost is Rs. 40lakh.

The proposal was placed in the 105th Meeting of SEAC held on 28th and 29th October 2019&109th SEAC meeting held on 31st January and 1st February 2020 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. The proponent submitted the documents on 22.01.2020 & 13.02.2020 respectively. The proposal was placed in 111th meeting of SEAC held on 2 - 4 June, 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation.

The proposal was placed in the 112th SEAC meeting held on 12th - 14th August 2020. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. A field inspection was also carried out on 02.11.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 115th SEAC meeting held on 3 – 5, November 2020 & 116th SEAC meeting held during 2nd, 3rd and 7th December, 2020 and directed to submit certain additional documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents on 27-11-2020 & 08.03.2021 respectively.

The proposal was placed in the 120th SEAC meeting held on 24-26, March, 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the additional details submitted by the proponent. The processing at the SEAC level has been completed. As per the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dtd 21.12.2020, the Committee decided to await the NGT order on the minimum distance criteria.

The proponent vide letter dated 18.08.2021, stated that there is no other building other than office building at a distance of 200 m from the project area and requested for granting EC. The proponent informed (dated 27-08-2021) that there is no building other than the office building at a distance of 200m from the project area and submitted affidavit of building owned by Smt. Elizabeth Liya Francis, daughter of Smt. Tressiamma Thomas for obtaining Environmental Clearance.

The proposal was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24 -27, August, 2021. The Committee discussed the additional details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to reject the proponent's explanation on the residential building within 200 m radius.

Authority decided to inform the Project Proponent to submit Certificate from the Village Officer stating that there is no residential building within 200m for the reconsideration of decision of SEAC.

Item No.112.26

Revision of remedial plan- Environmental Clearance proceedings No. 28/2020 dated 27-02-2020 M/s Adlux Medicity & Convention Centre Pvt. Ltd (File No. 1186/A2/2018/SEIAA)

Environmental Clearance was issued to M/s Adlux Medicity & Convention Centre Pvt. Ltd as per E.C. No. 28/2020 dated 27-02-2020. The proponent submitted a request on 11-01-2021 for revision of remedial plan and cost involved quoting certain reasons.

The proposal was placed in the 107th SEIAA meeting held on 18th & 19th February 2021. Authority noted that the Project Proponent has come to the help of Govt. of Kerala in managing the Covid Pandemics in the State, at a very critical point of time, by sparing the civil infrastructure built at a considerable cost. In his letter dated 15.2.2021 he has requested for revising the remedial plan to 351.1 Lakhs from the initial cost of 551.1 by deleting certain items having no direct impact on the environment in the immediate vicinity of the Project region.

Under the special circumstances of Covid Pandemic in the State, Authority decided to forward the request of the Project Proponent with enclosures to SEAC for consideration and report. The file was placed in the 120th SEAC meeting held on 24-26, March, 2021. The Committee observed that the Remediation Plan could not be altered or revised as requested by the project proponent since the reason cited by the proponent was in no way related to the activities included in the remediation plan.

The file was placed in the 109th SEIAA meeting held on 26th & 27th April 2021. Authority decided to communicate the decision of SEAC to the Project Proponent. Letter in this regard was sent on 03-06-2021. The proponent submitted a request dated 09-06-2021 for reviewing the decision of 120th SEAC and to provide them a personal hearing and review the decision of 120th SEAC meeting. It is also requested to reconsider the request dated 15-02-2021 along with revised remedial plan of 3.51 crores.

The file was placed in the 111th SEIAA meeting held on 17th & 18th August 2021. As requested by the Project Proponent authority decided to give him an opportunity of being heard in the next SEIAA meeting. In the meantime the Environmental Officer/Scientist in SEIAA to put up available guidelines/procedure for estimation of ecological damages, estimation of cost involved in Remediation Plan, Natural and Community Resource Augmentation Plan. They shall also study the items proposed in EMP and their relevance for environmental stability in the project region for the realistic estimate of cost. Hearing intimation was provided via email on 08-09-2021. Project Proponent presented his case and submitted a hearing note also.

Authority noted the decisions and follow up actions taken in the 107th, 109th, and 111th SEIAA meetings and 120th meeting of SEAC held on different dates and decided to direct the Environment scientist/Environment officer to put up a detailed note as already directed in the 111th SEIAA meeting held on 17th & 18th August 2021, taking into consideration the additional points if any raised by the Project Proponent in the hearing note submitted after personal hearing.

Item No. 112.27 Judgment dated 4.10.2019 in WP(C) No.31684/2016 filed by Tomy Thomas (File No.1255/EC4/2016/SEIAA) (Common Judgment in WP © 31684/2016, WP (C).15505/2016 & WP (C) 25529/2019)

Authority noted the action taken by SEIAA in its 99th and 100th meeting and action taken by SEAC in its 111th, 113th, 114th, 116th, 118th, and 123rd, meetings held on different dates and the directions of Hon'ble High Court in various WP (C). Authority also noted that an O.A. No.76/2012(SZ) has been filed before NGT and the Principal Bench of NGT, New Delhi has posted the case for hearing on 13-09-2021. Authority decided to wait for the NGT final order to proceed further in the case.

Item No. 112.28 Issuance of Developmental Permit from Akalakunnam Grama panchayath -For obtaining an Expert opinion regarding the Environmental issues for Complying with the Hon'ble High Court Judgment in WP(C) No. 27660 of 2021 dated 05-08-2021 No. 27660 of 2021 dated 05-08-2021 (File No.2493/EC3/2021/SEIAA)

Authority decided to inform the following to Akalakunnam Grama Panchayath

1. Authority is constituted as per the EIA notification 2006 for performing the duties as per the EIA Notification, 2006 and for issuing ECs for the projects listed in the schedule attached to the notification. The SEAC/SEIAA Kerala are authorized to exercise such powers and follow such procedures only as specified in the said notification. The issue raised Akalakunnam Grama Panchayath does not come under the preview of the SEIAA.
2. Akalakunnam Grama Panchayath may approach National Institute for Earth Science Studies (NCESS), National Institute for Interdisciplinary Science and

Technology or any other Accredited agency to get the expert opinion on the issue raised by Hon'ble High Court of Kerala.

Item No.112.29 Environmental Clearance issued from SEIAA, Thiruvananthapuram for the granite building stone quarry in Padichira Village, Sulthan Bathery Taluk, Wayanad district of Sri.Mr.Binoj K.Baby - Judgment in WP (C) 27813/2020 filed by - Revalidation of EC. (File No. 824/EC4/2607/SEIAA/2015)

Sri.Mr.Binoj K. Baby, Managing Partner and Authorized Signatory, Sasimala P.O, Sultan Bathery, Wayanad Kerala, filed WP (C) 27813/2020 before the Hon'ble High Court regarding the revalidation of EC. Environmental Clearance was issued from SEIAA, (No.824/EC4/2607/SEIAA/2015 dated 29.05.2017) for the granite building stone quarry in Survey Nos. 358/1A1A1A at Padichira Village, Sulthan Bathery, Wayanad district, Kerala. The validity of the EC is 5 years from the date of the Clearance and expired on 28.05.2022.

The proposal was placed in the 119th SEAC meeting held on 23rd - 25th February, 2021. The Committee decided to examine the file. Based on the decision of 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th – 26th, March, 2021, A field inspection was also carried out on 22.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of thirteen years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. Protection of overburden in the buffer zone on the top portion of the project area
2. Proof for expenditure incurred on CSR as per the EC condition
3. Estimate of over-extraction as the maximum depth of mining from the general ground level exceeded 10m at places as against the EC condition
4. Correction of bench height & width
5. Provision for garland drains with clarifiers around the core area to channelize storm water
6. Planting of trees at least 5 times of the loss that have been occurred during land clearing as stipulated in EC
7. Proof for the functioning of Environment Management Cell

8. Proof for expenditure on account of CSR as agreed in the EC

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc**
- 3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal**
- 4. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.30 Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy.No.172(P) at Kodyathur Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District- Judgment in WP (C) No.429/2021 dated 07.01.2021 filed by Sri.P.M. Aboobacker, Palakkal Granites - regarding the validity of EC. (File No.137/SEIAA/KL/2567/2013)

Environmental Clearance was issued to the quarry project in Sy.No.172(Pt) at Kodyathur Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District owned by Sri.P.M.Aboobacker for M/s Palakkal Granites Product Pvt. Ltd on 16.01.2016 for a period of five years. Validity of EC gets expired on 15.01.2021.

The WP © No.424/2021 dated on 07.01.2021 filed before Hon'ble High Court alleging that the EC period shall be the life of mine/life of project has estimated in the Mining

Plan, instead of 5 Years norms generally followed by SEIAA for the previous few years. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble Highcourt.

The proposal was placed in the 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24th, 25th & 26th March 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 13.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th, 28th, 29th & 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of fourteen years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

- 1) Mining to be carried out as per the approved mining plan and no deviation is permitted –sworn affidavit to be submitted
- 2) Complete green belt development along buffer zone within six months
- 3) Ensure proper bench formation as per EC norms
- 4) Complete fencing within one month.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc**
- 3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending**

submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal

4. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.
5. As the total area is more than 5 Ha, as per the directions contained in the OM F.NO.L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) dated 12.12.2018 of MoEF&CC the project Proponent shall arrange for public hearing as per the guidelines given in EIA notification 2006 (Appendix1V) and SEAC should consider the recommendations of Public hearing while recommending for revalidation of EC after field inspection.
6. Complaint dated 04.05.2021, from Sri.Balakrishnan, another two complaints dated 07.05.2021 and 26.07.2021 received from Sri.Boby T.C may forwarded District Geologist Kozhikode with copy District Collector Kozhikode for enquiry and report. District Geologist Kozhikode shall take action for violation of EC conditions if any.

Item No.112.31 **Environmental Clearance for the building stone quarry project in Sy.No.83 (P) at Kumaranellur Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District-Judgment in WP (C) No.19629/2020 filed by Sri.Ajas M.M, M/s Ponoorpoyil Granites - regarding the validity of EC. (File No.442/SEIAA/KL/3034/2014)**

Sri.Ajas M.M,M/s Ponoorpoyil Granites,S/o M.C Muhammed, Musliarakath House, Koodaranhi P.O, Kozhikode has submitted a request for revalidation of their EC issued as per order No.EC-442/SEIAA/EC4/3034/2014 along with the copy of judgment dated 02.11.2020 against WP (C) No.19629/2020 before the Hon'ble High Court regarding the revalidation of Environmental Clearance. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble Highcourt.

On receipt of request from the proponent dated.11.12.2021, the proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st, 2nd & 03rd February 2021 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. A field inspection was also carried out on 20.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th, 28th, 29th & 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of ten years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. The height and width of bench should be corrected
2. Garland canal along with intermittent silt traps should be developed all around the quarry site
3. NONEL blasting should be resorted to.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

1. **The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
2. **Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc**
3. **Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal**
4. **The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.32**Judgment in WP (C) No.10301/2021 filed by Sri.Habeebu Rahiman P.M, Kozhikode - regarding the validity of EC. (File No.646/EC4/4949/2014/SEIAA)**

Sri.Habeebu Rahiman P.M,S/o Muhammed, Puthoor Madathil House, Mukkam P.O, Kozhikode has submitted a request for revalidation of their EC issued as per order No.EC-646/EC4/4942/2014/SEIAA along with the copy of judgment dated 23.07.2021 against WP (C) No.10301/2021 before the Hon'ble High Court regarding the revalidation of Environmental Clearance. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble Highcourt.

A field inspection was also carried out on 19.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team. The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th,28th,29th& 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of six years from the date of issuance of the first EC order:

1. The height and width of bench should be corrected
2. There are areas with slope more than 45 degree in the quarry which should be corrected by providing bench with appropriate height and width
3. Garland canal along with intermittent silt traps should be developed all around the quarry site
4. The overburden in the top portion of the buffer zone should be protected to avoid collapse
5. Green belt should be developed all along the buffer zone with local species
6. NONEL blasting should be resorted to

Haulage roads should be maintained well and avenue trees of local species should be planted on both sides

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc**
- 3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal**
- 4. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.33 Revalidation of environmental clearance for mining of laterite stone in Sy.No.23/2 at Ulliyeri Village, Koyilandy Taluk, Kozhikode District by Smt.Subhaprabha[File No.690/A1/2019/SEIAA]

Environmental Clearance was issued to Smt.Subhaprabha, W/o Vijayan Pulickal, Pulickal House, P.O West Hill, Kozhikode-673005 as per order No. 690/A1/2019/SEIAA Dt.02.03.2020 for the period of validity for One Year from 02.03.2020 for the proposed mining of laterite stone from the land at Sy No.23/2 in Ulliyeri Village, Koyilandy Taluk, Kozhikode District from an area of 0.6883 Ha for commercial purpose and the validity of EC was expired on 01/03/2021.

The proponent has submitted the Judgment in WP© No.27633 of 2020 dated 11.12.2020. In obedience to the directions contained in the Judgment in WP(C)

No.17533/2020 of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala and Judgments in similar cases SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

The proposal was placed in the 119th meeting of SEAC held on 23rd, 24th & 25th February 2021. The Committee decided to examine the file. A field inspection was also carried out on 12.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th, 28th, 29th & 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the Field Inspection Report and decided to direct the proponent to submit the following documents/details:

- a. Mine waste dumping details with retention walls
- b. Revised Drainage plan suitable for the project area
- c. Compliance report of special conditions

On satisfactory submission of the above, revalidated EC with the total project life of 7 years equalling the mine life of 7 years can be recommended.

Authority noted that SEAC has recommended for the revalidation of EC on satisfactory submission of the following details/documents

1. Mine waste dumping details with retention walls.
2. Revised Drainage plan suitable for the project area.
3. Compliance report of special conditions.

Authority decided to inform the Project Proponent to submit the above details at the earliest for the revalidation of EC period.

Item No.112.34 Environmental Clearance for the building stone quarry of M/s Chelupara Granites situated at Re.Sy.No.172 pt of Kodiyathoor Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Judgment in WP (C) No.1332/2021 filed by Sri.B.P.Sidique Haji - regarding the validity of EC. (File No.998/EC4/4409/SEIAA/2015)

Sri.B.P.Sidique Haji, Managing Partner, M/s Chelupara Granites, Door No.KP10/40, Chelupara, Puthiyanidam P.O, Tottumukkam, Kozhikode-673669 has submitted a request for

revalidation of the EC issued as per order No.998/EC4/4409/SEIAA/2015 from SEIAA along with the copy of judgment dated 19.01.2021 against the WP (C) No.1332/2021 before the Hon'ble High Court regarding the revalidation of Environmental Clearance. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble Highcourt.

On receipt of request from the proponent dated.25.08.2021, SEIAA directed the Proponent to submit the following documents vide Letter No.323/EC4/2021//SEIAA dated.05.02.2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 10.04.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd,23rd& 27th April 2021.The Committee scrutinized the proposal submitted by the proponent and discussed the field inspection report. The proponent had applied for extension of EC and field inspection was done based on Form 6 submitted in this regard. Meanwhile, the proponent got a judgement from Hon'ble High Court of Kerala to consider mine life of the quarry as project life. It is decided to seek a clarification from the proponent. It was further decided to invite the proponent for presentation with certain details. It is also intimated that a number of complaints have been received against the quarry.

The proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th -27th August 2021.The Committee scrutinized the documents /details. The proponent had withdrawn application for extension of EC. The Committee decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of 19 years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

- 1) Completion of green belt development including buffer zone
- 2) Top soil dump in designated place with gabion wall protection
- 3) Regular cleaning of silt traps and settling pond regularly
- 4) Relocate workers shed outside 200m radius
- 5) Use only NONEL blasting
- 6) Mined out benches must be reclaimed with local plants
- 7) Mine waste dumping details with retention walls
- 8) Revised Drainage plan suitable for the project area
- 9) Compliance report of special conditions

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc Project**
- 3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**
- 4. Authority decided to forward the petitions received to District Geologist Kozhikode under intimation to District Collector for necessary follow up action and report to SEIAA.**
- 5. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.35 Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Re.Sy.No.172 (pt) at Kodyathur Village, Kodyathur Panchayt, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District-Judgment in WP (C) No.3510/2021 filed by Sri.P.M.Aboobacker, Palakkal Granites - regarding the validity of EC. (File No.1054/EC4/2021/SEIAA)

Sri.P.M.Aboobacker, Managing Director, Palakkal Granites Private Limited, R.S.No.172, Mysore Patta, Thottumukkam P.O, Kozhikode has submitted a request for revalidation of their EC issued as per order No.EC-14/DEIAA/KL/MIN/3102/2016 along with the copy of judgment dated 11.02.2021 against WP (C) No. 3510/2021 before the Hon'ble

High Court regarding the revalidation of Environmental Clearance. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble Highcourt.

On receipt of request from the proponent dated.25.02.2021, SEIAA directed the Proponent to submit certain documents vide Letter No.1054/EC4/2021/SEIAA dated. 30.03.2021. The proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd & 27th April 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation.

The Proposal was placed in the 122nd meeting of SEAC held on 15th, 16th, 17th & 18th June 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 13.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th, 28th, 29th & 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of twelve years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

- 1) Mining to be carried out as per the approved mining plan and no deviation is permitted –sworn affidavit to be submitted
- 2) Complete green belt development along buffer zone within six months
- 3) Ensure proper bench formation as per EC norms
- 4) Complete fencing within one month.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological**

reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc Project

- 3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**
- 4. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.36 Judgment in WP (C) No.19589/2020 filed by Sri.Abdul Rahim, M/s Mukkam Metals - regarding the validity of EC. (File No.2450/EC4/SEIAA/2020)

Sri.Abdul Rahim, S/o Moyinkutty, M/s Mukkam Metals, Palakulangara House, Neeleswaram P.O, Kozhikode-673582 has submitted a request for revalidation of their EC issued as per order No.EC-05/DEIAA/KL/MIN/3067/2016 along with the copy of judgment dated 02.11.2020 against WP (C) No.19589/2020 before the Hon'ble High Court regarding the revalidation of Environmental Clearance. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble Highcourt.

On receipt of request from the proponent dated.12.11.2020,the proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st,02nd& 03rd February 2021.The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. A field inspection was also carried out on 19.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th,28th,29th& 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the

proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of twelve years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. Further mining should proceed only after correcting the bench height and width
2. There are areas with slope more than 45 degree in the quarry which should be corrected by providing bench with appropriate height and width
3. Garland canal along with intermittent silt traps should be developed all around the quarry site
4. The overburden in the top portion of the buffer zone should be protected to avoid collapse
5. Green belt should be developed all along the buffer zone with local species
6. NONEL blasting should be resorted to.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc Project**
- 3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**
- 4. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.37**Judgment in WP (C) No.25699/2020 filed by Sri.Abdulla Koya Thangal, M/s Ruby Stone Crushers - regarding the validity of EC. (File No.2712/EC4/SEIAA/2020)**

Sri.Abdulla Koya Thangal C.P, Managing Partner, M/s Ruby Stone Crushers, PMP Estate, Chamal P.O, Kozhikode has submitted a request for revalidation of their EC issued as per order No.EC-10/DEIAA/KL/MIN/3970/2017 along with the copy of judgment dated 02.11.2020 against WP (C) No.25699/2020 before the Hon'ble High Court regarding the revalidation of Environmental Clearance.

On receipt of request from the proponent dated.07.12.2020,the proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st,02nd& 03rd February 2021. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. A field inspection was also carried out on 20.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th, 28th, 29th& 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of twenty two years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. The quarry is located on top of a hill with steep slope and the terrain fragility is high. Therefore extreme should be taken in the mining process. Accordingly, the blasting should be conducted with a maximum of 30 holes in a blast round using 32mm diameter blast holes drilled with handheld Jack hammer drill to a maximum depth of 1.5m and each hole charged with a maximum of 250gm of explosive. NONEL based shocktube detonators should be used for initiation and adequate muffling arrangement should be made to restrict fly rocks within 10m. Blast should be conducted by a qualified personnel as stipulated by the Director General of Mine Safety.
2. As a precautionary measure considering the terrain characteristics, adequate drainage and slope protection measures should be taken immediately
3. Garland drain with intermittent silt traps should be formed appropriately to channelize the overland flow.

4. Vegetation along the way up to the quarry from the project boundary stand thin and degraded, which needs to be augmented with suitable native species. Avenue trees of local species should be planted and nurtured.
5. The over burden and mine waste is dumped on the high slope region without benching, protective walls or drainage. It should be corrected scientifically under the supervision of geo-engineer and safeguarded
6. The height and width of bench should be corrected as stipulated in the mine plan.
7. Entire quarry should be provided with catch water drain with adequate silt traps
8. Storm water from upper slopes should be channelized into settling pond and let out after it is adequately clarified
9. A RWH structure of appropriate size should be set up for the storage of rain water

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc Project**
- 3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**
- 4. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.38

Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in field No.2436 (Unsurveyed),KoodaranjiVillage,KoodaranjiPanchayt,ThamarasseryTaluk,Kozhikode District- Judgment in WP (C) No.25139/2020 filed by Sri.Shaji Mathew, Matha Industries, Kozhikode- regarding the validity of EC. (File No.2714/EC4/2020/SEIAA)

Sri.Shaji Mathew, M/s Matha industries, Koombara bazar P.O, Koodaranji, Kozhikode-673604 has submitted a request for revalidation of their EC issued as per order No.EC-12/DEIAA/KL/MIN/3738/2017 along with the copy of judgment dated 17.11.2020 against WP (C) No. 25139/2020 before the Hon'ble High Court regarding the revalidation of Environmental Clearance. SEAC initiated steps to comply with the directions of Hon'ble Highcourt.

On receipt of request from the proponent dated.07.12.2020, Proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st,02nd& 03rd February 2021.The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. A field inspection was also carried out on 13.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th,28th,29th& 30th July 2021.The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of twelve years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

- 1) Mining to be carried out as per the approved mining plan and no deviation is permitted –sworn affidavit to be submitted
- 2) The storm water from the upper slopes to be channelized into the drain and clarified properly before letting it out
- 3) Ensure functioning of EMC
- 4) Complete green belt development along buffer area
- 5) Improve quality of garland canal drainage with silt traps with proper design

6) Proper maintenance of haulage roads

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.**
- 3. Authority noticed that as per SEAC report there are two more quarries functioning in the locality within 500 mts from the project site, leading to a cluster situation. Hence as per EIA notification 2006, an Environment Management Plan has to be prepared to treat the entire area in the cluster, within 6 months by the Project Proponent to the satisfaction of SEAC and all the quarry owners should carry out the activities proposed in the EMP for treating the entire area as one unit, to ensure the environmental stability in the project locality. The cost involved in the preparation of EMP and its implementation of activities shall be shared by all the quarry owners in the cluster. The compliance of the same shall be reported in the half yearly report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.**
- 4. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**
- 5. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC and Project Proponent for urgent necessary follow up action.**

Item No.112.39

Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Unsurvey field no.2442 at Koodaranji Village, Koodaranji Panchayt, Thamarassery Taluk, Kozhikode District- Judgment in WP (C) No.25086/2020 filed by Sri.Shahil A.M, Thekkinchuvadu Granites - regarding the validity of EC.(File No.2856/EC4/2021/SEIAA)

Sri.Shahil. A.M, Director, Thekkinchuvadu Granites (P) Ltd, Valillapuzha P.O, Malappuram-673639 has submitted a request for revalidation of their EC issued as per order No.EC-03/DEIAA/KL/MIN/3295/2016 along with the copy of judgment dated 17.11.2020 against WP (C) No.25086/2020 before the Hon'ble High Court regarding the revalidation of Environmental Clearance.

On receipt of request from the proponent dated.23.12.2020,the proposal was placed in the 119th meeting of SEAC held on 23rd,24th& 25th February 2021. The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain additional documents /details. A field inspection was also carried out on 13.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th, 28th,29th& 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to initiate steps for the suspension of the operation of the quarry for six months for non- satisfactory compliance of EC conditions and to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions and submit a satisfactory compliance report within six months so that the revalidation of EC can be taken up further:

- 1) Mining to be carried out as per the approved mining plan and no deviation is permitted – sworn affidavit to be submitted
- 2) Comply all specific and general conditions of EC within six months

A second field inspection may be conducted after six months to monitor compliance of specific and general EC conditions. Only based on their report decision on further functioning of quarry/revalidation of EC may be decided.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The Project Proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting**

the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made. If the observation made by SEAC are not attended to the satisfaction of SEAC within 6 months the EC will be cancelled and punitive action will be taken under relevant Acts and rules applicable for the operation of a Quarry.

2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan, etc
3. Project Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.
4. District Geologist along with a member from Kerala State Pollution Control Board shall inspect the quarry site and take action against the Project Proponent within two months for all the violations noticed by SEAC and impose penalty proportionate to Environmental damage caused and loss occurred to Government due to violation of EC conditions. A copy of the appraisal report by SEAC may also be given to District Geologist.
5. DEIAA Kozhikode shall hand over all related files to SEIAA for office record and necessary follow up action.
6. The decision of SEIAA shall be conveyed to SEAC, Project Proponent and District Geologist for urgent necessary follow up action.

Item No.112.40

Application for Environmental Clearance for mining of Granite Building Stone Quarry project in Survey No 292/1 A of Vellad Village, Thaliparamba Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala by Mr. Mathew, M/s Alacode Granites (File No. 1277(A)/EC2/2019/SEIAA)

Authority noted the actions taken by SEIAA in its 106th, 108th, 109th meetings held on different dates and action taken by SEAC in its 103rd, 105th, 106th, 109th, 111th, 115th, 122nd, and 124th meetings held on different dates. Finally SEAC has rejected the proposal quoting certain reasons. Now the Project Proponent vide letter dated 9.9.2012 states that SEAC has rejected the proposal without giving him an opportunity for presenting additional documents and he has requested for an opportunity to present additional documents and an opportunity for personal hearing.

As requested by Project Proponent to meet the ends of natural justice, Authority decided to give an opportunity to present the additional documents before SEAC and also for an opportunity of personal hearing in the next meeting of SEAC.

Item No.112.41 Application for Environmental Clearance for the proposed Granite Building Stone quarry project in Survey No. 82,76 and 1 of Kottur Village, Koyilandy Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala over an area of 4.811 Ha. by Mr. Thomas Philip (File No. 2340/A1/2019/SEIAA)

Mr. Thomas Philip, Proprietor, Delta Rock Products, Cherupulichiyil House, Pathanamthitta District vide the hardcopy of application received on 13.11.2019 and has sought Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification, 2006 for the building stone quarry project in Survey Nos 82,76 and 1 of Kottur Village, Koyilandy Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala over an area of 4.811 Ha. The project comes under Category: B of EIA Notification 2006.

Authority noted the decisions taken by DEIAA Kozhikode in its various meetings held on different dates, action taken in the 95th and 98th SEIAA meeting held on different dates and action taken by SEAC in its 106th, 110th, 112th, 113th, 118th, and 124th meetings held on different dates. Authority also noted the directions of Hon'ble High court of Kerala in WP(C) 13309/2020 relating to this case.

Authority noted that SEAC in its 124th meeting has recommended to reject the proposal quoting certain reasons. As directed by Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WP(C) 13309/2020, Authority decided to give an opportunity of being heard to the

Project Proponent in the next SEIAA meeting and he should be made available a copy of the field inspection report of SEAC and its recommendations to SEIAA well in advance.

Item No.112.42 Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 34/2 Pt., 30/2/2 Pt., 20/7 Pt., 30/2/3 and 20/1 Pt. at Oorakam Village and Panchayath, Tirurangadi Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri.K.Mohammed Akbar, M/s. Ooragam Metals Ltd. – Judgment dated 01.02.2021 in WP(C) No.2512 of 2021 - Revalidation of EC – (File No.120/SEIAA/KL/2186/2015) reg : -

Environmental Clearance was issued to M/s Ooragam Metals Ltd. 22.11.2013 for a period of five years. Validity of EC get expired on 30.10.2018. Thereafter on 07.12.2018 SEIAA give 1 year extension of EC from 31.10.2018. On the expiry of the validity, SEIAA once again extended the validity for a period of 9 months from 30.10.2019. Since the area is above 5 ha, the proponent applied for ToR on Parivesh for further extension. The 114th meeting of SEAC held on 06th to 08th October 2020 decided to issue standard Terms of Reference along with certain specific aspects for carrying out environmental impact assessment study of the proposal:

The Standard ToR along with the above specific aspects for the proposed granite building stone quarry project of M/s Ooragam Metals limited was issued on 13.11.2020.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.2512 of 2021 (M) before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other directions. On receipt of application from the proponent dated.08.02.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents on 19.02.2021.

The Proposal was placed in the 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 26th March 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the additional details submitted by the proponent. A field inspection was also carried out on 15.04.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd & 27th April 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the Field Inspection Report and decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. The Proponent submitted the documents on 29.06.2021.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to issue the revalidated EC order with the project life of seventeen years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years.

Authority noticed that a complaint dated.11.09.2021 has been received from Sri.Harish Vasudevan, a practising Advocate in the H'ble High Court of Kerala alleging certain irregularities in the appraisal and recommendation of SEAC for revalidation of EC. Authority decided to forward the complaint to the SEAC with a direction to conduct a field inspection along with District Geologist and a member from local Panchayat with sufficient notice to Local Panchayat president, Project Proponent, District Geologist and Complainant. A copy of the complaint should be made available to Local Panchayat president, Project Proponent and District Geologist along with notice for field inspection.

After the field inspection SEAC should give an immediate report to SEIAA for necessary further action. This decision of SEIAA should be conveyed to SEAC, Local Panchayat president, Project Proponent, District Geologist and Complainant for necessary follow up action.

Authority decided extend the EC period by 8 months to attend the above process, as per the similar terms and conditions in the EC already issued and The Project Proponent shall ensure that no violation of EC conditions takes place during the extended period of EC .

Item No.112.43

Environmental Clearance issued by DEIAA, Malappuram for the quarry project in Re.Sy.No.103/2 in Kannamangalam Village, Thirurangadi Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Shri.V.Moideen, Managing Partner, V.K.M. Stone Crusher – Judgment dated 09.02.2021 in WP(C) No.3277 of 2021 - Revalidation of EC (File No.556/EC6/2021/SEIAA) – reg :-

Environmental Clearance was issued by DEIAA Malappuram for the quarry project in Re.Sy.No.103/2 in Kannamangalam Village, Thirurangadi Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala owned by Shri.V.Moideen, Managing Partner, V.K.M. Stone Crusher on 01.12.2017 for a period of five years. The validity of EC expires on 30.11.2022.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.3277 of 2021 before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other direction.

On receipt of application from the proponent dated.12.02.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents vide Letter No.273/EC6/2021/SEIAA dated. 19.02.2021.

The Proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th to 30th July 2021. The Committee scrutinized the additional documents submitted by the proponent and decided to invite the proponent for presentation.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Proponent attended the meeting. The Committee decided to recommend the rejection of the application since there was distortion of information relating to mine life and mine lease.

Authority decided to inform the Project Proponent to provide required clarification to SEAC for the reconsideration of its decision.

<u>Item No.112.44</u>	Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 1019/3, 1019/4, 1019/5, 1019/6, 1019/7, 1021/6, 1021/8, 1021/9, 1027P, 1028P, 1029P and 1030P at Painkulam Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri.T.Mathew Abraham, M/s. Southern Rock & Aggre gate Mining Company – Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No.17533 of 2020 - Revalidation of EC- reg :- (File No.285/SEIAA/KL/1362/2014)
------------------------------	--

Environmental Clearance was issued to the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 1019/3, 1019/4, 1019/5, 1019/6, 1019/7, 1021/6, 1021/8, 1021/9, 1027P, 1028P, 1029P and 1030P at Painkulam Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri.T.Mathew Abraham, M/s. Southern Rock & Aggregate Mining Company on 05.12.2014 for a period of five years.

In obedience to the directions contained in the Judgment in WP(C) No.17533/2020 of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala and Judgments in similar cases SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

The Proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st to 03rd February 2021 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. The proposal was placed in the 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th to 26th March 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 02.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of twenty years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. The OB site could be further strengthened with vegetation/retaining wall. An affidavit to the effect need to be obtained.
2. A modified CER made a part of EMP needs to be submitted.
3. A biodiversity assessment report to be prepared on the basis of which a detailed Green belt plan be prepared and submitted. The implementation of this plan could be a special condition while issuing EC.
4. The Proponent submitted the documents sought by 124th SEAC meeting on 14.09.2021.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.**
- 3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**

Item No.112.45

Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 147/15/3pt, 147/1070/1pt, 1070/3pt, 1070/1 pt, 147/4/1/1p, 147/1089/2 pt, 147/1087/2pt, 1088/2/1, 1069/1/4pt, 1069/1/2pt, 1068/3pt, 147/1089/3 pt of Ollukkara Village, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by M/s Thomson Granites Pvt. Ltd. – Judgment dated.24.11.2020 in WP(C) No.25842 of 2020 – Revalidation of EC- reg :- (File No.601/SEIAA/KL/4604/2014)

Environmental Clearance was issued to the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 147/15/3pt, 147/1070/1pt, 1070/3pt, 1070/1 pt, 147/4/1/1p, 147/1089/2 pt, 147/1087/2pt, 1088/2/1, 1069/1/4pt, 1069/1/2pt, 1068/3pt, 147/1089/3 pt of Ollukkara Village, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by M/s Thomson Granites Pvt. Ltd. on 23.12.2015 for a period of five years. Validity of EC get expired on 22.12.2020.

M/s. Thomson Granites filed a WP(C) No.25842 of 2020 and the Hon'ble High Court vide Judgment dated.24.11.2020, disposed of granting the petitioner the same relief as granted to the Petitioners in the WP(C) No.17533/2020 and connected cases.

The proposals along with all other connected cases were placed in the 117th SEAC meeting held on 28th to 30th December 2020 and the Proponent was invited for presentation.

The Proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st to 03rd February 2021. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents:

The proposal was placed in the 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24- 26 March 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 01.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of twenty years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. The OB site could be further strengthened with vegetation/retaining wall. An affidavit to the effect need to be obtained.
2. A modified CER made a part of EMP needs to be submitted.
3. A biodiversity assessment report to be prepared on the basis of which a detailed Green belt plan be prepared and submitted. The implementation of this plan could be a special condition while issuing EC.

The Proponent submitted the documents sought by 124th SEAC meeting on 13.09.2021.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological**

reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.

3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.

Item No.112.46

Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 111/1 part & 113/1 part at Edavanna Village and Sy. No. 217 part at Perakamanna Village, Eranad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri.Jamal Muhammed, Managing Partner, M/s Aranhikkal Granite – Judgment dated 19.01.2021 in WP(C) No.28890 of 2020 (I) - Revalidation of EC (File No.241/SEIAA/KL/971/2014) – reg : -

Environmental Clearance was issued to the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 111/1 part & 113/1 part at Edavanna Village and Sy. No. 217 part at Perakamanna Village, Eranad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala owned by Sri.Jamal Muhammed, Managing Partner, M/s Aranhikkal Granite Unit on 05.12.2014 for a period of five years. The lease area is 9.9499 ha. On the expiry of the validity of EC, the Proponent submitted an application for ToR in Parivesh.

The proposal was placed in the 108th meeting of SEAC held on 13th & 14th January, 2020. Since the area exceeds 5 ha, the Committee recommend the Standard ToR with special studies on hydro geological aspects. Authority considered the proposal in its 103rd meeting held on 24th & 25th February, 2020 and after deliberations decided to approve the requirement of the Standard ToR with special studies on hydrogeological aspects as recommended by SEAC. The Proponent has issued the Standard ToR with special studies on hydrogeological aspects vide Letter No.1456/EC3/2019/SEIAA dated 01.06.2020.

The proponent filed a WP(C) No.28890 of 2021 (M) before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C)

No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other direction. SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

On receipt of application from the proponent dated.30.01.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents.

The proposal was placed in the 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 26th March 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd & 27th April 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 08th July 2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of nine years from the date of issuance of the first EC order:

1. CER to be modified and made part of EMP and submitted.
2. Geo- coordinates of the nearest open well and depth of water table during post monsoon season must be provided.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. As the total area is more than 5 Ha, as per the directions contained in the OM F.NO.L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) dated 12.12.2018 of**

MoEF&CC the project Proponent shall arrange for public hearing as per the guidelines given in EIA notification 2006 (Appendix1V) and SEAC should consider the recommendations of Public hearing while recommending for revalidation of EC after field inspection.

- 3. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.**
- 4. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**

Item No.112.47

Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Re. Survey. No. 266/2 (pt) of Cherukavu Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri.P.K.Abdulla Koya, M/s Beta Granites– Judgment dated 16.02.2021 in WP(C) No.3059 of 2021 - Revalidation of EC (File No.216/SEIAA/EC1/274/2014) – reg :-

Environmental Clearance was issued to the quarry project in Re. Survey. No. 266/2 (pt) of Cherukavu Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala owned by Sri.P.K.Abdulla Koya, M/s Beta Granites on 23.06.2017 for a period of five years. The lease area is 4.5466 ha.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.3059 of 2021 (F) before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in its Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other direction. SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

On receipt of application from the proponent dated.30.01.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents. The proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd & 27th April 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 19th July 2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th to 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of 12 years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. The O.B site should be further strengthened with vegetation. An affidavit to the effect needs to be submitted.
2. Green belt needs to be further improved with site- specific species. Identify an area for Compensatory afforestation and a plan for planting trees.
3. A modified CER made a part of EMP need to be submitted.

The Proponent submitted the documents sought by 124th meeting of SEAC.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.**
- 3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate**

from Mining and Geology Department, hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.

Item No.112.48 Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy.No. 266/1, 266/2, 253/5, 253/15, 253/16 and 253/17 at Cherukavu Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District by Sri. Mayin Haji, M.C., M/s Calicut Granites (P) Ltd– Judgment dated 19.01.2021 in WP(C) No.1123/2021 - Revalidation of EC – reg : - (File No.676/SEIAA/ KL/ 5356/2014)

Environmental Clearance was issued by SEIAA on 04.03.2016 for the quarry project in Sy.No. 266/1, 266/2, 253/5, 253/15, 253/16 and 253/17 at Cherukavu Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District for an area of 9.1058 Ha owned by Sri.Mayin Haji, M.C., M/s Calicut Granites (P) Ltd. The validity of EC get expired on 03.03.2021.

Since the area is above 5 ha. the Proponent submitted an application on PARIVESH for approval of ToR (File No.1774/EC6/2020/SEIAA) before the expiry of the validity period. The proposal was placed in the 114th meeting of SEAC held on 06th to 08th October 2020 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit the following documents/details;

- 1. EIA report using standard TOR along with cumulative impact considering the carrying capacity of the cluster and transportation management plan*
- 2. Hazard zonation map*

The Standard ToR along with the above specific aspects for the proposed granite building stone quarry project of M/s Calicut Granites (P) Ltd. was issued on 29.12.2020.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.1123 of 2021 before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other direction. SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

On receipt of application from the proponent dated.30.01.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents.

The Proposal was placed in the 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 26th March 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The Proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd& 27th April 2021. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain additional documents. A field inspection was also carried out on 19.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of 17 years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

- a. The Green belt is currently mostly just outside the buffer because of the rocky substratum in buffer. Make alternate arrangements.
- b. The OB dumping site should be further strengthened with more planting.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. As the total area is more than 5 Ha, as per the directions contained in the OM F.NO.L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) dated 12.12.2018 of MoEF&CC the project Proponent shall arrange for public hearing as per the guidelines given in EIA notification 2006 (Appendix1V) and SEAC should consider the recommendations of Public hearing while recommending for revalidation of EC after field inspection.**

3. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.
4. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.

Item No.112.49

Environmental Clearance for the Building Stone Quarry in Re.Survey No. 39/9, 49/5, 44/5-2, 46/2, 45/2, 44/4, 44/4-3, 44/4-2, 44/1, 43/1-3, 49/5-2, 49/1, 49/4, 49/3-2, 44/6-2, 39/6-2, 39/4-3 in Urangattiri Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri. Basil Madappilly M/s Hilltop Holdings – Judgment dated 18.11.2020 in WP(C) No.25228 of 2020- Revalidation of EC- reg :- (1252/EC2/2019/SEIAA)

Environmental Clearance was issued to the quarry project in Re.Survey No. 39/9, 49/5, 44/5-2, 46/2, 45/2, 44/4, 44/4-3, 44/4-2, 44/1, 43/1-3, 49/5-2, 49/1, 49/4, 49/3-2, 44/6-2, 39/6-2, 39/4-3 in Urangattiri Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala owned by Sri. Basil Madappilly M/s Hilltop Holdings on 14.05.2019 for a period of five years for an area of 4.4305 Ha. The validity of EC expires on 13.05.2024.

In obedience to the directions contained in the Judgment in WP(C) No.17533/2020 of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala and judgments in similar cases SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

The Proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st to 03rd February 2021. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents.

A complaint was received from the Secretary, Urangattiri Grama Panchayat against the quarrying operations of M/s. Hilltop Holdings. The copy of the complaint was forwarded to

the District Collector, Malappuram for report vide Letter No.1252/EC2/2019/SEIAA dated.12.06.2020. The District Collector vide Letter dated.31.01.2021 submitted the report stating that the quarry is functioning with all the valid license and the residential building is at a distance of more than 300 m and they were unable to take any action against the quarry and also reported that the quarrying is carried out in steep slope of mountain by removing the topsoil.

The 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th to 26th March 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 22.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of ten years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. Rubble stockyard to be shifted to a safer place.
2. Upper portion of the drainage should have silt traps and to be desilted regularly.
3. Part of the access road from the PWD road is constricted. The three quarries utilising this road (Malabar Bricks, Hill Top, P.K Granites) should jointly widen and maintain the road. The minutes of SEIAA should be made available to the said quarries and also the Geology Department. Action taken report with photographs should be submitted.
4. Bench correction.
5. The details of the mined out quantity should be obtained from the Geology Department.
6. CER plan to be improved and made part of the EMP and submitted.
7. More native species to be planted in the upper portion of the outside mine area

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation propoposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.
2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.
3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.

Item No.112.50

Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy.Nos.1853/1, 1854, 1855, 1856, 1857, 1858 and 1860/1 in Pazhayannur Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri.A.G.Madhavan, Managing Director, M/s Nila Metals Pvt. Ltd – Judgment dated 01.02.2021 in WP(C) No.2512 of 2021 - Revalidation of EC- (File No. 900/SEIAA/EC1/3461/2015) – reg : -

Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy.Nos.1853/1, 1854, 1855,1856, 1857, 1858 and 1860/1 in Pazhayannur Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri.A.G.Madhavan, Managing Director, M/s Nila Metals Pvt. Ltd on 16.12.2016 for a period of five years. Validity of EC expires on 15.12.2021.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.1121 of 2021 (M) before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the

date of receipt of the application with certain other direction. SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

On receipt of application from the proponent dated.21.01.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents. The proposal was placed in the 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 26th March 2021. The committee discussed and accepted the additional details submitted by the Proponent. A field inspection was also carried out on 02.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of nine years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. The drainage map showing the O.B dumping site is to be submitted.
2. A certificate of the District Geologist regarding the quantity extracted till date.
3. Proof regarding the compliance report sent to MoEF

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.**
- 3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map**

showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.

Item No.112.51 Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy.No. 1244/1 (pt) at Killanur Village, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District by Sri.Dineshmon N.T, M/s. Rudra Granites – Judgment dated 26.03.2021 in WP(C) No.8023 of 2021 - Revalidation of EC (File No.823/SEIAA/EC1/2977/2015) – reg :-

Environmental Clearance was issued by SEIAA to the quarry project in Sy.No. 1244/1 (pt) at Killanur Village, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District owned by Sri.Dineshmon N.T, on 09.08.2016 for a period of five years for an area of 4.9531 ha. The validity of EC expires on 08.08.2021.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.8023 of 2021 before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in its Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other direction. SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

On receipt of application from the proponent dated.08.04.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents.

Sri.Girijan filed a WP(C) No.9940 of 2020 before the Hon'ble High Court in which SEIAA is the 4th respondent. The Hon'ble Court vide Order dated.15th May 2020 directed the 2nd respondent (Director, Mining & Geology) to immediately cause an inspection with the respondent No.5 (Chief Forest Conservator) respondent No.6 (Forest Range Officer, Pattikkad range), respondent No.7 (Wild Life Warden, Peechi) and file a joint report before the court within three weeks. Repeated complaints have also been received from Sri.Girijan in SEIAA against the quarrying operation of M/s. Rudra Granites. The 101st SEIAA decided to forward the complaint to the Director, Mining & Geology and the District Collector concerned for

report and the same was forwarded vide Letter No.823/SEIAA/EC/2606/2015 dated.04.02.2020. The Director, Mining & Geology vide Letter No.1635/M4/2020 dated.28.04.2021 submitted a report of the joint inspection conducted on 25.06.2020 at Rudra Granites based on the direction of Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) No.9940 of 2020. No reply was received from the District Collector, Thrissur even after repeated reminders.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th to 30th July 2021. The Committee scrutinised the additional documents and decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proponent was informed to attend the 124th SEAC meeting for their presentation vide email dated.11.08.2021.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following condition within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of eight years from the date of issuance of the first EC order:

1. Statement from the proponent related to limiting project life to lease period. The Proponent submitted the document sought by 124th SEAC Meeting.

Authority decided the following:

- 1. Project Proponent has submitted a letter dated 12th September 20121 with a request to reconsider the Project life estimated by SEAC giving some reasons. Authority decided to forward the letter to SEAC for examination and take a decision on the period of Project life with sufficient justification.**
- 2. It is seen that the Director, Mining & Geology vide Letter No.1635/M4/2020 dated.28.04.2021 has submitted a report of the joint inspection conducted on 25.06.2020 at Rudra Granites based on the direction of Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) No.9940 of 2020. A copy of the report may be made available SEIAA/SEAC for perusal before revalidation of EC period after obtaining a suitable recommendation from SEAC.**
- 3. It is seen that the Project site is located within 6.398 KMs from Peechi Vazhani wild life sanctuary. Project Proponent should produce a proof for having applied for wild life clearance from NBWL**

Item No.112.52

Environmental Clearance issued by DEIAA, Malappuram for the quarry project in Re.Sy.No.231 of Elankur Village, Eranad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Shri.Hasim Malik, JSS Granites – Judgment dated 09.02.2021 in WP(C) No.3280 of 2021 - Revalidation of EC (File No.394/EC6/2021/SEIAA) – reg :-

Environmental Clearance was issued by DEIAA Malappuram for the quarry project in Re.Sy.No.231 of Elankur Village, Eranad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Shri.Hasim Malik, Managing Partner, JSS Granites on 09.02.2018 for a period of five years. The validity of EC will be expired on 08.02.2023.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.3280 of 2021 before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other direction. SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

On receipt of application from the proponent dated.17.02.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents. The Proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd & 27th April 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The Proposal was placed in the 122nd meeting of SEAC held on 15th to 18th June 2021. The proponent and the RQP were present. The RQP made the presentation. A field inspection was also carried out on 15.08.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of 21 years from the date of issuance of the first EC order:

1. CER to be modified and submitted.

2. A separate plot to be maintained for native trees.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.
2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.
3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.

Item No.112.53 Environmental Clearance for the Building Stone quarry project in Sy.No. 36/3 (pt), 37/1(pt), 37/2(pt), 37/3(pt) at Morayoor Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri.E.A.Abdul Karim, M/s Malabar Aggregates – Judgment dated 23.02.2021 in WP(C) No.4687 of 2021 - Revalidation of EC (File No.853/SEIAA/EC1/2977/2015)

Environmental Clearance was issued by SEIAA to the quarry project in Sy.No. 36/3 (pt), 37/1(pt), 37/2(pt), 37/3(pt) at Morayoor Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala owned by Sri.E.A.Abdul Karim, M/s Malabar Aggregates on 31.10.2016 for a period of five years. The validity of EC will be expired on 30.10.2021.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.4687 of 2021 before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in its Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C)

No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other direction. SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

On receipt of application from the proponent dated.05.03.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents The Proposal was placed in the 122nd meeting of SEAC held on 15th to 18th June 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th to 30th July 2021. The proponent and the RQP were present. The RQP made the presentation. A field inspection was also carried out on 15.08.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of 12 years from the date of issuance of the first EC order:

- 1) The proponent has to submit a modified CER as part of EMP as directed by the MoEF&CC.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological**

reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.

3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.

4. It is seen that WP(C) No.16123 has been filed by Sri.Shahad alleging certain irregularities in the functioning of the quarry. The outcome of this WP(C) will be considered while revalidating the EC period.SEIAA shall take steps to defend the WP(C).

ItemNo.112.54

Application for Environmental Clearance for the Granite Building Stone quarry project in Survey No. 355/Pt of Pulpatta Village, Eranad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala for an area of 0.9042 Ha. by Sri. Saithalavi.C (File No. 1336/EC2/2019/SEIAA)

Sri. Saithalavi.C, Managing Partner, M/s Pulpatta Granite, Chemmala House, Alungaparamp, Pulpatta (P.O.), Malappuram District, Kerala – 676 123 vide the hardcopy of application received on 15.05.2019 has sought Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification, 2006 for the Granite Building Stone quarry project in Survey No. 355/Pt of Pulpatta Village, Eranad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala over an area of 0.9042 Ha. The project comes under Category : B of EIA Notification 2006

The proposal was placed in the 104th SEAC meeting held on 10th& 11th October 2019. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation along with certain documents. The proposal was considered in the 105th SEAC meeting held on 28th& 29th October 2019. The proponent was present. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents. The proponent submitted the documents on 28.11.2019. The proposal was placed in the 108th meeting of SEAC held on 13th& 14th January 2020. A field inspection was also carried out on 19.02.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 111th meeting of SEAC held on 02nd to 04th June 2020, 119th meeting of SEAC held on 23rd to 25th February 2021 & 123rd meeting of SEAC held on

27th to 30th July 2021 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. The Proponent submitted the documents on 11.02.2021, 14.07.2021 & 17.08.2021 respectively.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee discussed the additional details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC with a project life of six years subject to certain specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC initially for a period of 5 years for the quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. The EC period will be further extended to the Project life as estimated by SEAC after conducting a field inspection at the end of 5 years to verify the compliance of EC conditions.*
- 3. Provide gabion protection for the overburden storage area*
- 4. Install a water tank of 6, 000 Litre storage capacity for meeting the domestic requirements for at least 3 days. Also construct water tank of 40,000 Litre capacity for storing the water requirement for environmental management. If not, develop a rainwater harvesting facility linking the garland drain of the mine for storing adequate water proposed for environmental management.*
- 5. The quarrying should commence only after developing the road fully*
- 6. The transportation from the quarry should not be permitted during peak hours.*
- 7. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region,*

indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support.

8. *In the wake of occurrence of large scale landslides in the state, as per the information provided by the Department of Mining & Geology, it is directed to use only NONEL (Non Electrical) technology for blasting to reduce the vibration of the ground, which is one of the causative factors that triggers landslides, formation of cracks in the surrounding buildings and disturbance to human and wildlife.*
9. *As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*

Item No.112.55 **Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy.Nos. 51pt, 47/1pt & 49/2pt in Kizhuparamba Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri.K. Kunjumoyin, Managing Partner, M/s Friends Crushers – Judgment dated 29.03.2021 in WP(C) No.8118 of 2021 - Revalidation of EC (File No.861/SEIAA/EC1/2990/2015)**

Environmental Clearance was issued by SEIAA to the quarry project in Sy.Nos. 51pt, 47/1pt & 49/2pt in Kizhuparamba Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala owned by Sri.K. Kunjumoyin, Managing Partner, M/s Friends Crushers on 23.12.2017 for a period of five years. The validity of EC will be expired on 22.12.2022.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.8118 of 2021 before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in its Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the

applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other direction. SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

On receipt of request from the proponent dated.12.04.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents. The Proposal was placed in the 122nd meeting of SEAC held on 15th to 18th June 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th to 30th July 2021. The proponent and the RQP were present. The RQP made the presentation. A field inspection was also carried out on 16.08.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of eight years from the date of issuance of the first EC order:

1. CER to be modified according to the MoEF&CC guide lines.
2. Use bamboo as soil binder instead of Devil sugarcane.
3. Correct the slope.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.**

- 3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**

Item No.112.56 Environmental Clearance issued by DEIAA, Malappuram for the quarry project in Re.Sy. Nos. Q02-1065/pt in Melmuri Village, Eranad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri.Muhammed Ibrahim – Judgment dated 09.02.2021 in WP(C) No.3314 of 2021 - Revalidation of EC (File No.395/EC6/2021/SEIAA) – reg : -

Environmental Clearance was issued by DEIAA Malappuram for the quarry project in Re.Sy. Nos. Q02-1065/pt in Melmuri Village, Eranad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala owned by Shri.Muhammed Ibrahim, Proprietor, Ibrahim Quarry, Palakkan House, Pookkottur P.O, Malappuram on 31.08.2017 for a period of five years. The validity of EC will expire on 30.08.2022.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.3314 of 2021 before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other direction. SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

On receipt of application from the proponent dated.17.02.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents. The Proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd & 27th April 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The Proposal was placed in the 122nd meeting of SEAC held on 15th to 18th June 2021. The proponent and the RQP were present. The RQP made the presentation. A field inspection was also carried out on 15.08.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the files in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of 12 years from the date of issuance of the first EC order:

1. The specific conditions could be augmenting the currently cultivated area with native species – an affidavit to the effect required.
2. A modified CER as per MoEF&CC guidelines incorporated in the EMP.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.**
- 3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.**
- 4. DEIAA Malappuram to shall transfer all connected files to SEIAA for record and necessary further action.**

Item No: 112.57 Environmental clearance for the Granite Building stone quarry project in survey Nos.221/1, Block No: 14, Muthuvallur Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri.V.P.Harshad,

Managing Partner, M/s Associate Engineering & Chemicals (File No-1122/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Sri.V.P. Harshad, Managing Partner, M/s Associate Engineering & Chemicals, Thavanur (P.O), Kuzhimanna, Malappuram District, Kerala-673641, vide his application received online, has sought Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification, 2006 for the Granite Building stonequarry project in survey Nos 221/1, Muthuvallur Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala for an area of 8.9180 Ha. The project comes under Category B, Activity 1(a), (i) as per the Schedule of EIA Notification 2006 (since it is below 50 hectares) and as per O.M. No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M) dated 18th May 2012 of Ministry of Environment and Forests. It is further categorized as Category B2 as per the O.M. No. J-13012/12/2013-IA-II (I) dt. 24.12.2013 of Ministry of Environment and Forests, since the area of the project is below 25 hectares.

The proposal was placed in the 74th meeting of SEAC held on 14th & 15th June 2017. A field inspection was also carried out on 01.10.2017 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was again considered in the 81st meeting of SEAC held on 30th & 31st meeting. The committee decided to recommend for issuance of EC subject to specific condition in addition to the general condition.

The proposal was placed in the 76th meeting of SEIAA held on 16th November 2017. As the Project proponent has recorded that the quarry is operational for an area of 8.9180 ha on lease before 2012 without EC, Authority decided to initiate violation proceedings against the functioning of the quarry and called for an explanation from the proponent in this regard.

The Proponent has submitted the explanation to the queries raised by SEIAA on 76th meeting. Initially quarrying lease was granted to the company for a period of 12 years from 19.08.1988 over an area of 9.2877 ha. On expiry of the said term, the company applied for renewal of quarrying lease for an area of 8.918 ha, and the lease was granted for a further term of 10 years which was valid upto 14.12.2010. Again on the expiry of this period, quarrying lease was granted for a further period of 10 years which will expire on 09.12.2020. The proponent was on the presumption that quarry's having valid lease agreement with the

government can work till the expiry of the present lease period and accordingly they continued quarrying operation in the premises.

The proposal was placed in the 79th meeting of SEIAA held on 09th January, 2018. The minutes of the meeting are as follows.”Authority noticed that it is a case of violation as the last time quarrying lease was renewed without EC. Hence Authority decided to initiate violation proceedings and issue Stop Memo”. Hence stop memo was issued as per Proceedings No-1122/EC/SEIAA/KI/2017 dated 17.03.2018 and directed the DC, Malappuram to inform the action taken against M/S Associate Engineering & Chemicals vide letter dated 07.12.2018

In compliance with the direction of SEIAA, DC, Malappuram furnished a detailed report vide letter dated 30.05.2019. The proposal is considered in the 95th SEIAA meeting held on 29th July, 2019. Authority noticed that as per the letter dated 03.05.2019 of District Collector, Malappuram addressed to SEIAA, a penalty of Rs.8,53,200 has been imposed for violation of Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rule 2015. Authority decided to call for a detailed report from the SEAC and the Director of Mining & Geology, about the further operation of quarry, after examining the field conditions and rule position for such violation.

The proposal was considered in the 103rd SEAC meeting held on 17-18th September, 2019. The minutes of the said meeting is as follows

‘The Mining & Geology Department has fined Rs.8,53,200/- as per the letter from the District Collector, Malappuram. The SEIAA has sought for a detailed report also from the Director, Mining & Geology regarding further operation of the quarry after field visit. SEIAA may initiate a joint inspection by a team consisting of SEAC members & Department of Mining & Geology’.

The proposal was considered in the 98th SEIAA meeting held on 18th & 19th October 2019. Authority decided to inform the District Geologist concerned to expedite the report sought for. As suggested by SEAC in its meeting held on 17th – 18th September 2019, a joint inspection may be carried out. SEAC may fix a convenient date and inform the same to the concerned District Geologist for making arrangements for joint field inspection.

Letter send to District Geologist, Malappuram to expedite the report sought for. The report of the Mining & Geology Department is awaiting. The Proposal was placed in the 106th meeting of SEAC held on 28th to 30th November 2019. The Committee decided to nominate

Dr.P.S.Easa as the representative of SEAC. A copy of the letter addressed in this regard has to be marked to Dr.Easa.

The Team consisting of Dr. P. S. Easa and Shri Raghavan, the District Geologist visited the site on 7th January, 2020. Two Asst. Geologists also accompanied the team. The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report and decided to forward to SEIAA with a recommendation for initiating violation proceedings as it attracts the provision of violation as per EIA notification.

Authority decided to inform the Project Proponent that EC can be issued only after completion of violation proceedings against the Project Proponent as per Notification No. SO 804 (E) Dated 14.03.2017 and Notification No.1030 (E) dated 08.03.2018 for operating the quarry without EC.

Authority decided to inform the District Collector Malappuram to impose penalty on the Project Proponent proportionate to Environmental damage caused and loss sustained to Government on a count of operating quarry without EC.

Item No.112.58 Environmental Clearance for the building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 3, 21/1, 21/2, 22, 23, 24 of Kannamangalam Village, Kannamangalam Panchayat, Thirurangadi Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri. C.K. Abdul Azeez, Managing Director, M/s Grand Stone Metals Pvt. Ltd. – Judgment in WP(C) No. 26342 of 2020 - Revalidation of EC- reg :- (File No.906/SEIAA/EC1/3538/2015)

Environmental Clearance was issued by SEIAA to the quarry project in Sy. No. 3, 21/1, 21/2, 22, 23, 24 of Kannamangalam Village, Kannamangalam Panchayat, Thirurangadi Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala owned by Sri. C.K. Abdul Azeez, Managing Director, M/s Grand Stone Metals Pvt. Ltd. on 16.11.2017 for a period of five years. The validity of EC expires on 15.11.2022.

In obedience to the directions contained in the Judgment in WP(C) No.17533/2020 of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala and judgments in similar cases SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

The Proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st to 03rd February 2021. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The

Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. The 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th to 26th March 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 16.08.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report in this regard and decided to recommend to the SEIAA to direct the proponent to comply with the following conditions within six months and on satisfactory submission of the compliance report of the above, the proponent will be eligible for revalidation of EC order with the project life of 22years from the date of issuance of the first EC order subject to review every five years:

1. The present overburden dumping site in the core zone is to be shifted to a suitable place outside the core zone. The new site is to be marked in the map.
2. CER must be revised.
3. Border Pillar must be permanently fixed. Fencing must be provided along the boundary connecting BP1 -BP2. A photograph must be submitted after completing this process.
4. Provide details of observation well and depth of water table in the post monsoon period.
5. Rectify the bench height.

Authority decided to consider the EC revalidation proposal recommended by SEAC subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The proponent shall attend all the observations made by SEAC within 6 months and submit a compliance report to SEAC. After getting the compliance report, SEAC shall conduct a field inspection to confirm the compliance of observations made.**
- 2. Revised Scheme of Mining approved by Department of Mining & Geology for the next 5 years with details such as total geological reserve, mineable reserve, total quantity extracted till date, remaining mineable reserves, year wise production plan etc.**
- 3. Proponent shall produce Certificate of Compliance Report from Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bangalore, recent Cluster Certificate from Mining and Geology Department, Hazard Zonation Map showing the distance from the project area and any other document**

pending submission as required by SEAC during the appraisal of revalidation proposal.

Item No.112.59 **Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Survey No. 163 (Block No.22),2/2-2,2/4-3,2/4-2,3/1-2,2/3,2/2-3,2/4-4,2/4-5 (Block No.27) in Urangattiri Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Mr. K.V. Moideenkoya, M/s Kallarattikkal Granites (File No. 1230/EC2/2019/SEIAA)**

Mr. K.V. Moideenkoya, M/s Kallarattikkal Granites, Pakkulam, Areacode P.O., Malappuram – 673639, vide the hardcopy of application received on 12.02.2019, sought Environmental Clearance under IA Notification, 2006 for the quarry project in Survey No. 163 (BLOCK NO.22),2/2-2,2/4-3,2/4-2,3/1-2,2/3,2/2-3,2/4-4,2/4-5 (BLOCK NO.27) in Urangattiri Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala for an area of 2.9472 Ha. The project comes under Category B2, Activity 1(a), (i) as per the Schedule of EIA Notification 2006.

Authority noted the action taken by SEAC in its 96th,102nd,103rd,106th,111th,119th,122nd,123rd, meetings of SEAC held on different dates and action taken by SEIAA in its 104th and 105th meeting held on different dates.

Authority noted that the Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee discussed all the details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to recommend the rejection of the proposal on certain grounds.

Authority agreed to the recommendation of SEAC to reject the proposal and inform the same to Project Proponent quoting reasons for rejection.

Item No.112.60 **Application for Environmental Clearance for mining of Granite Building Stone Quarry in Survey Nos 178/1, 179/pt, Block No: 56 in Morayur Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala for an area of 2.1404 ha by Sri. Muhammed Faisal K. P(File No-1300/EC/2019/SEIAA)**

Sri. Muhammed Faisal K. P, Mamattakunnummal House, Valluvambram P.O, Malappuram District, Kerala – 673 642 vide his application received online and the hard copy of the project received on 27.05.2019 and has sought Environmental Clearance under EIA

Notification, 2006 for the building stone quarry project in Survey Nos. 178/1, 179/pt, Block No: 56 in Morayur Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala for an area of 2.1404 hectares. The project comes under Category B2, Activity 1(a) as per the Schedule of EIA Notification 2006.

Authority noted the action taken by SEAC in its 103rd, 104th, 105th, 106th, 111th, 116th, 119th, 122nd, 123rd, meetings of SEAC held on different dates and action taken by SEIAA in its 104th and 105th meeting held on different dates.

Authority noted that the Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee discussed all the details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to recommend the rejection of the proposal on certain grounds.

Authority agreed to the recommendation of SEAC to reject the proposal and inform the same to Project Proponent quoting reasons for rejection.

Item No.112.61 Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy.No. 276/2, 281/2 B/No. 45 at Anakayam Village, Anakayam Panchayath and Sy. No. 244 at Manjeri Village, Manjeri Municipality, Ernadu Taluk, Malappuram District by Sri. Abdul Azeez, Managing Director, M/s Manjeri Bricks and Metals Pvt. Ltd. – Judgment dated 26.11.2020 in WP(C) No.26214 of 2020 - Revalidation of EC-reg :- (File No.537/SEIAA/EC/3880/2014)

Environmental Clearance was issued to the quarry project in Sy.No. 276/2, 281/2 B/No. 45 at Anakayam Village, Anakayam Panchayath and Sy. No. 244 at Manjeri Village, Manjeri Municipality, Ernadu Taluk, Malappuram District by Sri. Abdul Azeez, Managing Director, M/s Manjeri Bricks and Metals Pvt. Ltd on 11.02.2016 for a period of five years. The validity expires on 10.02.2021.

In obedience to the directions contained in the Judgment in WP(C) No.17533/2020 of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala and judgments in similar cases SEIAA/SEAC took steps for revalidation of EC period after estimating project life.

The Proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st to 03rd February 2021. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents:

The 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th to 26th March 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 22.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report and decided to direct the proponent to apply afresh since the proposal is not eligible for revalidation.

Authority decided to convey the decision of SEAC to reject the EC revalidation proposal to the Proponent quoting the reasons for rejection.

Item No.112.62 Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 1/1 (P) at Elamkulam Village, Perinthalamanna Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by M/s Madeena Granite– Judgment dated 19.01.2021 in WP(C) No.28833 of 2020 (D) - Revalidation of EC – (File No.305/SEIAA/KL/1572/2014) reg : -

Environmental Clearance was issued by SEIAA for the quarry project in Sy. No. 1/1 (P) at Elamkulam Village, Perinthalamanna Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by M/s Madeena Granite on 05.12.2014 for a period of five years. The period of EC was expired on 04.12.2019. Vide application dated 18.10.2019 the Proponent has submitted an application for the approval of ToR with File No.1484/EC3/2020/SEIAA and the same has been granted too.

The Proponent filed a WP(C) No.28833 of 2020 before the Hon'ble High Court, seeking identical relief issued by the Court in Judgment dated 02.11.2020 in WP(C) No. 17533/2020 and connected cases, where by the Court directed SEIAA to consider the applications for enhancement of validity of the EC certificate, by estimating the project life of the particular project, and complete the exercise within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the application with certain other direction.

On receipt of application from the proponent dated 30.01.2021, SEIAA informed the Proponent to submit certain documents.

The Proposal was placed in the 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 26th March 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The Proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd & 27th April 2021. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to

submit certain additional document. A field inspection was also carried out on 08.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proponent not submitted the documents as sought by 121st SEAC meeting. A complaint has been received in SEIAA on 15.07.2021 from the residents of Muthukurussi, Chenamparambu, Malappuram against the quarrying operations of M/s. Madeena Granites.

Authority noted that the Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinised the relevant documents and directed the proponent to submit a certified copy of the quantity extracted and balance quantity to be extracted from the Dept. of Mining & Geology. Proponent did not submit the document and there was no recommendation by SEAC for the revalidation of EC proposal

Authority decided to inform the above position to Project Proponent with a direction to submit the required details to SEAC to process his proposal further for revalidation

Item No. 112.63 SEIAA meeting – Elavally Grama Panchayat – Artificial Lake Construction – Reg. (File No.2197/A2/2021/SEIAA)

The President, Elavally GramaPanchayat had submitted a letter regarding the matter of Environmental Clearance for artificial lake construction in Elavally GramaPanchayat.

The President informed that the Elavally GramaPanchayat intends to construct a 50 metre wide bund in the eastern region of the Elavally GramaPanchayat in the Mullassery Block of Chavakkad Taluk in Thrissur district. Clay is the main soil in the area. It is also informed that there is potential for agriculture, water supply connections, resolving the power crisis and eco-tourism. Therefore, the President of Elavally GramaPanchayat has sought directions on whether it is necessary to conduct an EIA and obtain Environmental Clearance prior to the implementation of this project.

Authority decided to inform the following to the President, Elavally Grama Panchayat:

The construction of the artificial lake as proposed by the Panchayat is not a scheduled activity included in the EIA Notification, 2006 and hence EC is not mandatory. Further as per Notification SO(E)1224 dated, 28.03.2020 of MoEF&CC “*Customary extraction of sand and ordinary earth from sources situated in G.P for personal use or community work in village*”

is an exempted activity. If any other activity other than the activities exempted in the said Notification for execution of the same EC is to be obtained from Authority. In addition, EC is required if brick earth/ordinary earth is to be removed and transported outside the project area EC and permit are required.

Item No.112.64 WP(C)No.2667/2021 filed by Sri.Muhammed Rafeeqe before the Hon'ble High Court - Reg. (File No.426/A2/2021/SEIAA)

The petitioner Sri.Muhammed Rafeeqe filed the petition before the Hon'ble High Court seeking appropriate orders on the application for prior Environmental Clearance submitted by him for starting Laterite Building Stone mining. As per the Judgment in WP(C) No.2667/2021 (G) dated, 07.04.2021. Hon'ble High Court disposed of the WP and directed 1st Respondent i.e., Member Secretary, SEIAA to consider the petitioner's Expt. P3 application (EC application) expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of the judgment. Copy of the judgment received in this office on 01.06.2021 and ,hence the time limit expired on 31.08.2021.

Sri.Muhammed Rafeeqe Kotherikundu House, Karippur P.O, Malappuram – 673 638 submitted online application for Environmental Clearance on 28.12.2019 for Laterite Building Stone Quarry project in Re-Survey No. BL 11 239/2 of Pallikkal Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala The proposed production will be 15,301.125 MT. The life of mine is 2 years. The project cost is 2 lakh. Proposal is being examined in file No.1678/EC6/2020/SEIAA.

The Proposal was placed in the 114th meeting of SEAC held on 06th to 08th October 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 116th meeting of SEAC held on 02rd, 03rd& 07th December 2020. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The processing at the SEAC level has been completed; the final decision will be taken by the SEAC after the final disposal of the WP (C) No.16367/2020 by the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala.

The Proposal was placed in the 122nd meeting of SEAC held on 15th to 18th June

2021. *“The Committee decided to inform the SEIAA that final decision on the proposal could not be taken in the light of the minimum distance norms of 200m. for mining involving blasting and 100m. for mining not involving blasting are stipulated by the Hon’ble NGT wherein final orders are awaited.*

The file was placed before 111th meeting of SEIAA held on 17th & 18th August 2021. The Committee decided to inform SEAC to reconsider the proposal as Hon’ble NGT order relates to stone quarries where blasting is involved and in case of laterite stone mining generally no blasting is involved, provided all other conditions for EC are fulfilled.

The Project Proponent shall be informed about the decisions taken by both SEIAA and SEAC in obedience to directions of Hon’ble High Court.

Standing Counsel in the Hon’ble High Court shall be informed about the decision of SEIAA to defend the case if it comes up”. But tenure of present SEAC constituted by Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change vide notification No.S.O.4913(E) dated, 19.09.2018 expires on 18.09.2021 and the last SEAC was held on 24th to 27th August 2021. In the circumstances the matter will be considered only after the formation of new SEAC by Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.

Therefore, the minutes of 111th SEIAA and Statement of extension of time limit was forwarded to the Standing Counsel with a request to file extension petition for a further period of three months with effect from 01.09.2021, since the time limit for compliance of judgment expired on 31.08.2021.

Under the special circumstances narrated above as SEAC has already appraised the proposal and the issue raised by SEAC for keeping the proposal in pending is not relevant to the proposal, Authority decided to issue EC for the quantity mentioned in the approved mining plan for a period of 2 years subject General and Special conditions relevant to the proposal.

Item No.112.65

Instruction to Standing Counsel in filing Statement/Report before Hon’ble NGT (SZ) in OA NO.188/2021.(File No.2442/A1/2021/ SEIAA)

Sri. S.Sakthivel, Environmental Protection & Anti-Pollution Group has filed an application before Hon’ble NGT (SZ) challenging the action of issuance of EC to various

Medical Colleges in Kerala. Hon'ble NGT in its interim order dated 26/8/2021 directed SEIAA as well as Kerala State Pollution Control Board to file respective reports/statement before the Tribunal on or before 24/9/2021.

An instruction in this regard has to be given to Standing Counsel for filing Statement/reports before NGT.

File placed before SEIAA for approval of the instruction.

Instruction for filing statement/report in O.A.No. 188/2021 before Hon'ble NGT.

SEIAA is the authority constituted for granting EC's to those proposals submitted, to it under EIA notification 2006. It doesn't have the authority and sufficient infrastructure to inspect projects/constructions to verify the EC requirement status of the projects/constructions. At present data on EC requirement status of those Medical Colleges that haven't applied for Environmental Clearance are not available with the SEIAA. The concerned District Authority who is responsible for issuing permits/licenses for the project/construction is responsible for verifying the requirement of EC for which the permit/license is being sought for, if the same has to be mandatorily obtained before issuing such permits/license. Action on construction without complete and valid documents has to be taken by the respective Local Self Government bodies /District Authorities.

Item No.112.66 **Environmental clearance for mining of Laterite Stone in Re.Sy.No.477/1pt Block No.18 at Vazhakkad Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri.Abdhul Muhsin.K.P- Request to give clarification regarding the EC issued [File No.2490/EC2/2019/SEIAA]**

Environmental Clearance was issued to Sri.Abdhul Muhsin.K.P by SEIAA on 28.04.2020 for the mining of Laterite Stone in Re.Sy.No.477/1pt Block No.18 at Vazhakkad Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala for an area of 0.3885 Ha. The EC is valid for a period of one year (28.04.2020 to 27.04.2021) and the maximum quantity to be mined is 10000 m³. The Proponent vide Letter dated.16.04.2021 requested to extend the validity of EC. The Proponent was informed that EC would stand accordingly for the proportionate period as

per amendment effected vide S.O.221 (E) dated.18.01.2021 by MoEF & CC, in all cases which come under the scope of the said Notification subject to eligibility.

The Senior Geologist, Malappuram vide email dated.10.09.2021 stated that quarrying permit was issued to the Proponent for mining initially a quantity of 5000 m³ of laterite stone and on site visit for the renewal of permit it is seen that the Proponent had mined 6712.5 m³ of laterite stone, ie. 1712.5 m³ of laterite stone excess on the allowed quantity of 5000m³. Accordingly the Mining & Geology Department imposed royalty and fine on the excess quantity and the Proponent had paid Rs.3,33,250/- to the Govt. Since the Proponent violates the rules, the Senior Geologist now seeks clarification whether the EC can be treated as valid or not.

The Proponent vide Letter dated.13.09.2021 requested to give permission to mine the balance quantity of stone as mentioned in the EC issued.

Authority decided to inform the Senior Geologist, Malappuram that the Proponent has a valid EC but there is a noncompliance of Permit conditions for which he has been already penalized. As he has not exceeded the total quantity mentioned in EC, the EC given by SEIAA is still valid. Department of mining and Geology can take appropriate action for violating the condition put in by them while issuing permits.

Item No.112.67 Environmental clearance for the Development of Govt. Medical College cum Hospital in Sy.No.643 at Iravan Village, Kodencherry Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by The Principal Incharge, Konni Medical College (File No. 810.A/SEIAA/EC4/2373/2015)

The Principal-in-Charge, Konni Medical College, Office of the Director of Medical Education, Medical College P.O., Thiruvananthapuram, vide his application received on 23/06/2015, has sought Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification, 2006 for the Development of Govt. Medical College cum Hospital at Sy. No. 643 in Iravan Village, Kodencherry Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala. It is *interalia*, noted that the project comes under the Category B, 8(a) of the Schedule of EIA Notification 2006 and violation procedures have to be completed before issuing the prior EC. The proponent had submitted the EIA report including the EMP, Ecological damage assessment, remediation plan and natural community resources augmentation plan on 30th October 2020.

Authority noted the Appraisal steps taken by SEAC in its 50th, 53rd, 73rd, 75th, 76th, 77th, 104th, 105th, 107th, 108th, 111th, 112th meetings of SEAC held on different dates.

The proposal was placed in the 115th SEAC meeting held on 3 – 5, November 2020. The proponent and the consultants were present. The consultant presented the EIA report including the ecological damage assessment and remediation plan, and the natural and community resource augmentation plan as part of the violation proceedings as directed by the SEAC in its 111th and 112th meetings. The Committee accepted the proposals presented in the report and decided to direct the proponent to follow the due procedures for violation proceedings as stipulated in the EIA guidelines. The Committee also decided to recommend the issuance of the EC for the built up area of 99,165.6 sq. m. of the project subject to the conditions stipulated in S.O.1030 (E) dated 8.3.2018 and with certain specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

The proposal was placed in the 106th SEIAA meeting held on 19th, 20th & 21st January, 2021. Authority noted that in its 115th meeting SEAC has recommended for issue of EC subject to the conditions stipulated in S.O.1030 (E) dated 8.3.2018 which deals with violation proceedings. As per this OM cited the following steps have to be followed for issue of EC in violation cases.

- i) In case of violation action will be taken against the Project Proponent by the respective State or State Pollution Control Board under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate will be issued till the project is granted Environmental Clearance. (S.O.804(E) of MoEF&CC dated 14th March 2017)
- ii) State level expert appraisal committee (SEAC) should visit the site and decide whether the project can run sustainably under compliance of environmental norms with adequate safeguards, if so SEAC should prescribe appropriate Terms of Reference for carrying out an Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) Study and for the preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP). The SEAC shall stipulate implementation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefit derived due to violation as a condition of Environmental Clearance. (S.O.1030 (E) of MoEF&CC dated 8th March 2018)

- iii) The Project Proponent will be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with State Pollution Control Board and the quantification will be recommended by SEAC and finalized by Regulatory Authority. The bank guarantee shall be deposited prior to the grant of EC and will be released after successful implementation of the remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and after the recommendation by the regional office of MoEF&CC, SEAC and Regulatory Authority. (S.O.1030 (E) of MoEF&CC dated 8th March 2018)

Authority noticed that SEAC has taken action under point no (ii) above and the action has to be taken under point no (i) and (iii) above. Authority decided to inform the SPCB for taking action under point no (i) above and inform SEAC and Project Proponent for necessary follow up action under point no (i) and (iii) above, so that prior EC can be issued after completing the above procedures.

Based on the decision of 106th SEIAA meeting, letter dated 02.02.2021 was forwarded to the Member Secretary, KSPCB for taking necessary action as per above point no. (i). Also, vide letter dated 02.02.2021, the above decision was intimated to the proponent.

The proposal was placed in the 119th SEAC meeting held on 23rd -25th February, 2021. The Committee noted the decision of SEIAA and observed that the Committee has already assessed the remediation plan submitted by the proponent and it has been accepted. The implementation of the remediation plan by the proponent is a condition of EC and the same has been communicated to SEIAA for taking further necessary action including the issuance of EC.

The proposal was placed in the 108th SEIAA meeting held on 22nd & 23rd March 2021. Authority decided to direct the Project Proponent to submit a Bank Guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to the KSPCB as per clause 7 of S.O.1030 (E) of MoEF&CC dated 8th March 2018 and inform the same to SEIAA so that the prior EC can be issued.

The project proponent was intimated the decision of 108th SEIAA meeting vide Authority's letter dated 13.04.2021. The Authority vide letter dated 05.08.2021, enquired KSPCB whether the proponent remitted the bank guarantee or not. The Kerala State

Pollution Control Board vide letter dated 14.07.2021 forwarded compliance details of the remedial action plan collected during inspection.

The project proponent vide letter dated 28.07.2021 has requested to exempt Govt. Medical College, Konni from the submission of Bank Guarantee and to grant EC at the earliest, giving certain reasons. The Project Proponent in his letter has stated the following:

1. The total cost of the approved Remediation Plan and Natural and Community Resource Augmentation plan is 28.80 crore and most of the activities proposed in the remediation plan have already been executed and remaining activities are in pipeline.
2. The total cost of the completed activities is 21.10 crore and remaining activities will be executed through funding of KIIFB which is in principle approved by Govt. of Kerala.
3. The Project Proponent has requested for exemption from Bank guarantee quoting some reasons.

The proposal was placed in the 111th SEIAA meeting held on 17th & 18th August 2021. Authority noted that as per clause 7 of S.O.1030 (E) of MoEF&CC dated 8th March 2018 of EIA Notification 2006, the Project Proponent shall furnish a Bank guarantee equivalent to approved Remediation plan and Natural and Community resources Augmentation plan to KSPCB and it will be released after the recommendation of SEAC and approved by Regulatory Authority. However the Project Proponent has requested for exemption from Bank guarantee quoting certain reasons.

Under the above narrated circumstances, as it is a Medical College funded by Govt. of Kerala to provide the medical facilities to needy poor people and medical education to large number of medical students, Authority decided to direct the SEAC to conduct a field inspection to confirm the status of activities already carried out and activities to be completed by the Project Proponent as stated in the letter dated 28.07.2021. The field inspection team of SEAC shall include a representative from KSPCB, concerned Divisional Forest Officer, a representative from Department of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of Kerala, a representative each from Local Panchayat and Town Planning Department. The Project Proponent shall coordinate and facilitate the field inspection and based on the recommendation of SEAC after the field inspection, a decision will be taken on exemption of

Bank Guarantee.

The decision of the 111th SEIAA meeting was conveyed to Principal, Konni Medical College vide letter dated 08.09.2021. The Chairman SEAC nominated Shri.K.Krishna Panicker on behalf of SEAC to conduct Field inspection vide e-mail dated 08.09.2021.

The committee consisting of following members conducted the field inspection on 13th September 2021

- 1) Dr. Minny Mary Mammen , Principal, GMC, Konni
- 2) K Krishna Panikar Member of SEAC
- 3) Mr. Syam Mohan Lal, DFO, Konni
- 4) Smt. Suchitra, Executive Engineer, KSPCB, Pathanamthitta
- 5) Mr. Sanal, Secretary, Aruvapulam Panchayat
- 6) Mr. Anil Kumar, District Town Planner, Pathanamthitta

The committee discussed the issue relating to implementation of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and inspected the project area to assess the physical achievements of remediation and natural resource augmentation plan. The observation and recommendations of the committee are as follows.

Progress of implementation of remediation plan:

- 1) Water environment

Though construction of water treatment plant is completed, water supply to ward 1, 2, 14 and 15 of Aruvapulam Grama Panchayat is still in DPR stage and may take another two years for completion.

- 2) Eco, Noise, Air and Land Environments

Some 250 plants are planted in and around the hospital building. But major activities are in pipeline only.

- 3) Socio-Economic Environment

Development of road to 12 m width is achieved for about 2.5 km only.

Committee is of the view that physical progress of remediation and natural resource augmentation plan is not at par with the expenditure incurred.

Recommendation of the Committee:

As multiple agencies are involved in implementation of the remediation and natural resource augmentation plan a regular monitoring is required and a mechanism for this shall be ensured. Being a government institution, Committee recommends government guarantee/assurance for timely implementation of remediation plan and natural resource augmentation plan and project proponent may be exempted from furnishing bank guarantee.

The Principal, Konni Medical College has forwarded the minutes of the meeting of field inspection conducted by the Committee on 13.09.2021. Vide e-mail of Principal Konni Medical College, dated 13.09.2021 has informed that the HLL has agreed to complete the construction of Academic block & Hospital by 30.09.2021, for acquiring essentiality certificate.

SEAC Chairman vide e-mail dated 13.09.2021, recommended and forwarded the field inspection report and minutes of the sub-committee in respect of the Government Medical College, Konni, subject to ratification by the SEAC, for urgent consideration of SEIAA.

Authority noted that as stated above a communication has been sent Chairman State Pollution Control Board already for completing the procedure under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 as per (S.O.804(E) of MoEF&CC dated 14th March 2017.

Authority noted that SEAC has approved the remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan and a major part of the proposed activities have been already implemented as stated above in the Project Proponents letter dated 28.07.2021. As directed by SEIAA a field inspection was also carried out on 13th September 2021 and the committee has given its report with certain recommendations which includes a recommendation to exempt the Project Proponent from furnishing a bank guarantee.

Chairman SEAC vide e-mail dated 13.09.2021, recommended and forwarded the field inspection report and minutes of the sub-committee in respect of the Government Medical College, Konni, subject to ratification by the SEAC, for urgent consideration of SEIAA. Under these circumstances as the project proposal relates to a Medical College funded by Govt. of Kerala to provide the medical facilities to needy poor people and medical education to large number of medical students Authority decided to exempt the project Proponent from furnishing a Bank Guarantee subject to following conditions.

1. The Principal Secretary, Department Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of Kerala shall furnish an undertaking to Member Secretary SEIAA, Kerala, ensuring implementation of balance activities proposed under remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan as per the approved EMP.
2. A Monitoring Committee shall be constituted under the Chairmanship of Principal Secretary, Department Health and Family Welfare Govt. of Kerala to monitor the progress of implementation activities proposed under remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan. The monitoring Committee shall include a member from SEAC nominated by Chairman SEAC, Project Proponent, a representative from KSPCB, concerned Divisional Forest Officer, a representative from Department of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of Kerala, a representative each from Local Panchayat and Town Planning Department. The committee shall review the progress of implementation once in 6 months and progress achieved shall be included in the half yearly compliance report. The Project Proponent shall co ordinate and facilitate the conduct of the review meeting.

Authority noticed that SEAC has conducted 4 field visits at different points of time and SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal. After due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC in its 115th SEAC meeting held on 3 – 5, November 2020 subject to certain conditions.

Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC and decided to issue EC for 7 years subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

1. *The Principal Secretary, Department Health and Family Welfare Govt. of Kerala, shall furnish an undertaking to Member Secretary, SEIAA, Kerala, ensuring implementation of balance activities proposed under remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan as per the approved plan.*
2. *A Monitoring Committee shall be constituted under the Chairmanship of Principal Secretary, Department Health and Family Welfare Govt. of Kerala to monitor the progress of implementation activities proposed under remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan. The monitoring Committee shall include a member from SEAC nominated by Chairman SEAC, Project Proponent, a*

representative from KSPCB, concerned Divisional Forest Officer, a representative from Department of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of Kerala, a representative each from Local Panchayat and Town Planning Department. The committee shall review the progress of implementation once in 6 months and progress achieved shall be included in the half yearly compliance report. The Project Proponent shall co ordinate and facilitate the conduct of the review meeting.

3. *Chairman SEAC vide e-mail dated 13.09.2021, has recommended and forwarded the field inspection report and minutes of the sub-committee in respect of the Government Medical College, Konni, subject to ratification by the SEAC, for urgent consideration of SEIAA. The decision of Chairman SEAC shall be ratified in its next meeting.*
4. *Provide a strong compound wall around to protect from any possible wild animal depredation into the campus and to prevent littering and other damages to the adjacent forest ecosystem, since the area is likely to develop as township and likely to impact the nearby forest*
5. *Actions for protecting the forest from further degradation including provision for a 'No Development Zone' of appropriate width between the forest boundary and the buildings.*
6. *Action for compliance with the Panchayat Rules for the construction of buildings.*
7. *Actions for widening and improving the main road leading to the private road of proposed project, for accommodating the traffic during the operational phase of the project.*
8. *Action for Alignment of internal roads especially the road from the waste storage location.*
9. *Adoption of MBR Technology for Aerobic Treatment of Sewage instead of MBBR unit with Settling and Ultra Filtration Unit proposed, for avoiding Settling Tanks, Ultra Filtration Unit and for ensuring cent percent reuse of treated water.*
10. *Actions for treatment of slowly degradable solid waste (General Waste) in the proposed on-site Organic Waste Converter (Aerobic Compost Unit) and remaining quantity of easily digestible biodegradable waste in biogas plants of suitable capacity in the compound and utilization of biogas in the canteen for hot water generation.*
11. *Actions for establishing collection, storage and management of non-degradable waste.*

12. *Actions for handling infectious waste and toxic wastes as per relevant Rules.*
13. *Action for developing a green belt around the project site with local species of plants with the support and involvement of Kerala Forest department.*
14. *Explore feasibility and take actions for providing proper Storm Water Drainage System to prevent flooding/water logging during monsoon.*
15. *Plan and take action for providing Rain Water harvesting ponds in the open land available for meeting the water requirement and excess water for recharging local aquifer.*
16. *Action for avoiding discharge of excess treated effluent drained directly or indirectly to natural drains inside or outside of the compound and their maintenance protocol.*
17. *Actions for management of increased intensity and magnitude of traffic during the construction as well as operation phases.*
18. *Action for augmented use of non-conventional energy and its action plan including operational plan for un-interrupted power supply*
19. *Explore the feasibility and implement the extent of adoption of green building code*
20. *Prepare and implement Plan for a landscape development with special care for soil conservation and greenery development*
21. *Explore Feasibility and take action for developing horticultural therapy and required modification in the landscape plan as part of the palliative care program.*
22. *Explore Feasibility and take action for Greening the open sky area and possibility of providing aquariums.*
23. *Avoid deciduous / exotic species from the list of proposed species to be planted.*
24. *Ensure collection, storage and disposal of hazardous wastes including bio medical waste as per relevant rules.*
25. *Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project (Circular No.J-11013/41/2006-IA.II(I) of GoI, MoEF dt.22.09.2008).*

Item No.112.68

Transfer of Environmental Clearance for granite Building Stone Quarry project in Re.Sy.No.242 (pt), Valayam Village, Vadakara Taluk, Kozhikode District, and Kerala by Sri. Shajith V.P to M/s Valayam Blue Metals (1131/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017)

Environmental Clearance was issued to Sri.Shajith V.P, Managing Partner, Valiyaparambath House, Valayam P.O, Kozhikode as per order No. 1131/EC/SEIAA/KL/2017 dated 17.03.2018 for the period of validity for 5 Years from 17/03/2018 for the proposed quarry project in Re.Sy.No.242 (pt), Valayam Village, Vadakara Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala for an area of 5.9997 Ha. The validity of EC will be expired on 16.03.2023.

Shajith V.P, Managing Partner, Kozhikode, requested that the land for the Building Stone quarry project of Mr.Shajith V.P, sole proprietor is in private land owned by Shajith Valiyaparambath-Shibin, Jithesh, Praseeda, Santhosh, Sudheer, Sooraj, Sujeesh, Raveendran and Cheriya Koroth Nazeer, who have given consent to Shajith V.P for carrying out the mining activities. This quarry is now purchased by M/s Valayam Blue Metals. The Environmental Clearance approval holders decide to transfer the Clearance granted by SEIAA, Kerala to M/s Valayam Blue Metals. As per the provisions of EIA Notification, 2006, the Environment Clearance is transferrable. In view of this, proponent has requested for change of name of the applicant in the Environment Clearance order in the name of M/s Valayam Blue Metals. The sale deed regarding the same and consent letter from other persons, who authorized Sri.Shajith V.P to carryout mining etc, were not received.

Authority decided to call for the sale deed and consent letter from other land owners who have given consent Mr.Shajith V.P for mining.

Item No. 112.69 SEIAA meeting – Projects or activities whose validity is expiring in the Financial year 2020-21 - Extended till the 31st March 2021 or Six months from the date of expiry of validity – Reg. (File No.2656/A1/2021/SEIAA)

S.O.1533(E), dated the 14th September, 2006, insists for the requirement of prior environmental clearance from the concerned regulatory authority mandatory for all new projects or activities listed in the Schedule to the said notification, their expansion and modernization and/or change in product mix, as the case may be, before any construction work or preparation of land by the project management except for securing the land.

In view of the outbreak of Corona Virus (COVID-19) and subsequent lockdowns (total or partial) declared for its control, implementation of projects or activities in the field has been affected. Ministry of Environment and Climate and Change is in receipt of number of

requests for extension of the validity of prior environmental clearances beyond the maximum period allowed in the said Notification, as the COVID19 pandemic has not yet come to an end.

The matter has been examined by the Ministry and as per notification No.S.O.221 (E) dated, 18.01.2021 made the following further amendments in the Notification S.O 1533(E).

(i) in paragraph 7, in sub-paragraph 7(i), under sub-heading II. —Stage (2) – Scoping, after clause (viii), the following clause shall be inserted, namely:-

“(ix). Notwithstanding anything contained above, the period from the 1st April, 2020 to the 31st March, 2021 shall not be considered for the purpose of calculation of the period of validity of Terms of Reference granted under the provisions of this notification in view of outbreak of Corona Virus (COVID-19) and subsequent lockdowns (total or partial) declared for its control, however, all activities undertaken during this period in respect of the said Terms of Reference shall be treated as valid.”;

(ii) For paragraph 9A, the following paragraph shall be substituted namely:-

“9A. Notwithstanding anything contained in this notification, the period from the 1st April, 2020 to the 31st March, 2021 shall not be considered for the purpose of calculation of the period of validity of Prior Environmental Clearances granted under the provisions of this notification in view of outbreak of Corona Virus (COVID-19) and subsequent lockdowns (total or partial) declared for its control, however, all activities undertaken during this period in respect of the Environmental Clearance granted shall be treated as valid.”.

Therefore, necessary clarification may be provided to the District Geologists regarding the application of above Notification as the extension of validity of EC.

Authority took note of the MoEF&CC S.O.221(E) dated.18.01.2021 and decided to extend validities of Environmental Clearances from their respective dates of expiry for a period corresponding to that portion of their EC periods coming between 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2021, subject to a condition that there shall be balance quantity of mineral available for mining during the said period as per the approved Mining Plan .

The extension of EC period shall be as per the terms & conditions specified in the prior EC already issued.

The above direction of the Authority may be conveyed to District Geologists and uploaded in the Website of SEIAA.

Item No:112.70

Application for Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy.No.85 pt in Pulamanthole Village, Perinthalmanna Taluk, Malappuram District for an area of 1.8227 Ha - Judgment of

Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WP(C) No.41905/2017 - (File No: 2017/A2/2018/SEIAA)

Shri.Najeeb Ali M.K, Malappuram had applied for EC for his 1.8227 ha of quarry in DEIAA, Malappuram. The matter was considered by DEIAA and during the time one Shri.Shoukath Ali filed WP(C) No.41905/2017 (K) before Hon'ble High court of Kerala stating that the applicant has evidently concealed several information and submitted misleading information for the appraisal of his project and in its Judgment dated 11.04.2018. The court has directed SEIAA to consider the application and pass orders therein and to submit a copy before the Honourable Court within 3 months from the date of receipt of files from DEIAA.

Authority noted the action taken by SEIAA and SEAC subsequent to order of Hon'ble High court of Kerala in WP(C) No.41905/2017 (K) and decided the following:

- 1. Issue a detailed proceedings order answering the issues raised in WP(C) No.41905/2017 (K) in the light of field inspection report of SEAC.**
- 2. In the proceedings order, quoting District Collectors letter, state that for the time being the Project proposal for EC cannot be considered in the light of order of Hon'ble NGT dated 21.7.2020 in OA no 304/2019.**
- 3. Submit a copy of the proceedings order to Hon'ble High court of Kerala as directed in WP(C) and issue copy of the same to Petitioner in WP(C) and Project Proponent.**

PARIVESH FILES

CONSIDERATION/RECONSIDERATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

<u>Item No.01</u>	Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary Earth from 0.6313 Ha of property out of total extent 0.7385 Ha in survey no.947/3- A-1-
--------------------------	--

2 in Onakkoor Village, Muvattupuzha Taluk. Kerala (Proposal No: SIA/KL/MIN/126249/ 2019, File No: 1564/EC4/2019/SEIAA)

Shri.Paulose V. K., submitted application on 22/11/2019 for the Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary Earth from 0.6313 Ha of property out of total extent 0.7385 Ha in survey no.947/3- A-1-2 in Onakkoor Village, Muvattupuzha Taluk. Kerala. The excavation of ordinary earth is limited to 24640 MT in the applied area of 0.6313 Ha. Maximum depth of removal of earth should not go beyond 1.99 meter from the general ground level and its should be restricted to 2 meter above from the ground water table. Expected cost of the Project is Rs.10 Lakhs.

The file was placed in the 114th SEAC meeting held on 6th – 8th October 2020. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. The proposal was placed in the 117th SEAC meeting held on 28th, 29th and 30th December, 2020. The Committee scrutinized the additional documents/details submitted by the proponent and decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The Proposal was placed in the 119th SEAC meeting held on 23rd - 25th February, 2021. The proponent and RQP were present. The RQP made the presentation. A field inspection was also carried out on 08.04.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 121st SEAC meeting held on 22nd, 23rd and 27th of April, 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the Field Inspection Report and decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details:

The Proposal was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24 -27, August, 2021. The Committee scrutinized the additional details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC subject to certain specific condition mentioned in the affidavit submitted by the proponent.

1) Provide 2m buffer zone on all sides

Green belt development in buffer zone

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC for one year from the date of issue of permit from the Department of Mining & Geology for a quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. Provide 2m buffer zone on all sides*
- 3. Green belt development in buffer zone*
- 4. The excavated soil shall not be used for filling the paddy fields or wetlands.*
- 5. The Proponent should obtain consent from the house owner that he has no objection to mine the O.E.*
- 6. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support*
- 7. As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*

Item No.02

Environmental Clearance for the Granite Building Stone Quarry in Survey Nos. 47/1 in Venganellur Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala for an extent of 0.9990 Ha by Sri.Sanju Muhammed, M/s Vallom Infrastructure & Developers Pvt.Ltd (Proposal No.SIA/KL/MIN/129749/2019, File No.1553/EC2/2019/ SEIAA)

Mr. Sanju Muhammed, Director, Vallom Infrastructure and Developers Pvt. Ltd, Injakkudy, Chelamattom, Okkal P.O, Perumbavoor, Ernakulam submitted application in SEIAA through PARIVESH on 05.12.2019 for Environmental Clearance for the Granite Building Stone Quarry in Survey Nos. 47/1 in Venganellur Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala for an extent of 0.9990 Ha.

The Proposal was placed in the 115th meeting of SEAC held on 03rd to 05th November 2020. The Committee scrutinised the ADS by the proponent and decided to direct to submit certain documents/details.

The proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st to 03rd February 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation.

The proposal was placed in the 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 26th March 2021. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain additional documents /details. A field inspection was also carried out on 02.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th to 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report and directed the proponent to submit certain additional documents:

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee decided to recommend issuing EC with a project life of five years with certain specific conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC for a period of 5 years for the quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the Clearance from the National Board for Wild Life and subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. Farm shed should be demolished*
- 3. Compensatory afforestation with native species.*
- 4. As the quarry site is located within 10 km radius of the Peechi- Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary, as per OM dated 8.8.2019 of MoEF&CC clearance from Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife is mandatory for starting a quarry. Hence Project Proponent is directed to obtain a clearance from Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife before starting any activity at site.*
- 5. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support.*
- 6. In the wake of occurrence of large scale landslides in the state, as per the information provided by the Department of Mining & Geology, it is directed to use only NONEL (Non Electrical) technology for blasting to reduce the vibration of the ground, which is one of the causative factors that triggers landslides, formation of cracks in the surrounding buildings and disturbance to human and wildlife.*
- 7. As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the*

Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.

- 8. Authority makes it amply clear that EC issued does not necessarily imply that Wildlife clearance shall be granted to the Project Proponent and that the proposal for Wildlife clearance will be considered by the respective Authorities on its merit and decision taken accordingly. The investment made in the project if any based on this EC in anticipation of clearance from Wildlife angle shall be entirely at the cost and risk of the Project Proponent and MoEF&CC and SEIAA shall not be responsible in this regard in any manner.*
- 9. A copy of the EC shall be marked to IGF(WL), MoEF&CC, PCCF and Chief wild life Warden, Kerala, SEAC, District Collector, Kozhikode and Director Mining and Geology, Department of Industries GOK, besides others for information and necessary further action.*

Item No.03

Environment Clearance for the granite building stone quarry project in Survey Nos. 8/2, 8/2-18 in Vettilappara Village, Eranad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala for an extent of 1.2914 Ha by Sri.P.P.Veeran, M/s.Cherupara Granites (Proposal No.SIA/KL/MIN/129838/ 2019, File No.1771/EC2/2019/SEIAA)

P.P.Veeran Managing Partner, M/s.Cherupara Granites Room No.11/61,Koorankallu Vettilappara PO Areacode, Malappuram Kerala – 673 639 submitted application in SEIAA through PARIVESH on 05.12.2019 for Environmental Clearance for the Granite Building Stone Quarry in Survey no.8/2, 8/2-18, in Vettilapara Village, Ernad Taluk, Malappuram district. The total mine lease area cover 1.2914 Hectare.

The proposal was placed in the 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 26th March 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 122nd meeting of SEAC held on 15th to 18th June 2021.The proponent and the

RQP were present. The RQP made the presentation. The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain additional documents /details. A field inspection was also carried out on 22.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC with a project life of five years subject to the following specific conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC initially for a period of 5 years for the quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. Provide a retaining wall on the South East side and strengthen the slope with bamboo plants.*
- 3. Haulage road to be maintained with provision for weep holes on road cut slope.*
- 4. .Compensatory afforestation plan to be implemented.*
- 5. CER to be modified and made part of EMP.*
- 6. The period EC will be further extended covering the project life as estimated by SEAC after conducting a field inspection to confirm the compliance of EC conditions.*
- 7. The validity of the EC will be further extended at the end of 5 years after a field inspection SEAC to ensure that there are no Violation of EC condition.*
- 8. Authority noticed that there is one more quarry functioning in the locality within 500 mts from the project site, leading to a cluster situation. Hence as per EIA notification 2006, an Environment Management Plan has to be prepared to treat the entire area in the cluster, within 6 months, by the Project Proponent to the satisfaction of SEAC and both the quarry owners should carry out the activities proposed in the EMP for treating the entire area as one unit, to ensure the environmental stability in the project locality. The cost involved in the preparation of EMP and the implementation of activities shall be shared by both the quarry owners in the cluster. The compliance of the same shall be reported in the half yearly report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*

9. *As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal, covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support.*
10. *In the wake of occurrence of large scale landslides in the state, as per the information provided by the Department of Mining & Geology, it is directed to use only NONEL (Non Electrical) technology for blasting to reduce the vibration of the ground, which is one of the causative factors that triggers landslides, formation of cracks in the surrounding buildings and disturbance to human and wildlife.*
11. *As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*

Item No.4 **Environmental Clearance for Granite Quarry - Shri. P.V. Santhosh, Block No. 29, Re Survey No. 288/1-1, 288/1-2, 287/2, 288/3, Mazhuvannoor Village, Kunnathunad Taluk, Ernakulam District. (Proposal No: SIA/KL/MIN/131666/2019, File No:1783/EC3/2020/SEIAA)**

Shri. P.V. Santhosh submitted application on 21/12/2019 for the Environmental Clearance of Granite Quarry at Block No. 29, Re Survey No. 288/1-1, 288/1-2, 287/2, 288/3, Mazhuvannoor Village, Kunnathunad Taluk, Ernakulam District for an extend of 0.9998 Ha. The revised project cost submitted is Rs. 1,77,92,000/-. The capacity of mining permit is 32,314 TPA. The quarrying permit is applied for 5 years.

The file was placed in the 120th SEAC meeting held on 24-26, March, 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The file was placed in the 122nd SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th, 17th & 18th June 2021. The proponent and the RQP were present. The RQP made the presentation. The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain additional documents /details. A field inspection was also carried out on 05.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The file was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain documents/details.

The file was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24 -27, August, 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the additional details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to recommend issuing EC with the project life of five years and with certain specific conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC for a period of 5 years for the quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. Approach road should be widened before mining.*
- 3. Top soil near BP7 should not be disturbed.*
- 4. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The*

follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support

- 5. Considering the seriousness of COVID Pandemic in the state, the project Proponent shall carry out certain activities under Corporate Environmental responsibility (CER) leading to creation of temporary Covid care facilities and other related activities connected with managing the Covid pandemic in the state. This will be done in consultation with local self-governments and as per an action plan approved by District Collector and SEAC. The indicated cost for this purpose will be 50% of the CER cost estimated as above. The EMP may be modified to accommodate these activities in consultation with SEAC. The activities so implemented shall be shown in the half yearly completion report. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support*
- 6. In the wake of occurrence of large scale landslides in the state, as per the information provided by the Department of Mining & Geology, it is directed to use only NONEL (Non Electrical) technology for blasting to reduce the vibration of the ground, which is one of the causative factors that triggers landslides, formation of cracks in the surrounding buildings and disturbance to human and wildlife.*
- 7. As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*

Item No.5

Environmental Clearance for the proposed Granite Building Stone quarry project in SurveyNo. 208/1, Alanallur –3 Village, Mannarkkadu Taluk, Palakkad District, district, M/s PLANETS SANDS & AGGREGATES (SIA/KL/MIN/134893/2020, 1585/EC1/2020/ SEIAA)

Shri. Fayis C. K , Managing Partner , M/s Planet Sands & Aggregates, Vattamannapuram P. O., Edathanattukar, Mannarkkad, Palakkad, Kerala – 678 601, submitted application via PARIVESH on 03.01.2020 for the proposed Granite Building Stone quarry project in Survey No. 208/1, Alanallur –3 Village, Mannarkkadu Taluk, Palakkad District.

The proposal was placed in the 115th SEAC meeting held on 3 – 5, NOVEMBER 2020 & 120th SEAC meeting held on 24th – 26th March, 2021 and the Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee scrutinized the additional documents/details submitted by the proponent and decided to direct the proponent to apply for ToR.

In the 124th SEAC meeting, held on 24th – 27th August, 2021, the above decision was corrected as “the Committee decided to reject the proposal and direct the proponent to apply for ToR”.

Authority decided to reject the proposal and direct the proponent to apply for ToR as recommended by SEAC.

Item No. 6 Environmental Clearance for the Laterite Stone Quarry Of Mr.Suresh.T, over an extent of 0.4047 Ha.Re.Sy.No.113/21(113/2) in Mavoor Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala . (SIA/KL/MIN/142152/2020) {1737/EC4/2020/SEIAA}

Sri.Suresh.T, S/o Achuthan, Thadathil House, Choolur P.O,Kozhikode-673601 vide application dated 10/02/2020 has sought Environmental Clearance for the laterite building stone quarry project at Re.sy No-113/21 (113/2) in Mavoor Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala from an area of 0.4047ha.

The proposal was placed in the 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24th, 25th & 26th March 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 122nd meeting of SEAC held on 15th, 16th 17th & 18th June 2021. The proponent and RQP were present. The RQP made the presentation. The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain additional documents /details. A field inspection was also carried

out on 12.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th -30th July 2021. The Committee directed the proponent to submit certain documents/details. The proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th-27th August 2021. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC for 3 years with project life of 3 years subject to certain specific conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC for two years from the date of issue of permit from the Department of Mining & Geology for a quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. Maximum depth of mining must be limited to 4.5 m bgl*
- 3. Mining must be done in 2 m benches*
- 4. Top soil and laterite waste must be dumped in designated place only*
- 5. Natural slope must be maintained to ensure uninterrupted drainage*
- 6. Mined out area must be refilled with top soil removed and used for agricultural purposes.*
- 7. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support.*

8. *As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals*

Item No.7 Environmental Clearance for the Laterite Stone Quarry Of Mr.Sindhudas O.K, over an extent of 0.4047Ha.Re.Sy.No.152/108 in Sivapuram Village, Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala . (SIA/KL/MIN/143844/2020) {1600/EC4/2020/SEIAA}

Sri.Sindhudas O.K, Proprietor, S/o Sivadasan, Siya Nivas, Sivapuram P.O,Kannur-670702 vide application dated 18/02/2020 has sought environmental clearance for the laterite building stone quarry project at Re.sy No.152/108 in Sivapuram Village, Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala from an area of 0.4047ha.

The proposal was placed in the 115th meeting of SEAC held on 03rd -05th November 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation along with certain documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents in PARIVESH portal on 28.11.2020.

The proposal was placed in the 117th meeting of SEAC held on 28th,29th& 30th December 2020. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents in PARIVESH portal on 24.02.2021

The proposal was again placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd& 27th April 2021. A field inspection was also carried out on 11.08.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th -27th August 2021. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC with project life of 2 years subject to certain specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC for two year from the date of issue of permit from the Department of Mining & Geology for a quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. A garland drain should be laid all around the mining site to drain the overland flow to the nearest natural drain.*
- 3. Mining should be carried out by providing benches at every 2m.*
- 4. Proper drainage from the mine site should be ensured.*
- 5. Sprinkling of water should be done on the unsurfaced road prior to each movement of truck.*
- 6. The proponent should undertake phased restoration and shall complete it before the completion of the mining operations.*
- 7. The filled-up pits with the waste/OB materials to be covered with freshly removed soil mixed with sufficient organic matter to ensure fast raising of the vegetation.*
- 8. Ensure zero growth of invasive weeds in the restored area by carefully operated*
- 9. Agronomic and restoration practices.*
- 10. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be*

made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support

11. *As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*

Item No.8

Environmental Clearance for the proposed Granite building stone quarry project of Sri.Kunjali Marakkar V.M, over an extent of 0.9993 Ha.Re.Sy.No.67/225, 67/1089, 67/1090, 67/956 in Kakkad Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala . (SIA/KL/MIN/147850/2020) {1697/EC4/2020/SEIAA}

Sri.KunjaliMarakkar V.M, Kulirma House, Mysoremala P.O, Mukkam Via, Kozhikode-673602 vide application dated 07/03/2020 has sought environmental clearance for the granite building stone quarry project at Re.sy No-67/225,67/1089,67/1090,67/956,in Kakkad Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala from an area of 0.9993ha.

The proposal was placed in the 114th meeting of SEAC held on 06th-08th October 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 116th meeting of SEAC held on 02nd,03rd and 07th December 2020. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents in PARIVESH portal on 18.01.2021. The proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st,02nd & 03rd February 2021. The Committee scrutinized the additional details submitted by the proponent. A field inspection was also carried out on 10.04.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd,23rd & 24th April 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the Field Inspection Report and decided to

direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents in PARIVESH portal on 14.06.2021

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th -30th July 2021. The Committee scrutinized the additional documents submitted by the proponent and decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents. The proponent submitted the documents in PARIVESH portal on 16.08.2021.

The proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th -27th August 2021. The Committee approved the revised CER received through mail and decided to recommend the issuance of EC with project life of five years subject to certain specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC for a period of 5 years for the quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. Natural drain on N-W side must be protected*
- 3. Local plants must be used for green belt development and compensatory afforestation*
- 4. Top soil must be stacked in the designated place only*
- 5. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal, covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at*

regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support.

- 6. Construction of open dug well (as per the need based demand raised by 8 families in Ward 2 of Attayakunnu in Karassery Panchayath), 25000L water tank, motor, fittings Rs. 5,31,290/-*
- 7. Installing Solar Street Light (V Guard) and operation in Ward 2 (Kaaramoola West) of Karassery Panchayath (Ten Nos, @ Rs.25,000/- per one unit) Rs. 2,50,000/-*
- 8. Smart class Installation in Govt C. H. Memorial L.P School, Nellikkaparambu , Projector (Toshiba) , white screen , Projector stand , Sound system (Sony) , Computer 6 nos (Dell) Rs. 2,22,500/-*
- 9. Installing Solar power plant 8kw (TATA) [KSEB Grid supply) and operation in Govt C. H. Memorial L.P School, Nellikkaparambu Rs 5,35,000/-*
- 10. Maintenance Rs 45,000/-*
- 11. Items 6-10 listed above will be covered under CER component in addition to other components within the indicated cost.*
- 12. In the wake of occurrence of large scale landslides in the state, as per the information provided by the Department of Mining & Geology, it is directed to use only NONEL (Non Electrical) technology for blasting to reduce the vibration of the ground, which is one of the causative factors that triggers landslides, formation of cracks in the surrounding buildings and disturbance to human and wildlife.*
- 13. As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*

Item No.9

Environmental Clearance for the Laterite building stone quarry of Sri.Aneesh P.V, over an extent of 0.5828 Ha. Re.Sy.No.1/572 in

**Kalliyad Village, Iritty Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala .
(SIA/KL/MIN/148227/2020) {1648/EC4/2020/SEIAA}**

Sri.Aneesh P.V,S/o Karunakaran Nambiar, Jisha Nivas, Kusavan Vayal P.O,Kannur-670593 vide application dated 10/03/2020 has sought environmental clearance for the laterite building stone quarry project at Re.sy No.1/572 in Kalliyad Village, Iritty Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala from an area of 0.5828ha.

The proposal was placed in the 115th meeting of SEAC held on 03rd -05th November 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation along with certain documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents in PARIVESH portal on 28.11.2020

The proposal was placed in the 117th meeting of SEAC held on 28th, 29th& 30th December 2020 & 120th meeting of SEAC held on 24th-26th March 2021. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents in PARIVESH portal on 26.02.2021 & 19.04.2021 respectively.

The proposal was again placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd& 27th April 2021. The Committee scrutinized the additional documents submitted by the proponent. A field inspection was also carried out on 11.08.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th -27th August 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC with project life of three years subject to certain Specific Conditions in addition to general conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC for two years from the date of issue of permit from the Department of Mining & Geology for a quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

- 1 *The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2 *The period of EC will be further extended to cover the project life as estimated by SEAC after conducting a field inspection at the end of 2 years to ensure the compliance of EC conditions.*
- 3 *Mining should be carried out by providing benches at every 2m.*
- 4 *Proper drainage from the mine site should be ensured.*
- 5 *Sprinkling of water should be done on the unsurfaced road prior to each movement of truck.*
- 6 *The proponent should undertake phased restoration and shall complete it before the completion of the mining operations. As the surrounding area was spotted with some good wild tree vegetation, preference can be given to trees grown there for restoration.*
- 7 *The filled-up pits with the waste/OB materials to be covered with freshly removed soil mixed with sufficient organic matter to ensure fast raising of vegetation by avoiding growth of alien invasive plant species like Acacia, Cassia spectabilis etc.*
- 8 *As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support*
- 9 *As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the*

Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.

Item No.10

Environmental Clearance for the Laterite Stone quarry project in Re-Survey Nos. 44/1,41/1 of Thalakulathur Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District by Sri.Moyimonul Rasheed, Kozhikode (SIA/KL/MIN/150010/2020) (1627/EC4/2020/SEIAA)

Sri.Moyimonul Rasheed, OKH House, Mankavu, Kozhikode vide his application dated 20.03.2021 sought Environmental Clearance for the laterite stone quarry project in Re. Sy.No.44/1 and 44/1 in Thalakulathur Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala. The area proposed for mining is 0.9919 Ha. The proposal was placed in the 112th SEAC meeting. A field inspection was also carried out on 23.11.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 118th meeting of SEAC held on 01st, 02nd & 03rd February 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report and decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents online on 26.03.2021.

The proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd & 27th April 2021 & 122nd meeting of SEAC held on 15th, 16th, 17th & 18th June 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the additional details submitted by the proponent and decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents/details. The proponent submitted the documents.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th, 28th, 29th & 30th July 2021. The Committee noted that the matter is pending for long in spite of a Court direction with a time limit of 2 months. This is due to the failure of the proponent in submitting an appropriate drainage plan. Since the proposed area is located on the summit of a laterite hill, the land fragility and geological situation demand a comprehensive drainage plan.

Though the proponent submitted a drainage plan, it is not satisfactory in view of the fragile terrain condition. Further, the proponent was informed about the indicative procedure, but the drainage plan is yet to be received. It is decided to inform the matter to SEIAA.

The proposal was placed in the 111th meeting of SEIAA held on 17th & 18th August 2021. Authority noted the steps taken by SEAC for the appraisal of the proposal further and the directions of the Hon. High Court in WP(C) No. 5572 of 2019. Authority decided the following:

1. Direct SEAC to give definite recommendation about the action to be taken on EC in the light of the observation made by the Hon. High Court in WP(C) No. 5572 of 2019, on priority as the time period given by Hon. High Court is already over, though there are lapses on the part of Project Proponent in submitting the required details.
2. Direct the Project Proponent to submit the required details to SEAC for appraising the proposal on priority so that the delay can be avoided.
3. The action taken by both SEIAA and SEAC shall be informed to the Standing Counsel for defending the case further as and when it comes up.

The proponent submitted the revised drainage plan with, Width, depth, carrying capacity on 17.08.2021.

The proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th -27th August 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the additional details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC with project life of five years subject to certain specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC for two years from the date of issue of permit from the Department of Mining & Geology for a quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the following specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

- 1 *The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2 *The period of EC will be further extended to cover the project life as estimated by SEAC after conducting a field inspection at the end of 2 years to ensure the compliance of EC conditions.*
- 3 *The validity of EC may be limited as per the mine plan but the quantity to be extracted may be limited to a total of 40,075 MT only.*
- 4 *The overland drainage channel will have to be laid prior to the commencement of mining as per the plan and details submitted and it should be managed with utmost care by preventing any obstructions to the flow and periodical removal of the silt deposited.*
- 5 *Sprinkling of water should be done on the unsurfaced road prior to each movement of truck.*
- 6 *The proponent should undertake a phased restoration and shall complete this work along with the completion of the mining operations. As it is a fully exposed, elevated hard terrain, a Horti-Sylvi approach with initially fast growing trees like Subabul on the overburden followed by a cashew or bamboo plantations is recommended. The filled-up pits with the waste/OB materials to be covered with freshly removed soil mixed with sufficient organic matter to ensure fast raising of the plantation.*
- 7 *As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support*
- 8 *As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of*

the Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.

- 9 *Authority noticed that a complaint has been received from Shri Raveendran , Chairman, Eliyaramala Samrakshana Samithi alleging misrepresentation facts to SEAC. Authority decided to forward the complaint to District Geologist for enquiry and report after conducting a field inspection with notice to complainant and project proponent.*

Item No.11

Application for Environmental Clearance for the granite building stone quarry in Block No.23, Re survey No.452,441/1,441/2, 435,440/1,440/2,436 of of M/S A-One Sands Pvt. Ltd in Muthalamada –I Village, Chittur Taluk, Palakkad District (SIA/KL/MIN/187395/2020, 747/EC1/180/2015/SEIAA)

Mr.K.P. Joy, Managing Director M/s A-One Sands Private Limited. Chemmanampathy, Govindapuram PO, Palakkad-688507, submitted application for Environmental Clearance via PARIVESH on 11.12.2020 for the granite building stone quarry in Block No.23, Re survey No.452,441/1,441/2,435,440/1,440/2,436 of of M/S A-One Sands Pvt. Ltd in Muthalamada –I Village, Chittur Taluk, Palakkad District over an extent of 4.7000Ha.

The proposal was placed in the 120th SEAC meeting held on 24-26, March, 2021 .The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents.

The proposal was placed in the 121st SEAC meeting held on 22nd, 23rd and 27th of April, 2021 The Committee discussed and accepted the additional detail submitted by the proponent. A field inspection was also carried out on 12.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report and decided to direct the proponent to

submit certain documents/details. The proposal was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24 -27, August, 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the additional details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to recommend for EC with certain specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions.

Authority decided to issue EC for a period of 5 years for the quantity mentioned in the approved Mining Plan subject to the Clearance from the National Board for Wild Life and subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- 1. The proponent shall carry out quarrying as per the approved Mining Plan and the proponent should strictly follow the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules 2015 and amendments thereby.*
- 2. Abide by the Conditions mentioned in the Affidavit submitted by the proponent*
- 3. As the quarry site is located within 10 km radius of the Parambikulam Wildlife Sanctuary, as per OM dated 8.8.2019 of MoEF&CC clearance from Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife is mandatory for starting a quarry. Hence Project Proponent is directed to obtain a clearance from Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife before starting any activity at site.*
- 4. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support.*

5. *In the wake of occurrence of large scale landslides in the state, as per the information provided by the Department of Mining & Geology, it is directed to use only NONEL (Non Electrical) technology for blasting to reduce the vibration of the ground, which is one of the causative factors that triggers landslides, formation of cracks in the surrounding buildings and disturbance to human and wildlife.*
6. *As per the directions contained in the OM F.No.22-34/2018-IA.III dated 16th January 2020 issued by MoEF&CC, in obedience to the directions of the Honourable Supreme Court the Project Proponent shall, undertake re-grassing the mining area and any other area which may have been disturbed due to his mining activities and restore the land to a condition which is fit for growth of fodder, flora, fauna etc. The compliance of this direction shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be monitored by SEAC at regular intervals.*
7. *Authority makes it amply clear that EC issued does not necessarily imply that Wildlife clearance shall be granted to the Project Proponent and that the proposal for Wildlife clearance will be considered by the respective Authorities on its merit and decision taken accordingly. The investment made in the project if any based on this EC in anticipation of clearance from Wildlife angle shall be entirely at the cost and risk of the Project Proponent and MoEF&CC and SEIAA shall not be responsible in this regard in any manner.*
8. *A copy of the EC shall be marked to IGF(WL), MoEF&CC, PCCF and Chief wild life Warden, Kerala, SEAC, District Collector, Kozhikode and Director Mining and Geology, Department of Industries GOK, besides others for information and necessary further action.*

Item No.12

Environmental Clearance for the proposed Residential Building Project M/s Artech Grand Tower, Residential Building Project in Survey Nos.92/1,2,3,4,5 in Sasthamangalam Village, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District SIA/KL/MIS/148576/2020 , 1645/EC1/2020/SEIAA

Sri. Viju Varghese, Artech House, TC. 28/2128 Thycaud, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala Pin: 682036 submitted application for Environmental Clearance via PARIVESH on 12/03/2020 for the proposed Residential Building Project M/s Artech Grand Tower, Residential Building Project in Survey Nos.92/1,2,3,4,5 in Sasthamangalam Village, Thiruvananthapuram

Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District. The total plot area is 5258 m² and the total built-up area is 24386.59 m². The Height of the building is 86.1 m.

The proposal was placed in the 115th SEAC meeting held on 3 – 5, November 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation along with certain documents/details. The proposal was placed in the 117th SEAC meeting held on 28th, 29th and 30th December, 2020. The proponent was present. The RQP made the presentation. A field inspection was also carried out on 29.01.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 117th SEAC meeting. The proponent was present. The Consultant made presentation. A field inspection was also carried out on 29.01.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team. The proposal was placed in the 119th SEAC meeting held on 23rd -25th February, 2021 & 121st SEAC meeting held on 22nd, 23rd and 27th of April, 2021. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents/details:

The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th JULY 2021. The Committee scrutinized the additional documents submitted by the proponent. Regarding the CER proposals, the Proponent is not insisting for Killiar rejuvenation programme and proposed a roof top solar power with a capacity of 80KW. The Committee decided to ask for a new proposal which may be beneficial to the local community instead of the solar power installation.

The proposal was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24 -27 August 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the additional details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to recommend for issuance of EC with certain specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions

Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC and decided to issue EC for 7 years subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- 1) *Compensatory Green Belt Development Plan with local species of trees.*
- 2) *Lower basement level must be above high flood level of Killi River.*
- 3) *Ensure Children play area as proposed.*
- 4) *Development of alternate access road.*
- 5) *Waste management facility for the treatment of bio-waste and Material Collection Facility for dry waste at Harveepuram Colony with tentative cost of Rs 25 lakh.*
- 6) *Infrastructure for Swap Shop, WM plant & Material Collection Facility near Ambalamukku with a tentative cost of Rs 20 lakh.*
- 7) *Segregated Dry Waste Collection Hubs (16Nos) with a tentative cost of Rs 8 lakh.*
- 8) *As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support.*
- 9) *Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project (Circular No.J-11013/41/2006-IA.II(I) of GoI, MoEF dt.22.09.2008).*

Item No.13

Environmental Clearance for the Construction of Nayarthodu Bridge in Purathur Panchayat, Malappuram District(Proposal No.SIA/KL/MIN176234/2020,FileNo.1878/EC6/2021/SEIAA)

The Assistant Engineer, Kerala Public Works Department submitted application in SEIAA through PARIVESH on 01.10.2020 for Environmental Clearance for the Construction of Nayarthodu Bridge in Purathur Panchayat, Malappuram District.

The proposal was placed in the 121st meeting of SEAC held on 22nd, 23rd & 27th April 2021. The Committee scrutinized the proposal submitted by the proponent and decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 123rd meeting of SEAC held on 27th to 30th July 2021. Shri. Afsal, from KRFB presented the proposal. A field inspection was also carried out on 22.08.2021 by an expert of SEAC and certain observations were made.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report. As per the clause No. 7 (f) of the EIA Notification, 2006 and subsequent amendments, EC from the SEIAA is required for new State Highways and Expansion of National/State Highways greater than 30 km involving additional right of way greater than 20m involving land acquisition. Therefore, this proposal does not require EC.

Authority noted that EC is not required for the project and decided to communicate the same to the proponent.

Item No.14. Environment Clearance for the proposed Residential project to be developed by M/s SobhaHighrise Ventures Pvt. Ltd. Limited at Sy. Nos. 128/4 (P) & 128/19(P) Cheruvakkal Village, Thiruvananthapuram Municipal Corporation, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk & District, Kerala. (Registration in Indian Green Building Council) SIA/KL/MIS/189607/2020 1869/EC1/2021/SEIAA

Sri. NISANTH.M.N. Authorized Signatory, M/s SobhaHighrise Ventures Pvt. Ltd submitted application for Environmental Clearance via PARIVESH on 24.12.2020 for the proposed Residential project to be developed by M/s SobhaHighrise Ventures Pvt. Ltd. Limited at Sy. Nos. 128/4 (P) & 128/19(P) Cheruvakkal Village, Thiruvananthapuram Municipal Corporation, Thiruvananthapuram Taluk & District, Kerala.

The proposal was placed in the 119th SEAC meeting held on 23rd -25th February, 2021. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 120th SEAC meeting held on 24-26, March, 2021. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. A field inspection was also carried out on 16.07.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team. The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report and decided to direct the proponent to submit certain documents/details.

The proposal was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24 -27, August, 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the additional details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC with project life of five years subject to certain specific conditions in addition to general conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions

Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC and decided to issue EC for 5 years subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- a. Action for establishing an STP of capacity 80 KLD, having Tertiary Treatment Unit with Ultra Filtration (UF) Unit, including pretreatment facilities of PSF and PCF, in order to ensure quality of treated water, fit for re-use /recycle, for Flushing / Gardening/ Fire Fighting // HVAC make up water / Recharge of local ground water.*
- b. Establish composting unit of Organic Waste Converter Unit, of capacity 245 kg/day for treatment of biodegradable solid waste and the capital cost and O&M , shall be linked to EMP, for further monitoring*
- c. Provide the revised storage space of 75 sq m area, for Non-degradable waste and provide 15 sq m, additional space for storage of Hazardous Waste and E- waste.*
- d. Action for developing / planting and nurturing of local species of trees including Fruit Bearing Trees, in the Green Belt area / open space, compensatory afforestation*

area/ children's play area, including avenue plantations ,providing vertical and balcony farming structures and make them accountable in the EMP.

- e. If, ground water is propose to be used, in addition to KWA supplied water, ensure proper treatment, and pumping test should be conducted, for knowing yield, zone of influence and aquifer response, as there are more Housing Units are coming up in the adjoining area.*
- f. Provide two line plumbing system, for ensuring reuse of treated waste water, for flushing and use of water efficient /conserving plumbing system.*
- g. Adopt climate- responsive design as per Green Building Guidelines and ensure that exposed roof area and covered parking will be covered with material having high solar reflective index.*
- h. Ensure that the building design will cater to the differently-abled citizens and ensuring that the design of the building will be done in compliance to Energy Building Code, as applicable.*
- i. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support.*
- j. Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project (Circular No.J-11013/41/2006-IA.II(I) of GoI, MoEF dt.22.09.2008).*

Item No.15

Environmental Clearance for the proposed building construction project as part of development of IT/ITES campus (Phase-1) at Technocity Campus in Re-Survey Nos. 4/1, 4/2, 4/3 and Re-Survey No. 1 (in Block No. 8 of Andoorkonam Village) and Re-Survey No. 387-part, 388, 389, 390, 401, 402 and Re-Survey Nos. 398 (Part), 399-(part), 400 (Part) (in Block No. 9 of Pallipuram Village), Thiruvananthapuram Taluk & District, Kerala to be developed by M/s Tata Consultancy Services Ltd. SIA/KL/MIS/209935/2021 , 1896/EC1/2021/SEIAA

Shri.Santhosh P.M., M/s Tata Consultancy Services Ltd., Peepul Park, Technopark Campus, Kariavattom P.O., Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala-695581 submitted application for Environmental Clearance via PARIVESH on 21.04.2021 for the proposed building construction project as part of development of IT/ITES campus (Phase-1) at Technocity Campus in Re-Survey Nos. 4/1, 4/2, 4/3 and Re-Survey No. 1 (in Block No. 8 of Andoorkonam Village) and Re-Survey No. 387-part, 388, 389, 390, 401, 402 and Re-Survey Nos. 398 (Part), 399-(part), 400 (Part) (in Block No. 9 of Pallipuram Village), Thiruvananthapuram Taluk & District, Kerala. The project comes under category 8(a) of the schedule of EIA Notification 2006.

The proposal was placed in the 122nd SEAC meeting held on 15th, 16th, 17th&18th JUNE 2021. The Committee scrutinized the proposal submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The proposal was placed in the 123rd SEAC meeting held on 27th – 30th July 2021. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. A field inspection was also carried out on 13.08.2021 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The proposal was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24 -27 August 2021. The Committee discussed the Field Inspection Report and decided to recommend the issuance of EC with project life of five years subject to certain specific conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions

Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC and decided to issue EC for 5

years subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- a. Prepare and implement a Comprehensive Master Plan for the whole land, available with TCS, including the present proposal, after considering future Development needs, providing sufficient open areas, greening strips/ Pachathuruthu, Miyawaki micro forest, sewer lines, storm water drainage systems, road network etc.*
- b. Provide sufficient water treatment facility for Roof Top / surface runoff /Rain Water harvested, from the compound, for ensuring recycle/ reuse, within the compound.*
- c. Establish a roof top Rain Water Harvesting (RWH) System, with a storage and treatment unit of 250 KL capacity for reducing intake of domestic water from KWA.*
- d. Implement a Scientific Storm Water Drainage Plan based on topography, underneath clay deposits, percolation rate, future development plans, and storage capacity of pond of 10 ML, in a 1.55 acres of land, as proposed in the EMP.*
- e. Ensure reusing of Rain Water to the maximum extent possible, by avoiding Soak Pits proposed, considering the Clayey Strata, of sub soil of the project area.*
- f. Establish a Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) of 240 KL/day capacity, with treatment of primary, secondary with MBBR and tertiary with Ultra-filtration Units, followed by disinfection for, ensuring reuse of treated water for flushing, horticulture and AC cooling make up water.*
- g. Provide proper facilities for management of excavated soil / cutting of earth made at the site, of about 95,250 cu.m. Action shall be taken for utilising excavated soil of 48,200 cu.m, for backfilling purposes, earth of 10,700 cu.m, to be preserved for landscaping purposes and balance excavated earth of 36,350 cu.m as proposed to be temporarily stored and stacked in the campus.*
- h. Provide treatment facility for Biodegradable waste of capacity, 413 kg/day using the Organic Waste Converter (OWC), with capacity of about 500 kg/ day and link it with EMP for compliance monitoring.*

- i. *Ensure a tree plantation programme, as part of compensatory afforestation programme, the project, for plantation 1400 trees, as per MoEF& CC norms and additional number of planting of trees, as specified in the KMBR .*
- j. *Establish a properly designed Plan for planting of local species of trees in the green belt area / open space, compensatory afforestation area, possibility for providing a Pachathuruthu, Miyawaki micro forest / Afforestation within the area, including avenue plantations.*
- k. *Action shall be taken for implementing, at least, one component fully of the Ananthanchira Water Supply Scheme, by the TCS, utilising the above proposed amount, in consultation with Local Body and KWA.*
- l. *Establish onsite solar power generation by using roof top solar panels, for generating. Energy at least 10 %, instead of 7 % proposed, of total electrical demand, and link it with EMP.*
- m. *Action shall be taken for utilisation of excavated balance quantity of soil / clay of 36,350 cu.m. Out of the total excavated earth / soil / clay of 95,250 cu.m, soil of 10,700 cu.m, shall be utilised for landscaping purposes and soil of 48,200 cu.m shall be utilised for backfilling purposes. Action shall also be taken for utilising the balance quantity of soil/ clay of 36,350 cu.m. appropriately in consultation with the Government, as the soil of the area is a precious industrial resource, and avoid the proposal of stacking it in the compound, as it may deteriorate the quality of storm water collected from the area during the operation phase.*
- n. *Action for spending at least 1.5% of project cost, amounting to Rs 5.595 crore, in the community augmentation plan, as part of Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER), and the proposed activities, shall be as follows.*
 - *Ananthanchira Drinking Water Project : Rs 1,00,00,000/*
 - *Well recharge : Rs 1,60,00,000/*
 - *Renewable Energy : Rs 55,00,000/*
 - *Enhance the facilities of Govt. L P / U P Schools: Rs 57,00,000/*
 - *Enhance the facilities of Family Health Centre: Rs 87,50,000/*

- *Support to the Travancore Heritage Backwater Tourism Circuit Project : Rs 1,00,00,000*

Total: Rs. 5,59,50,000/

- o. Implement the CER plan and perform direct implementation of the components that are to be completed from the side of TCS, in each activity, instead of giving funds from the CER budget. Sufficient physical details of each of the proposed activities shall be linked with EMP in quantifiable terms, for ensuring compliance monitoring.*
- p. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues(as listed above) to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 1.5 of the project cost as indicated above depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Corporation/Panchayat for information and implementation support.*
- q. Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project (Circular No.J-11013/41/2006-IA.II(I) of GoI, MoEF dt.22.09.2008).*

Item No: 16

Expansion of the existing Master Plan Development of an IT/ITES SEZ township project of M/S Smart City (Kochi) Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. at Sy. Nos. 640/1, 640/2 & others in Kakkanad Village, Kerala (Proposal No: SIA/KL/MIS/51114/2018, File No:1191(A)/EC2/2018/SEIAA)

Shri.Manoj Nair, CEO, M/s Smart City (Kochi) Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., Smart City Pavilion, Bhrahmapuram P.O., Ernakulam,Kerala-682303 submitted an application on

27/02/2020 for the expansion of the existing Master Plan Development of an IT/ITES SEZ township project of M/S Smart City (Kochi) Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. at Sy. Nos. 640/1, 640/2 & others in Kakkanad Village.

The file was placed in the 115th SEAC meeting held on 3 – 5, November 2020. The Committee decided to invite the proponent for presentation. The file was placed in the 116th SEAC meeting held on 2nd, 3rd and 7th December, 2020. The proponent and consultant were present. The consultant made the presentation. A field inspection was also carried out on 12.12.2020 by a team of experts of SEAC and certain observations were made by the team.

The file was placed in the 118th SEAC meeting held on 1st, 2nd & 3rd February, 2021 & 123rd SEAC meeting held 27th – 30th July 2021. The Committee decided to direct the proponent to submit certain additional documents:

The file was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held 24 -27, August, 2021. The Committee discussed and accepted the additional details submitted by the proponent. The Committee decided to recommend the issuance of EC with project life of five years subject to certain specific conditions.

Authority noticed that the SEAC had appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, additional details/documents obtained from the proponent during Appraisal, Mining Plan and the filed inspection report. After the due appraisal SEAC had recommend to issue EC subject to certain conditions

Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC and decided to issue EC for 5 years subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- 1. Provide sufficient facilities for treatment of Roof Top/ Rain Water Harvested, of 100 ML capacity, stored in the 3 proposed ponds, within the campus, for ensuring recycle / reuse of treated rain water within the compound, to the maximum extent possible.*
- 2. Ensure and insist treatment of Sewage generated from individual industrial units, to reuse of the treated water and ensure zero discharge from the compound.*
- 3. Provide a general scheme of treatment for sewage, to all industrial units at the time of signing agreement with them, ensuring that a full-fledged sewage*

treatment system, intended for reuse / recycle of treated water, is established in all units.

- 4. Provide a scientific storm water drainage system, covering the whole area and linking it with the proposed ponds, considering the annual rain fall, topography and infiltration rate of the project area.*
- 5. Action for harnessing solar energy and adoption of energy conservation measures, to meet, at least 25 % of total power requirement of the project area, and to consider, post type-solar yard lighting system, along the road way and public places.*
- 6. Take action for planting of local species of trees in the Green Belt area / open space, compensatory afforestation area, including avenue plantations, as envisaged in the revised EMP.*
- 7. Take action for implementing, as part of CER activities, for Restoration of Kadambrayar, Beautification of Edachira Canal, providing of Renewable Energy to neighbourhood areas, procurement of land for Anganwadi and Water Metro Station, providing of financial support for study of students in the neighbourhood area, support for Rebuild Kerala and project for Women Empowerment, at a proposed project cost of Rs 3.075 Crores, as per the revised EMP. The actions shall also be taken for linking it with EMP , in order to ensure monitoring it in terms of quantifiable, as part of compliance monitoring process.*
- 8. As per OM no F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated 30th September 2020, under Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) the project Proponent shall prepare an Environment Management Plan (EMP) as directed by SEAC during appraisal , covering the issues listed above to address the environmental problems in the project region, indicating both physical and financial targets year wise. The EMP shall be implemented in consultation with District Collector. The indicated cost for CER shall be 2% of the project cost depending upon the nature of activities proposed. The follow up action on implementation of CER shall be included in the half yearly compliance report which will be subjected to field inspection at regular intervals. A copy of the approved EMP shall be made available to the concerned Panchayat for information and implementation support.*

9. *Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, mobile STP, safe drinking water, medical health care, crèche etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project (Circular No.J-11013/41/2006-IA.II(I) of GoI, MoEF dt.22.09.2008).*

CONSIDERATION/RECONSIDERATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

(Extension/Amendment/Corrigendum)

Item No.01 **Extension of Environmental Clearance for the Granite (Building Stone) Quarry of Shri.A. D. John in Survey Nos. 133/1, 133/1-1, 133/2, 133/3, 133/5, 133/6, 133/6-1, 133/6- 2, 133/6-3, 133/6-4, 133/6-5, 133/6-6, 133/6-7, 133/7, 133/7- 1, 133/7-2, 133/7-3, 133/7-4, 133/8-1, 133/9, 133/9-2, 107/4- 1, 107/6, 107/6-2, 107/7, 107/7-2, 107/7-3, 107/8, 107/8-1, 108/3, 108/3-1 at Kottangal Village, Mallapally Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala SIA/KL/MIN/183508/2020 , 803/EC4/2120/2015/SEIAA**

Environmental Clearance was issued for the building stone quarry project Shri.A. D. John in Survey Nos. 133/1, 133/1-1, 133/2, 133/3, 133/5, 133/6, 133/6-1, 133/6- 2, 133/6-3, 133/6-4, 133/6-5, 133/6-6, 133/6-7, 133/7, 133/7- 1, 133/7-2, 133/7-3, 133/7-4, 133/8-1, 133/9, 133/9-2, 107/4- 1, 107/6, 107/6-2, 107/7, 107/7-2, 107/7-3, 107/8, 107/8-1, 108/3, 108/3-1 at Kottangal Village, Mallapally Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala vide proceedings No. 803/EC4/2120/2015/SEIAA dated 05.03.2016, for a period of five years for an area of 4.0731 hectares. The validity of EC expired on 04.03.2021.

The project proponent submitted application via PARIVESH on 29.12.2020 for the Extension of Environmental Clearance for the Granite (Building Stone) Quarry in Survey Nos. 133/1, 133/1-1, 133/2, 133/3, 133/5, 133/6, 133/6-1, 133/6- 2, 133/6-3, 133/6-4, 133/6-5, 133/6-6, 133/6-7, 133/7, 133/7- 1, 133/7-2, 133/7-3, 133/7-4, 133/8-1, 133/9, 133/9-2, 107/4-1, 107/6, 107/6-2, 107/7, 107/7-2, 107/7-3, 107/8, 107/8-1, 108/3, 108/3-1 at Kottangal Village, Mallapally Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala.

This was placed in the 124th SEAC meeting held on 24 -27, August 2021. The decision of the Committee was – “since the issue highlighted by the proponent is purely administrative, SEAC does not have any role to recommend any measures”.

Authority noticed that with Covid Extension of one year, the validity of EC expires on 05.03.2022. In the meantime the Project Proponent may submit relevant documents from respective authorities in proof of non functioning of quarry with a revised mining plan so that his proposal for extension of EC can be processed further.

Item No.02 Extension of Environmental Clearance for the removal of ordinary earth in Sy No-520/1,525/1 of Kozhukkully Village, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala for an extent of 0.3642 Ha by Sri. Rajesh C.L, (Proposal No.SIA/KL/MIN/183182/2020, File No.2064/A2/2019/SEIAA)

Sri.Rajesh C.L S/o Lazar, Chalisssery House, Eravimangalam P.O, Thrissur – 680 751 submitted application in SEIAA through PARIVESH on 12.11.2020 for the extension of Environmental Clearance for the removal of ordinary earth in Sy No-520/1,525/1 of Kozhukkully Village, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala for an extent of 0.3642 Ha.

Environmental Clearance was issued by SEIAA for the removal of 5000 m³ of ordinary earth in 01.11.2019 for a period of six months and the validity expired on 31.05.2020. The proponent stated that he was able to remove only 1548 m³ of earth because of delay in getting sanction from Mining & Geology Department due to COVID Pandemic situation.

The Proposal was placed in the 124th meeting of SEAC held on 24th to 27th August 2021. The Committee scrutinized the documents submitted by the proponent and decided to recommend the extension of EC with a project life of five years subject to the following specific conditions.

Authority decided to extend the EC period by two years from the date of issue of permit from the Department of Mining & Geology for the balance quantity to be removed as per the terms and conditions of EC already issued.

CONSIDERATION OF TOR PROPOSALS

Item No.01 **TOR for Building Stone Quarry of Shri. Ashraf, P over an extent of 2.3203 Ha at Re. Sy. No 172 in Kodyathur Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala SIA/KL/MIN/61689/2021, 1897/EC4/2021/SEIAA**

TOR proposal as recommended by SEAC is approved

Sd/-

**Dr.H.Nagesh Prabhu IFS (Retd)
Chairman, SEIAA**

Sd/-

**Dr.V.Venu IAS
Member Secretary, SEIAA**

Sd/-

**Dr.Jayachandran.K
Member, SEIAA**