MINUTES OF THE 68th MEETING OF SEAC, KERALA, HELD ON 20th & 21st FEBRUARY 2017, AT HARITHASREE HALL, DIRECTORATE OF ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

The 68th meeting of the SEAC commenced at 10.00 am with **Sri.V.** Gopinathan IFS (Rtd.) in the chair. The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the proceedings of the Committee.

Item No:68.00

Approval of Minutes: The minutes of the 67th SEAC meeting were read over, corrections made as suggested by the members and approved.

Item No. 68.01

Environmental clearance for the expansion of quarry project in Sy. No. 153/2-2, 152/1-3, 151/4-2, 151/2-3, 151/2-4, 151/4-3, 152/1-2, 163/1-1 & 163/1-2 of Block – 26 at Valakom Village, Kottarakkara Taluk, Kollam District, Kerala by Sri. M. Abraham, Ayoor, Kollam for the Proposed Expansion of Building Stone Quarry (File No. 739/SEIAA/KL/6074/2014)

The proponent did not turn up for presenting the details, so the Committee decided to defer the item for the next meeting.

Item No. 68.02

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 236/1, 544/1, 544/2, 545/1, 545/2, 545/3 at Vengappalli Village, Vengappalli Panchayath, Vythiri Taluk, Wayanad District, Kerala by Mr.V.Abdul Majeed, Managing Partner, M/s MMT Construction Company (File No. 888/SEIAA/EC3/3319/2015)

Further to the intimation, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.6 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.6 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Secretary

Slale Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)

The Committee deferred the item for field inspection. The Committee also decided to examine whether clearance under Land Utilization Rules is required for taking up quarrying operations in a coffee plantation.

Item No. 68.03

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 147/2A2, 149/2B1 at Thazhekode Village, Mukkam Panchayat, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala - 673602 by Sri. Sahul Hameed Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District for M/s Safa Stone Crusher of Sri.Sahul Hameed (File No. 889/SEIAA/EC4/3320/2015)

Further to the intimation, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.7 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.6 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee deferred the item for field inspection.

Item N<u>o. 68.04</u>

Environmental clearance for the proposed building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 3, 21/1, 21/2, 22, 23, 24 of Kannamangalam Village, Kannamangalam Panchayat, Thirurangadi Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala State byMr. C.K. Abdul Azeez, Managing Director M/s Grand Stone Metals Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 906/SEIAA/EC1/3538/2015)

Further to the intimation, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.12 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.10 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee deferred the item for field inspection.

Padus Mahart.

Secretary
State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth from Re Sy. No 526/24-1 (Block 27) at Pattimattom Village and Kizhakambalam Panchayat, Kunnathunad Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri. T.J. Chacko (File No 850A/SEIAA/EC3/2948/2015)

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the details provided by the applicant and decided to **Recommend for issuance of Environmental Clearance** for removal of 3,000 m³ of ordinary earth subject to the condition that removal should be in terraced manner limiting maximum depth cutting to 2m.

Item No. 68.06

Application for obtaining environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. No. 288/1 part, Block 32 of Koodal Village, Kalanjoor Panchayath, Adoor Taluk, Pathanamthitta district, Kerala by M/s Mavanal Granites Private Limited (File No. 81/SEIAA/KL/183/2013)

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, revised Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to Recommend for issuance of EC subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific condition.

1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.7 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.8 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No.68.07

Environment Clearance for the proposed mining projects of Sri. Tinson John, M/s Aiswarya Granites, at Elamadu Village, Kottarakkara Taluk – Judgment in W.P No. 15854/16 – Implementation of reg.(File No. 129/EC3/2013/SEJAA)

In view of the communication from the District Collector, Kollam vide his letter No. L12-27543/15 dt.07.02.17 (Page 924 of the C.F.), the Committee decided to **recommend to reject** the applications.

Secretary
State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)

Application for Environmental Clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. No. 611/1A-303-147 at Keerampara Village and Panchayath, Kothamangalam Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri.Reji Kuriakose and Smt. Jeeva Reji (File No. 144/SEIAA/KL/2745/2013)

The SEAC constitutes of experts from different fields including Wild Life Management. After examining all the facts of the proposals the Committee is of the opinion that the presence of a quarry in such proximity to the Wild Life Sanctuary is not conducive to the long term survival of the world famous Thattekkad Bird Sanctuary. Hence the Committee found no reason to change its earlier recommendation.

Item No. 68.09

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Re. Survey.No.266/2 (pt) of Cherukavu Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala application of Sri. P. K. Abdulla Koya, Managing Director, M/s Beta Granite Pvt. Ltd (File No. 216/SEIAA/EC1/274/2014)

As regards the question of violation, the Committee is of the view that in the light of the Hon'ble High Court judgement on 07.12.15 the functioning of the quarry at the time of inspection was a violation. The Committee is not aware of any Supreme Court order staying the above High Court Judgement. If there is any specific Supreme Court order permitting such mining, action against violation need not be initiated.

Item No. 68.10

Environmental Clearance for the proposed Granite Building Stone quarry project in Sy. Nos. 197/2, 198/2, 203/3 and 369/1(P) at Koppam Village, Ottapalam Taluk (New Taluk – Pattambi), Palakkad District, Kerala by M/s Marath Enterprises and Crushers Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 310/SEIAA/KL/1693/2014)

The Committee examined the suggestions made by SEIAA and decided to defer the item for site inspection.

Item No.68.11

Environmental clearance for the Expansion of Building stone quarry project in Block no. 27, Sy Nos. 10/7, 11/5, 11/7, 11/8, 11/9, 13/1, 14/1-1, 14/1-2, 15/1, 15/1-1, 15/1-2-1, 15/1-3, 15/1-4& 15/2 at Ezhumatoor Village, Mallappaly Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, by Sri. M. V. Abraham (File No. 852/SE1AA/EC4/2968/2015)

The Committee examined the suggestions made by SEIAA and decided to **defer** the item for site inspection.

Power Hala Minutes of the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017

Secretary / State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC) Kerafa

Environmental clearance for the proposed Residential Project by M/s Asset Homes Pvt. Ltd. in Survey Nos. 174/1-1, 174/1-2, Kizhakambalam Village & Panchayat, Kunnathunad Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala, Application of Mr. Mahesh L., Chief Technical Officer M/s Asset Homes Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 869/SEIAA/EC3/3100/2015)

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and deferred for further clarifications from the proponent regarding Parking Plan, traffic circulation, drinking water and storm water disposal.

Item No.68.13

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 575/1-2 & 569/1-1-16, 569/1-1-16-2 at Konnithazham & Iravon Village, Konni Panchayath, Konni Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Mr.N.Asokan, Managing Partner, M/s. A.S.Granites (File No. 890/SEIAA/EC4/3321/2015)

The Committee examined the suggestions made by SEIAA and decided to **defer** the item for site inspection.

Item No.68.14

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. No. 114 at Thirumittacode 2 Village, Pattambi Taluk, Palakkad District by Mrs. Elsy Joseph, Managing Director for M/s Malayakam Granites Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 929/SEIAA/EC1/3895/2015)

The Committee examined the suggestions made by SEIAA and decided to **defer** the item for site inspection.

The meeting ended at 5.00 pm with vote of thanks to the Chairman and Members.

Padus Mahant

Smt. Padma Mahanti IFS

Secretary
State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Sri. V. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)

Chairman State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC) Kerata

List of members present 1st Day (20.02.2017)

1. Sri. S. Ajayakumar

4. Shri. P. Sreekumaran Nair

2. Dr. K. M. Khaleel

5. Dr. George Chackacherry

3. Prof. (Dr.) Keshav Mohan

Day 2 (21.02.2017)

Item No. 68.15

Environmental clearance for the proposed building stone quarry project in Survey No. 427 pt. in Kannamangalam Village & Panchayat and Survey No. 22/3 pt., 22/4 pt. in Oorakam Village & Panchayat, Thirurangadi Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala State by Mr. K. Muhammed Kutty, Managing Partner, M/s Kanneth Industries (File No. 908/SEIAA/EC1/3587/2015)

Further to the intimation, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form 1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.12 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.10 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee deferred the item for field inspection.

Item No. 68.16

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 1446/3 at Killanur Village, Mulankunnathukavu Panchayath, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala State by Mr. Sony P. George, Managing Partner & Authorized signatory of G.M. & Sons Granites (File No. 944/SEIAA/EC14127/2015)

Further to the intimation the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.3 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.3 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee deferred the item for field inspection.

Item No. 68.17

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy.No. 39/1 & 39/2 of Venganellur Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Mr. V. Govindan Kutty- Managing Partner of M/s. Divya Metal Industries (File No. 1062/SEIAA/EC1/1639/16)

Further to the intimation, the Proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and RQP made a power point presentation about the salient features of the project. The Committee

Minutes of the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017

Dua Hahersti

Secretary State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Pre-feasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with Form1.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.5 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.3 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The Committee deferred the item for field inspection. During site inspection the proximity of reserve forest should be looked into.

Item No. 68.18

Environmental clearance for proposed expansion of existing hospital project in Sy. No. 1486/1-4-3-2, 1486/1-4-3, 1486/1-1, 1486/1-4-2, 1486/3-2, 1486, 1486, 1486/3-1, 1486, 1486/1-4-3-1, 1486/1-4-1, 1486/4-18. 1486/1-4-3-2, 1486/2, 1479/3-5, 1479/3-6, 1479/3-4, 1479/3-3, 1482/1-1, 1482/1-5, 1482/4-30, 1482/4-29, 1482/4-23, 1482/4-27, 1482/1-4-1, 1482/1-4-2, 1482/1-2, 1486/2, 1486/1-4-3-1, 1482/1-1, 1486/2-1, 1482/1-1-2, 1482/4-22, 1482/4-22-7, 1482/4-12, 1482/4-25, 1482/1-8, 1482/1-6, 1482/1-4, 1482/1-7, 1482/1-2, 1482/4-24-2, 1482/3-2-1-1-2-1, 1482/3-2-1-2, 1482/3-2-1, 1482/4-22, 1482/3-2-1-1-1, 1482/3-2-1-2, 1482/3-2-1-2-1, 1482/4-18-1, 1482/4-18-1, 1482/3, 1482/3-2-1, 1482/3-1-1, 1482/3-1, 1486/1-4-4, 1486/1-5-1-1, 1482/4-26, 1482/4-27, 1482/4-27, 1482/4-27-1, 1482/4-27, 1482/4-27-1, 1482/1, 1482/1-1-1, 1482/3-3-1, 1482/3-3-2, 1482/3-3, 1485/1, 1485/2, 1485/3, 1485/4, 1485/5, 1485/7, 1485/8, 1485/9, 1485/10 Kadakampally Village, Trivandrum Municipal Corporation, Trivandrum Taluk & District, Kerala State by Mr. E.M. Najeeb, Executive Director, M/s KIMS Healthcare Management Ltd. (File No. 1085/EC1/SEIAA/2016)

Further to the intimation of SEAC, the Proponent and the Engineer attended the meeting and a power point presentation about the salient features of the project was made. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form 1, Form I A and Conceptual Plan. The Committee deferred the item for field visit to examine among other things the following aspects.

- 1. Parking facilities.
- 2. Water Balance
- 3. Waste Management
- 4. Alternate parking facility during construction phase.

Padema Habart

Minutes of the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017

State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Environmental clearance for the proposed china clay mining project in Sy. Nos. 427/1, 1-1, 1-2, 2, 4 & 5 at Melthonnakal Village, Trivandrum Taluk, Trivandrum District, Kerala by Sri.Chandrasekaran for M/s EICL limited (File No. 940/SEIAA/EC1/4098/2015)

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions.

Item No.6<u>8.20</u>

Environmental clearance for the proposed china clay mining project in Sy. Nos. 434/6, 8, 9, 15, 16, 17,482/11, 483/2, 6 and 484/1, 2 at Melthonnakal Village, Trivandrum Taluk, Trivandrum District, Kerala by Sri.Chandrasekaran for M/s EICL limited (File No. 941/ SEIAA /EC1/4099/2015)

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. Based on the Mining plan, Form.1, all other documents submitted with the proposal and the field visit report, the committee decided to Recommend for issuance of EC subject to the general conditions.

M/s EICL, the proponent of the proposals under item No.68.19 & 68.20 has agreed to set apart Rs.72 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.50 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

The proponent is having multiple leases in contiguous area in the locality for mining china clay. Many of the leases are obtained prior to 2006 when the MoEF notification dated 27.01.1994 was in force. According to the above notification, mining of major minerals with leases in more than 5 ha required environment clearance and at that point in time china clay was classified as a major mineral. The proponent was mining china clay from a contiguous area of more than 5 ha though the areas of individual leases were less than 5 ha. Hence the company has failed to comply with the conditions of MoEF Notification dtd. 27.01.1994 and to that extent there is violation. This is brought to the attention of SEIAA for taking suitable decision before EC is granted as recommended above.

Item No: 68.21

Environmental Clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy.No. 59/2 at Kariyavattom Village, Perinthalmanna Taluk, Malappuram District, by Sri. K. A. Abraham, Managing Director

Secretary
State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)

for M/s Poabson Granite Products Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 946/SEIAA/EC1/4152/2015)

The Committee examined the suggestions made by SEIAA and decided to **defer** the item for site inspection.

Item No: 68.22

Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 3/5pt, 3/6pt, 3/8pt, 11/2, 11/4, 12/6, 12/3, 12/2, 12/5, 11/3, 10/3-1, 10/4, 10/2-1, 16/3, 17/8, 18/1pt, 11/7 at Thottapuzhassery Village, Thottapuzhassery Panchayath, Thiruvalla Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by the Managing Partner, Aby Mathew for Panachayil Industries (File No. 960/EC4/4470/2015/SEIAA).

The Committee examined the suggestions made by SEIAA and decided to defer the item for site inspection.

Item No: 68.23

Environmental clearances for the quarry project in Sy. No. 380/3-2, 164/1-26, 164/1-151, 164/1-93, 164/1-31, 164/1-80, 164/1-32, 164/1-29, 164/1-79, 164/1-38, 164/1-101, 164/1-91, 164/1-92, 164/1-19, 164/1-90, 368/3, 368/4, 164/1-95, 164/1-108, 164/1-148, 164/1-156, 164/1-157, 164/1-158, 164/1-159, 164/1-160, 164/1-161, 379/1-2, 379/6, 379/7-2, 164/1-39, 164/1-149, 164/1-30 and 380/3, Ayyampuzha Village, Ayyampuzha Panchayat, Aluva Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala State by Mr. Joseph Jacob, Managing Director M/s Poabs Granite Products Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 961/SEIAA/EC3/4471/2015)

The Committee Member Sri. John Mathai who is familiar with the area informed that the proposal does not attract the "cluster" criterion and hence the Committee decided to inform the SEIAA accordingly and also to add the following specific condition.

1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.

Item No. 68.24

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy.Nos.1107/1P, 1108/P, 1109/2P, 1110/2P, 1117/2P, 1118/1P, 1119/1P & 1121/3P at Perumbilavu Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri. P. K. Jaleel, Managing Partner for M/s Best Granites (File No. 964 / SEIAA / EC1 / 4474 / 2015)

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Prefeasibility Report, field visit report and all other documents submitted along with Form1. The

Minutes of the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017

Secretary /
State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Committee decided to **Recommend for Issuance of EC** subject to the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

- 1. The boundary of the actual quarry area has to be limited to the elevated part and western part leaving the eastern side where the thickness of top soil and OB is more than 2 m as shown in the revised Sketch submitted by the proponent.
- 2. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.8 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.7 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No: 68.25

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy.Nos. 93/1, 95/2 & 95/3 at Vadakkangara Village, Perinthalmanna Taluk, Malappuram District, for quarry of Sri. P. Abdulla (File No. 966/SEIAA/EC1/4476/2015)

The Committee examined the suggestions made by SEIAA and decided to **defer** the item for site inspection.

Item No. 68.26

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy.Nos. 1246/4, 1246/5,1246/9,1246/14,1246/15, 1246/18, 1248/1, 1248/2, 1247/3P, 1249/2, 1249/3, 1249/4, 1249/6, 1250/1, 1251/7, 1251/8, 1251/9P, 1251/10P, 1253/2, 1253/5, 1253/6, 1293/2 at Kadangode Village & Panchayath, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri. P. K. Jaleel, Managing Partner for M/s Best Granites (File No. 967/SEIAA/ EC1 /4474/2015)

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Prefeasibility Report, field visit report and all other documents submitted along with Form1. The Committee decided to **Recommend for Issuance of EC** subject to the following specific condition in addition to the general conditions.

1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.

Secretary

Minutes of the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017

State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerata

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.13 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.13 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No: 68.27

Environmental Clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Sy. No. 47/P at Cheruthuruthy Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri. P. B. Sukumaran (File No. 975/SEIAA/EC1/4548/2015)

As per the records the land comes under the purview of Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land & Wetland Act, 2008. The removal of brick earth from the land will change the nature of the land. This will attract the provisions of the above Act. Therefore the Committee found that there is no reason to change its earlier decision.

Item No.68.28

Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Sy. Nos. 313/1-1 & 313/1-2 at Edamulackal Village and Edamulackal Panchayath, Punalur Taluk, Kollam District, Kerala by Smt. Mini Rajan (File No 1011/SEIAA/EC3/5175/15)

As per the NOC issued by the RDO, Kollam dated.23.11.2015 appended along with the application, it is observed that the removal of earth is in connection with a building construction. The built up area of the building is 2588 sq.m. Apparently the removal of earth is in connection with levelling and foundation works. Hence as prescribed in the MoEF notification no separate E.C is required. Therefore the excavation of soil cannot be interpreted as one of commercial in nature and the proponent can be advised to bring these facts to the attention of the District Geologist. The Committee is of the opinion that there is no need for change in its earlier recommendation.

Item No:68.29

Environmental clearance for proposed Common Biomedical Waste Treatment facility at Survey Nos. 4410/2.2,2.3, 4411/1& 2.2 Peringamala Village, Nedumangadu Taluk & Trivandrum District, Kerala by Dr.A. V. Jayakrishnan, State President, M/s Indian Medical Association Goes Ecofriendly (IMAGE) (File No. 1059/SEIAA/EC1/1083/2016)

The Proponents were explained the provisions of the MoEF Notification F. No. 1-4/2012 - RE (Pt.) dated 13 .11.2013 which effectively prohibits the establishment of the proposal in a ESA village. Peringamala is a notified ESA Village and hence the Committee explained to the proponents its inability to recommend the proposal.

Minutes of the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017

Secretary
State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Environmental clearance for the proposed Residential Project in Sy.Nos.198/2, 198/16, 198/17, 205/26, 25/24/p, 200/2, 200/3, 199/p, 198/7, Thrissur Municipal Corporation, Thrissur Village, Thrissur. Application of Sri. T S Kalyanaraman (Managing Director), M/S Kalyan Jewellers India Pvt. Ltd (File No. 1060/SEIAA/EC1/1108/2015)

The proposal was appraised by SEAC considering Form I, Form IA, Conceptual plan, field visit report and all other documents and details provided by the proponent. The committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions in addition to the following specific conditions.

- Entry and exit shall be allowed only through farthest opening from the road junction to avoid congestion due to queuing up at the junction.
- RWH facility to be provided in accordance with the details given by the proponent.
- Recharge pits to be given within the site. Storm water must be led into these pits to recharge the aquifer and only excess to be drained out. Connectivity with the external drain is to be ensured.
- Details of maximum use of solar energy as furnished by the proponent..
- Solid waste segregation and disposal to be as per the plan furnished
- Enhanced parking space and traffic management plan to be as per the details furnished.
- Separate space for material Recovery Storage (MRS) to be provided

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.25 lakh over a period of 3 years towards the CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No. 68.31

Environmental Clearance for the Commercial project "Ozone Mall" in Sy. Nos. 4/2B, 146/1 and 146/7 at Pathaikkara Village, Perinthalmanna Municipality, Perinthalmanna Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri. A.K. Abdul Aziz, M/s INOA Properties & Developers L.L.P. (File No. 864/SEIAA/EC1/3073/2015)

The proposal was appraised by SEAC considering Form I, Form IA, Conceptual plan, field visit report and all other documents and details provided by the proponent. The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and found satisfactory. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to the general conditions in addition to the following specific conditions.

Minutes of the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017

State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (9540)
Kerala

- 1. Stability of the steep cutting is to be ensured in accordance with the revised drawing furnished
- 2. Enhanced RWH facility within the campus (~800 KL) in accordance with the details given by the proponent.
- 3. Recharge pits to be given on the southern side within the site. Storm water must be led into these pits to recharge the aquifer and only excess to be drained out. Connectivity with the external drain is to be ensured.
- 4. Maximum use of solar energy to be ensured.
- 5. Mechanism of solid waste segregation and disposal as per the details furnished
- 6. Green belt is to be planned especially on the northern side where rocky out crops are noted.
- 7. Use of reflective glasses on the exterior walls should be minimised.

The proponent has not committed any amount towards CSR. The SEIAA may obtain commitment from the proponent for spending a suitable amount towards CSR activities.

Item No.68.32

Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy. Nos. 362/5-2, 11, 12, 363/2,4,6,8,9-1,9-2, 366/3,4 in Manickal village, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvanathapuram District, Kerala applications of Sri. Shaji K. Mathew, Director- M/s VKL Infrastructure facilities (P) Ltd. &M/s VKL Projects India (P) Ltd (File No. 753(A)/SEIAA/EC1/302/2015) (File No. 753(B)/ SEIAA/EC1/302/2015)

The Committee verified the additional documents submitted by the proponent and deferred for asking further clarifications from the proponent regarding

- Map showing all lands in possession and the proposed quarry lease area within this land. The adjacent Govt. Poramboke land and the location of the DTPC building should also be marked.
- The certificate that the proposed quarry area is not assigned for any special purposes.
- A narrow belt of land of about 10 m width is left between the two lease areas as a no development zone. An explanation is needed why this zone is left out of the lease area.

Item No.68.33

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 358/1A1A1A at Padichira Village, Sultan Bathery Taluk, Wayanad District, Kerala by Sri.Binoj K. Baby for M/s. Pulpally Stone Crushers (File No. 824/EC3/2607/SEIAA/2015)

Secretary
State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, revised Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific conditions.

1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.

The proponent agreed to set apart Rs.7 lakh (non-recurring) and Rs.7 lakh per annum (recurring) for CSR activities for the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No. 68. 34

Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 3/1-1, 1-2, 1-3 at Mookkannoor Village, Aluva Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri. Shaju P.V. – Managing Partner, M/s. Palissery Granites, Ernakulam District for M/s. Palissery Granites (File No. 673/SEIAA/KL/5352/2014)

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, revised Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC** subject to general conditions in addition to the following specific conditions.

1. If any plant species endemic to Western Ghats are noticed in the area they shall be properly protected in situ or by transplanting to an appropriate location inside the lease area.

The proponent should give an undertaking committing to spend a recurring amount of Rs.5 lakhs and a non-recurring amount of Rs.5 lakhs towards the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

Item No. 68.35

Environmental Clearance for the quarry project in Sy.No.275/1A at Trippangottur Village, Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala-670693 by Sri.T.P.SunilBabu Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District for Granite Building Stone Quarry of Sri.T.P. Sunil Babu. (File No. 859/SEIAA/EC4/2988/2015)

The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form I, Pre-feasibility Report, revised Mining Plan, field inspection report of the Sub Committee and all other documents submitted with the proposal. The Committee advised that, for further consideration of the

Padus Minutes of the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017

Secretary

State Leval Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)

proposal, the proponent may be asked to submit the following details indicated in the field visit report. So the Committee deferred the item for submission of clarifications sought in the field visit report.

- 1. Maintenance of the approach road.
- 2. Fences and sign boards should be provided around the site
- 3. Overburden should be deposited at a suitable place with protection
- 4. Proper drainage system should be provided.

The proponent should give an undertaking committing to spend a recurring amount of Rs.6 lakhs and a non-recurring amount of Rs.7 lakhs towards the welfare of the local community in consultation with the local Panchayat.

GENERAL DISCUSSIONS AND DECISIONS

In view of few recent decisions of SEIAA in matters connected with the procedure adopted for the appraisal of proposals the Committee felt it necessary to bring the following aspects to the attention of the SEIAA

a) Site inspection:

As the name itself connotes SEAC is a body of experts. The methodology for conducting the appraisal is deliberated and decided by this body of experts. As contemplated in para 5.(d) and Para 7.II .Stage(2)-Scoping of 2006 notification of MoEF whether a site inspection is necessitated for appraising a particular proposal is an expert opinion of the Committee and hence a matter to be decided by it. SEIAA is not mandated to give general directions like a blanket instruction to conduct site inspection for all proposals for quarrying. However in specific cases SEIAA can always seek clarifications from SEAC which will be answered promptly.

b) Approval of TORs.

As stipulated in para 7.II.Stage(2)- Scoping, the mandate for appraising and approving the Terms of Reference of conducting Environment Impact Assessment Study rests solely with SEAC.

The meeting ended at 4.00 pm with vote of thanks to the Chairman and Members.

Minutes of the 68th meeting of SEAC, Kerala, held on 20th & 21st February 2017

State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

Padua Habarti.

Smt. Padma Mahanti, IFS

Secretary
State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC)
Kerala

(b - ha -

Sri. V. Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)

Chairman State Level Expert Apparaisal Committee (SEAC) Kerala

List of members present (21.02.2017)

1. Sri. John Mathai

5. Dr.K.M.Khaleel

2. Sri. S. Ajayakumar

6. Dr.Harikrishnan K.

3. Shri, P. Sreekumaran Nair

7. Prof. (Dr.) Keshav Mohan

4. Dr. George Chackacherry