

**MINUTES OF THE 52nd MEETING OF SEAC, KERALA, HELD ON 8th AND 9th
FEBRUARY, 2016, AT HARITHASREE HALL, DIRECTORATE OF
ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

Day -1 (08-02-2016)

The 52nd meeting of the SEAC commenced at 9.30 am with Sri. V. Gopinathan, in the chair. The Chairman welcomed the members and initiated the proceedings of the Committee.

Item No.52.00 General Discussion

1. The Committee expressed its concern over the continued dysfunction of the website of SEIAA.
2. The Committee requested secretary to place the minutes of the preceding SEIAA in all the meetings of the SEAC so that actions on any follow up/clarifications can be taken without loss of time.
3. As a measure to clear the back log the Committee suggested that at least 10 fresh cases along with twenty old cases can be placed for appraisal in coming SEAC meetings.
4. The Committee expressed concern over the delay in initializing the online processing of the proposals.

Item No.52.01 Environmental clearance for proposed mining project in Sy. Nos. 229/1, 229/13, 229/9, 229/9-1, 234/10, 234/11, 234/3, 234/4, 234/5, 234/6, 234/8-2, 234/9-1, 238/12, 238/13-2, 238/16-2, 238/17-2, 240/10, 240/11, 240/7, 240/7-1, 240/7-2, 240/8, 240/9, 241/10, 241/1-1, 241/1-2, 241/12-16, 241/12-17, 241/12-2, 241/13-1, 241/18, 241/2, 241/4, 241/5, 241/6, 241/7, 241/8, 241/8-1, 241/9-1, 242/1, 242/2, 242/4-2, 242/4-3, 242/5, 242/6, 242/7, 242/8, 245/4, 245/5, 245/6, 245/6-1, 245/6-2, 245/6-3 and 245/6-4 at Aruvikkara Village and Panchayath, Nedumangad Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala by M/s Travancore Blue Metal Industries (P) Ltd. (File No. 152/SEIAA/KL/3072/2013)

Project Proponent : Mr. Suresh Kumar Director, M/s Travancore Blue Metal

The proposal was appraised by SEAC considering Form-1, Prefeasibility Report, Mining Plan, Field Inspection Report and the other documents and details pertaining to the application. The sub-committee has reported illegal mining by the proponent from areas adjoining to the proposed area. Hence the proponent has committed a violation. So also two adjoining Purambokku bits for which the proponent has lease, are not seen included in the proposal. Hence the Committee decided to **Recommend to take action against violation** and also to direct the proponent to resubmit revised mining plan including the above Govt. Purambokku bits.

Item No.52.02 Environmental Clearance for sand mining from the rivers of Malappuram District viz. Bharathapuzha, Chaliyar and Kadalundi, Kerala submitted by District Collector, Malappuram (File No. 238/SEIAA/KL/940/2014)

The Committee appraised the proposal submitted by the District Collector, Malappuram as follows.

After the issuance of MoEF notification dated 15/12/2015, the process of EC for sand mining shall be in accordance with the production of detailed survey report on availability of sand as per appendix X of the notification. Thereafter, the EC can be given by the SEIAA/DEIAA depending on the extent of the area. Hence the Committee decided to **Recommend to Delist** the proposal and to inform the proponent accordingly.

Item No.52.03 Extension of Environmental Clearance for construction of M/s Sobha Developers Ltd, Sobha city in Survey nos. 218, 217,534 to 544,546 to 556 at Kolazhy/ Adat Panchayath, Puzhakkal, Guruvayoor road, Thrissur district, Kerala (File No. 245/EC1/2014/SEIAA)

Project Proponent : Sobha Developers Ltd, Sobha city, Thrissur

The notification of MoEF & CC dated 29/4/2015 deals with the procedure for extension of the validity of EC. As per the above notification, the proponent has to apply for extension of validity of EC at least within 90 days of the expiry of the validity of EC. But in the present case the validity of EC expired on 16/4/2013 and the proponent has submitted the application only on 26/02/14 well after 90 days. Therefore the Committee decided to **Recommend to delist** the proposal.

Item No.52.04 Ordinary earth for which E.C. was granted application of Sri. P.M. Chandran (File No. 2653 (352)/SEIAA/EC4/2015)

The earlier decision of the SEAC was taken after considering all aspects of the proposal which still holds good. However in view of the decision SEIAA had taken in its 40th meeting and the judgement of the Hon'ble High Court on 23.09.15 the above recommendation has now no relevance. Hence the Committee decided to **Recommend to SEIAA to take appropriate decision** in the light of the above facts.

Item No.52.05 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 373/13, 373/15, 373/12, 373/8-2, 373/11, 373/11-1, 372/2, 372/2-1, 372/2-1-1, 372/1-2, 371/2, 371/1-1, 371/1-2, 371/10, 369.1, 369/19, 373/15, 372/26, 373/10 373/7P, 373/19P 374/16P 372/18, 372/19, 372/5, 372/7, 372/23, 371/3, 369/2, 370/12, 370/3, 370/14P, 369/20P, 369/22P, 369/16P, 369/23P, 370/1, 369/2-1 P 370/12-1 are patta lands and 372/2 Govt land

**Pallichal Village & Panchayath, Neyyattinkara Taluk,
Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala by M/s Kannamthanam & Co
(File No. 375/SEIAA/KL/2615/2014)**

Since the proponent has neither submitted the revised mining plan as per KMMC rules 2015 nor remitted the processing fee, the Committee decided to **Recommend to delist** the proposal.

Item No.52.06 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 73/1(P),75/5-1,75/5-2(P),74/1(P),78/4,74/6(P),74/3-2-1,74/3-4-1,74/3-1,74/3-2 and 74/6-1at Pallichal Village and Panchayath, Neyyatinkara Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala by Mr. K.J. Thomas Kutty, Mukkunnimala, Edakod. (File No. 376/SEIAA/KL/2616/2014)

Since the proponent has neither submitted the revised mining plan as per KMMC rules 2015 nor remitted the processing fee, the Committee decided to **Recommend to delist** the proposal.

Item No.52.07 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 52/3-1, 52/3-2, 52/3, 53/3-1, 53/3-2, 53/3, 53/4, 54/1, 54/3-3, 54/3-3, 54/4-1, 54/4, 55/4, 55/7, 57/7-1, 57/7, 57/8, 57/9-1, 57/11-1, 57/12, 60/3, 60/4-2, 60/4, 61/1 and 61/7,at Pallichal Village, Pallichal Panchayath, Neyyattinkara Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District by Sri. Arun Varghese (File No. 541/SEIAA/KL/3885/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. Arun Varghese, M D, K.K Rocks and Granites India (P) Ltd.

The subcommittee during its filed visit observed that the area included in the proposal is interspersed with about 5 bits of revenue land. The Committee also observed that the mining operation has been already done in these bits and therefore, the proponent has to revise the mining plan including the above bits of land with relevant clearance from Revenue Department. As regards the nature of assignment of the land it is observed that the Honourable High Court in its judgement dated 2/11/2015 directed the Geology Department to issue permission for quarrying. However SEIAA was not a party to above WP(C) No. 32207 dated 2/11/2015. Meanwhile SEIAA may examine this aspect and take necessary decision. The secretariat may also contact the District Collector and ascertain whether there is a general ban on quarrying in Mookkunnimala area.

Item No.52.08 Environmental clearance for P.K Das Institute of Medical Sciences project in Sy. No. 59/3A, 59/3B, 59/4A, 59/4B, 58, 57/1A, 57/1B, 57/3, 60/1, 60/12, 59/1, 57/3, 60/10, 60/11, 60/5, 54/8, 61/5, 55/7, 57/2, 57/ 4, 6/3, 6/1 and 6/6 at Vaniyankulam Village, Vaniyankulam, Palakkad District by Adv. Dr. P. Krishnadas (File No. 554/SEIAA/KL/4089/2014)

Project Proponent : Adv. Dr. P. Krishnadas

The proposal was appraised by SEAC considering Form I, Form IA, Conceptual plan, Field Inspection Report and the other documents and details provided by the proponent. The subcommittee inspected the site and found that construction activity is nearing completion and to that extent it is a case of violation. The subcommittee observed that otherwise, the proponent has fulfilled all other conditions. Therefore, the Committee decided to **Recommend to SEIAA for action against violation and issuance of EC on completion of above proceedings** stipulating a specific condition for providing a larger biogas plant and other normal general conditions.

**Item No.52.09 Environmental clearance for Township and Area development Project in Sy. Nos. 671/1, 674/1,675/4 at Kakkanad Village, Kanayanoor Taluk, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Sri.Thankachan Thomas.
(File No. 588/SEIAA/KL/4504/2014)**

Project Proponent : M/s Prestige Hillside Gateway

The Committee found that the proponent is yet to produce the proof for validity of accreditation of the consultant during the EIA study. So the Committee decided to **Defer** the item for production of above details within 2 weeks.

**Item No.52.10 Environmental clearance for the building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 86/2,87, 88/2, 88/3, 88/1, 89/2B, 147/2, 139/1 at Kolavallur Village, Kunnothparamba Grama Panchayat, Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala by Sri. C.G. George.
(File No. 623/SEIAA/EC4/4806/2014)**

Project Proponent : Sri. C.G. George

Since the quarrying is still taking place there is a case of violation for which necessary proceedings shall be initiated. The subcommittee visited the site had recommended to provide suitable clarification pond before water is let out from quarry premises. After examining the Mining Plan, Prefeasibility Report, Field Inspection Report and all other documents submitted, the Committee decided to **Recommend the issuance of EC on completion of action against violation** subject to the following specific conditions in addition to general conditions for mining.

1. The drainage water should be collected after providing proper silt trap in pits.
2. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
3. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting native species.

As regards the complaints referred by Sri. Dineshan and P.K. Chathu against functioning of the quarry, the committee found that the allegations are related the land survey number 151/1 and 156 of Puthur village and hence the committee was of the opinion that the complaint is not relevant and deserves no considerations.

Item No.52.11 Environmental clearance for the building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 21/1A1 at Kolayad Village and Panchayat, Thalassery Taluk, Kannur District, Kerala by Sri. V.K. Benny.
(File No. 628/SEIAA/EC4/4811/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. V.K. Benny

Since the proponent has not submitted the revised mining plan the Committee decided to **Defer** the item once again for submission of mining plan and letter of intent.

Item No.52.12 Environmental clearance for removal of Brick earth in Sy.no. 538 at Annallor Village Mala Panchayath, Chalakkudy Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri. K C Thomas
(File No.630 /SEIAA/KL/4856/2014)

Since the Local Level Monitoring Committee has issued the required certificate to the effect that the project area is exempted from the purview of *Wetland And Paddy Land Conservation Act-2008*, the Committee decided to **Recommend** for issuance of EC for removal of 8000 m³ of brick earth limited to a maximum depth of 2m subject to the condition that the removed area shall be refilled with top soil to facilitate paddy cultivation.

Item No.52.13 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 83/20-1, 83/20-2, 83/10, 83/28, 83/31, 82/13-2, 83/16, 82/9, 83/29, 83/33, 82/13-1, 83/9, 82/5-2, 82/16, 82/10, 82/15, 83/5 & 84 P (Govt. Land) at Mankode village, Kottarakkataluk, Kollam district, Kerala by Sri. M. Abbas
(File No. 665/SEIAA/KL/5180/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri.M. Abbas

The Committee decided to **Defer** the item for field visit and to hear the petitioner during the visit.

Item No.52.14 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. No. 133/6-1, 134/9-1, 134/9-2, 134/13, 135/2 and 135/4-1 at Manikkal Village, Nedumangadu, Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District by Sri.P. Vijayan Nair, for M/s Kunjikuzhi Stones.
(File No. 708/SEIAA/KL/5685/2014)

Project Proponent : Sri. P. Vijayan Nair, for M/s Kunjikuzhi Stones

The Committee after examining the Mining Plan, Prefeasibility Report, Field Inspection Report and all other documents submitted decided to **Recommend** for issuance of EC subject to general conditions in addition to following specific conditions.

1. Storage of explosives should be strictly done in accordance with relevant rules.
2. Adequate safety distance shall be provided from the nearby residential buildings.
3. Access road to the mining area should be maintained in good condition.
4. Adequate protective fencing should be provided at vulnerable points.

5. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
6. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting native species.

Item No.52.15 Environmental clearance for the Building stone quarry project in Sy. Nos. 135/2-3, 135/7,135/7-1,135/6,135/2-2,135/2,135/2-1, 167/1, 167/1-1, 167/5, 167/2-2, 167/2-13 of Block 27 at Ezhumattoor Village, Mallappally Taluk, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala by Sri. K.V. Mathew, M/s Kachanathu Minerals and Metals Pvt. Ltd. (File No. 765/SEIAA/EC4/505/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. K.V. Mathew, M/s Kachanathu Minerals and Metals Pvt. Ltd

The Committee decided to **Defer** the item for submission of mining plan as per KMMC rule 2015.

Item No.52.16 Environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of Jubilee Mission Medical College & Research Institute in Sy. Nos. 666/1, 666/2, 681, 669/1, 669/2, 669/3, 2245/2, 2245/3, 669/4, 669/4, 671/6, 671/1, 2247/1, 2247/6, 2245/4, at Chembukkavu Village and Trissur Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri. Francis (File No. 779/SEIAA/EC1/997/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Francis, Director, Jubilee Mission Medical College & Research Institute

The Subcommittee observed that the construction work is almost over, and to that extent it is a case of violation. The Committee decided to **Recommend to SEIAA for action against violation and issuance of EC on completion of above proceedings** stipulating over and above the general conditions a specific condition to mechanize the feeding to the biogas plant. The proponent should also submit more realistic CSR to SEIAA.

Day - 2 (9- 2-2016)

Item No.52.17 Environmental clearance for removal of ordinary earth in Sy.nos. 75/43, 75/44 and 75/42 at Ollur Village, Thrissur Taluk, Thrissur Panchayath, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri. Titto Varghese (File No. 787/SEIAA/EC1/1301/15)

Project proponent: Sri. Titto Varghese

In view of exemption provided in appendix 1X of MoEF notification dated 15/1/16, such proposal does not require EC. Hence the Committee decided to **Recommend to delist** the proposal.

Item No.52.18 Environmental clearance for the building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 59/2, 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 60/3, 60/4 at Ramapuram Village, Meenachil Taluk, Kottayam District by Sri.Reji Augustine. (File No. 794/SEIAA/EC4/1852/2015)

Project proponent: Sri. Reji Augustine

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Prefeasibility Report, Field Inspection Report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance, with a condition that minable area shall be demarcated providing a 100m buffer from all weather road and dwelling units, with the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions.

1. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
2. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting native species.

Item No.52.19 Environmental clearance for the building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 496/1,496/2-1,498/11, 498/1, 498/2, 498/9(part), 498/9-3, 498/9-4 and 498/10 at Mallapally Village, Mallapally Taluk, Pathanamthitta District- by Sri. A.D. John. (File No. 796/SEIAA/EC4/1957/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. A.D. John, Pathanamthitta

The Committee **Deferred** the item for taking up the proposal in the presence of the subcommittee members.

Item No.52.20 Environmental clearance for the building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 53/1, 53/1-1 to 53/1-6 at Veliyanoor Village, Meenachil Taluk, Kottayam District by Sri. Shajimon E.N. (File No. 802/SEIAA/EC4/2102/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Shajimon E.N, Kottayam

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Prefeasibility Report, Field Inspection Report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application and decided to **RECOMMEND** for issuance of Environmental Clearance, with the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions stipulated for mining projects.

1. Fencing should be provided all around the area demarcated to be quarried.
2. Storm water drainage from the quarry must be let out to the valley through well-defined channels and only after clarification. The stream seen on the north western side must be maintained for conducting rainwater.
3. RWH structure should be provided in the site.
4. Ultimate depth of mine must be limited to 80 m msl, the elevation of the valley with perennial water.
5. OB must be stored in a designated place at a lower elevation and not as planned at a higher elevated place.
6. Approach roads to the quarry and the main haulage road need to be developed with a minimum width of 6 m.
7. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
8. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting nativespecies.

Item No.52.21 Environmental clearance for the building stone quarry project in Sy. No. 491/4, 491/4-1, 491/5(P) and 491/5-1 (P) at Pazhavangadi Village, Ranni Taluk, Pathanamthitta District by Sri. Joseph Mathew. (File No. 808/SEIAA/EC4/2239/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Joseph Mathew, Naranamoozhy

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Prefeasibility Report, Field Inspection Report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application and found that the proponent has complied with the request of the Committee and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance, with the following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions stipulated for mining projects.

1. Fencing should be provided all around the lease area.
2. Ultimate depth of mine to be limited to the permissible level of deepening by benches.
3. OB must be stored in a designated place at a lower elevation after the restoration of existing pits.
4. The approach road to be maintained in motorable condition.
5. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
6. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting nativespecies.

Item No.52.22 Environmental clearance for proposed Apartment Project at Sy. No. 109/1A1, at Nellikode Village, Kozhikode Taluk & Municipality and Kozhikode District, Kerala by Sri.Rameshan P., M/s ULCCS IT Infrastructure (P) Ltd (File No. 831/SEIAA/EC4/2705/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. Rameshan P., M/s ULCCS IT Infrastructure (P) Ltd

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Form I, Form IA, Conceptual plan, Field Inspection Report and other related documents submitted and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance, with specific condition in addition to the general conditions stipulated for non-mining projects.

1. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
2. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting nativespecies.

Item No.52.23 Environmental clearance for the proposed commercial cum retail building project by M/s Pothys in Survey nos. 106/1, 2, 107/2, 3 at Ernakulam Village, Kanayannur Taluk and Ernakulam District, application of Sri. S. Rameshfor M/s Pothys.(File No. 833/SEIAA/KL/2711/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. S. Ramesh for M/s Pothys

The Committee appraise the proposal based on the Form I, Form IA, Conceptual plan and details furnished by the proponent along with the application. The Committee discussed the proposal considering the Field Inspection Report and decided to agree with the provision shown in the plan without modification. The Committee decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance, subject to production of proper survey map, with specific condition in addition to the general conditions stipulated for non-mining projects.

1. The storm water drain seen within the plot has connectivity to the plot on the southern side and to the stream on northern side. This connectivity must be maintained.
2. It is better to abandon the open well considering its location adjacent to the drain carrying all domestic pollutants and the presence of low yielding clayey substrate upto 15 m depth.
3. Considering a total roof area of about 2700 sq m and a peak daily rain fall of 20 cm, rainwater harvesting structure with a capacity 540 cu.m must be in place. This will ease the strain on public source.
4. There is also a need to provide a tree belt at least along the periphery of the project area.
5. Adequate precautions for disaster risk reduction and management should be made.
6. Carbon foot print of the project should be reduced to the maximum extent possible.

Item No.52.24 Environmental clearance for the Quarry project in Sy. Nos.24/2-14pt, 24/2-15pt, 24/2-18pt, 27/6pt, 27/5pt, 27/6-1-pt, 27/7pt, 27/8pt, 33/1, 33/2-1, 33/3pt, 33/5, 33/6pt, 34/1-1pt, 34/1 pt, 34/1-3pt, 34/3-1pt, 34/4pt,at Nedumkunnam Village & Panchayath, Changanacherry Taluk, Kottayam District by M/s. PuthiyaParambil Enterprises. (File No. 837/SEIAA/EC4/2715/2015)

Project Proponent : Sri. M.P. Thomas, Managing Partner, M/s PuthiyaparambilEnterprises

The Committee appraised the proposal and Dr.Harikrishman, Subcommittee member confirmed that the total area does not exceed 25 ha. The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Prefeasibility Report, field inspection report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application and the decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance, with following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions stipulated for mining projects.

1. Storm water drainage from the upper part must be channelized properly and let out through well defined channels. Considering the topography garland drain should also be provided on the lower western part to divert the water away from the pit.
2. The effluent should be clarified before it is let out.
3. Top soil and OB should be stored in a designated place on the lower slope.
4. The road leading to the quarry and the haulage lines should be well maintained with sufficient width for the movement of heavy vehicles.
5. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
6. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting nativespecies.

Item No.52.25 Environmental clearance for the quarry project in Sy. Nos. 431/3-1Pt, 431/3-2Pt, 436/4-1, 436/5, 438/4Pt, 438/5Pt, 438/6Pt, 438/9 Pt, at Pampady Village, Pampady Panchayath, Kottayam Taluk, Kottayam District, Kerala by Smt.Mariamamma Mathew. (File No. 840/SEIAA/EC4/2718/2015)

Project Proponent : Smt. Mariamma Mathew

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Prefeasibility Report, Field Inspection Report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of Environmental Clearance, with following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions stipulated for mining projects.

1. Storm water pumped out of the active pit and let out into the valley must be provided with a mechanism to clarify water. Periodic de-siltation is also mandatory.
2. Top soil and OB should be stored in a designated place on the lower slope.
3. The steep cuttings seen in the quarry must be fenced and provided with danger signs.

4. Considering the presence of many dwelling units in the vicinity, though beyond 100m, dust suppression mechanism should be in place.
5. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
6. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting nativespecies.

Item No.52.26 Environmental clearance for the proposed quarry project in Sy.Nos110/1,111/3 at Karakunnu Village, Thrikkalan Panchayath Abdul Majeed (FileNo.848/SEIAA/EC1/2861/2015)

The proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and the RQP made a brief presentation of the proposal before the Committee. The Committee appraised the proposal based on Form-1, Prefeasibility Report and other details provided by the proponent. The proponent informed that the quarry is now under operation, which amounts to violation. The Committee felt that a small portion of the quarry worked needs to be provided for rain water storage. The Committee decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC on completion of action against violation**, subject to following specific conditions in addition to the general conditions stipulated for mining projects.

1. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
2. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting native species.

Item No.52.27 Environmental clearance for the Proposed quarry project in Sy.Nos16/2/1pt, 16/2/2pt, 17/4 pt, 20/1, 20/2, 20/3, 17/5 pt, 17/7 at OzhalapathyVillage,Vadakarapathy Panchayath, Chittur Taluk, Palakkad District, Kerala by Sri. K.P. Davis. (FileNo.849/SEIAA/EC1/2861/2015)

The proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and the RQP made a brief presentation of the proposal before the Committee. The proponent admitted that the quarry is currently in operation which amounts to violation. The proponent informed that the present proposal covers an area of 4.4081 ha. It was stated that the quarry site is located 100m away from the interstate boundary. The nearest house is located 103m away from quarry.

The Committee decided to **Defer** the proposal for filed visit as it is located near the interstate boundary.

Item No.52.28 Environmental clearance for the Proposed quarry project in Sy. No.413/4A Pt at Payyanad Village, Manjeri Municipality, Eranad Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri. V.P. Shareef (FileNo.850/SEIAA/EC1/2862/2015)

The proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and the RQP made a brief presentation of the proposal before the Committee. The proponent informed that the quarry is

now under operation, which amounts to violation. They informed that the quarry site is around 7km away from Manjeri and is supporting coconut, rubber etc. It is patta land. The nearest dwelling unit is 115 m away and the nearest quarry is 2km away.

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Form -1, Prefeasibility Report, Mining Plan and other details provided by the proponent and decided to **Recommend for issuance of EC, on completion of action against violation** subject to following specific condition in addition to the general conditions stipulated for mining projects.

1. To the extent possible local biodiversity management committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
2. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting nativespecies.

Item No.52.29 Environmental clearance for the Proposed Building Stone quarry project in Sy. No 36/3 (pt), 37/1(pt), 37/2(pt), 37/3(pt) at Morayoor Village, Kondotty Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri.E. A Abdul Karim. (FileNo.853/SEIAA/EC1/2977/2015)

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Form -1, Prefeasibility Report, Mining Plan and other details provided by the proponent and decided to **Recommend** for issuance of EC subject to following specific condition in addition to the general conditions stipulated for mining projects.

1. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
2. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting nativespecies.

Item No.52.30 Environmental clearance for the Proposed Building Stone quarry project in Sy. Nos.138/(pt), 370/3(pt), 836(pt), 837(pt), 838(pt), 839(pt), 847(pt) at Killimangalam Village, Thalappilly Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala by Sri.K.R.Viswanathan (FileNo.855/SEIAA/EC1/2979/2015)

The proponent and the RQP attended the meeting and the RQP made a brief presentation of the proposal before the Committee. The Committee appraised the proposal based on form-1, prefeasibility report and other details provided by the proponent. The proponent admitted that the quarry is currently in operation which amounts to violation. The Committee decided to **Defer** the item for site visit and for submission of

1. Document regarding ownership of the proposed land.
2. More realistic and need based social responsibility programme.
3. Image showing the whole property with clear demarcation of boundaries

Item No.52.31 Environmental clearance for the Proposed – M/s Valorangal Building Stone quarry project in Sy. Nos. 318/1/1, 318/1/2, 318/3 (pt), 318/4, 319/1 (pt), at Thiruvalli Village, Nilambur Taluk, Malappuram District, Kerala by Sri.SivasankaranP (File No. 857/SEIAA/EC1/2981/2015)

The Committee appraised the proposal based on the Mining Plan, Prefeasibility Report and all other documents submitted along with the Form I application and **Recommended** for issuance of Environmental Clearance with the following specific conditions, in addition to the general conditions stipulated for mining projects.

1. To the extent possible local biodiversity management Committee shall be involved in the environmental management/restoration activities.
2. Reclamation and eco-restoration should be done by planting native species.

The meeting ended at 4.00 pm with vote of thanks to the Chairman and Members.

Shri. C.S. Yalakki IFS
(Secretary, SEAC)

Shri. V Gopinathan IFS (Rtd)
(Chairman, SEAC)

List of members present

Day 1

1. Sri. V Gopinathan
(Chairman, SEAC)
2. Dr. K. M. Khaleel Chovva
3. Dr. P S Harikumar
4. Sri. John Mathai
5. Dr. George Chackacherry
6. Dr. Keshav Mohan
7. Dr. K G Padmakumar
8. Sri. S. Ajayakumar
9. Sri. C.S. Yalakki IFS
(Secretary, SEAC)

Day 2

1. Sri. V Gopinathan
(Chairman, SEAC)
2. Dr. Oommen V Oommen
3. Dr. George Chackacherry
4. Sri. S. Ajayakumar
5. Dr. Harikrishnan
6. Dr. Keshav Mohan
7. Dr. E.A. Jayson
8. Sri. C.S. Yalakki IFS
(Secretary, SEAC)